



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal

– The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and a

professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of Law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur,
M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

SECURITY OF RECOGNITED TRADEMARKS: A ESSENTIAL ANALYSIS

AUTHORED BY - SIMRAN SHARMA & DR. JANE EYRE MATHEW

OVERVIEW OF THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF INDIAN TRADEMARK LAW

India's trademark laws have changed dramatically throughout the years to reflect the nation's shifting economic and legal environments. The Trade Marks Act, 1940, the first comprehensive law controlling trademarks in India, is where trademark law history begins. The basis for trademark registration and protection in the nation was established by this Act.

Trademark Law Evolution¹: The Trade Marks Act, 1940: This Act created the foundation for trademark protection and brought the idea of trademark registration to India.

The Trade and Merchandise Marks Act of 1958 brought greater clarity and advice on trademark registration and enforcement, consolidating and amending existing trademark law.

The 1999 Trade Marks Act: With the passage of this Act, Indian trademark law was brought into compliance with international norms, most notably the TRIPS Agreement, superseding previous laws. It brought about a number of important modifications, such as the definition of trademarks, the registration procedure, and the reasons for registration denial.

Legislative Changes and Landmark Cases: N.R. Dongre v. Whirlpool Corporation: This case defined the standards for identifying well-known trademarks and emphasized their significance.²

The idea of misleading likeness in trademark infringement proceedings was addressed in the Cadila Health Care Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd. case³.

¹ The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) provides resources and guidelines on intellectual property, including trademarks. Their website (www.wipo.int) offers a wealth of information on trademark protection and enforcement.

² The International Trademark Association (INTA) is another valuable resource for information on

trademark guidelines and policies. Their website (www.inta.org) offers various publications and resources on trademark protection and best practices.



The Trade Marks (Amendment) Act, 2010 brought about a number of modifications to the Trade Marks Act, 1999, including the creation of the Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB) and the recognition of service marks.

The Trade Marks Act, 1999 is the legislative foundation for trademark law in India.

Important clauses: The Act establishes the definitions of certification marks, service marks, and trademarks as well as the prerequisites for registration, such as non-descriptiveness and distinctiveness.

Registration Process: The Act describes the steps involved in registering a trademark, such as filing applications, having them examined, having them published, and facing opposition.

Owners of Trademarks: The Act grants owners of trademarks the sole authority to use their marks and pursue legal action against those who infringe upon them.

GUIDELINES AND POLICIES

In order to safeguard their businesses and guarantee that their trademarks are used appropriately and consistently, trademark owners need to have trademark rules and policies in place. The correct use of trademarks, including logo usage, brand messaging, and enforcement methods, are described in these rules. This section outlines the essential components that must be present in trademark guidelines and policies and gives an overview of their significance.⁴

Trademark Policies and Guidelines Are Important

Trademark policies and standards fulfill a number of crucial functions. First of all, they support the maintenance of the integrity and uniqueness of the brand by ensuring that trademarks are utilized correctly and consistently. Second, they lower the possibility of trademark infringement or misuse by offering advice on appropriate brand usage to partners, staff, and other parties. Finally, they lay forth a framework for protecting trademark rights, complete with protocols for keeping an eye out for and dealing with unauthorized use.

Important Components of Trademark Policies and Guidelines⁵

Use of Trademarks Properly

³McCarthy, J. T. (2022). McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition. Thomson Reuters.

⁴ Kerly, L. (Ed.). (2021). Kerly's Law of Trade Marks and Trade Names (16th ed.). Sweet C Maxwell..

⁵ United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO): For information on trademarks in the United States, visit the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov.



Trademark rules ought to outline the appropriate arrangement, size, and positioning of trademarks. Along with giving instructions on how to refer to unregistered trademarks, they should also make clear which trademarks are registered.

Guidelines for Using Logos

Guidelines should specify how logos and symbols should be used, including color criteria, size constraints, and unambiguous space limitations, if the brand has them. Guidelines should also outline how and when to use variations of the logo, like color schemes in reverse or black & white⁶.

Tone and Brand Messaging

In order to guarantee that all communications accurately represent the brand's positioning and values, trademark guidelines frequently include advice on brand messaging and tone. These could include rules about the use of language, images, and the general tone of the brand.

Procedures for Enforcement

Procedures for tracking and upholding trademark rights, such as how to spot possible infringements, file reports of infringements, and respond to infringements, should be outlined in trademark rules.

Instruction & Training

Provisions for teaching staff members, business associates, and outsiders about the value of trademark protection and how to abide by the guidelines should be included in trademark guidelines.

Execution and Upholding of Trademark Policies and Guidelines

A concerted effort is needed to implement and enforce trademark policies and guidelines. To make sure that their policies are current and useful, businesses should evaluate and update them on a regular basis. It is imperative that they offer continuous training and assistance to guarantee adherence. Internal disciplinary actions for workers or partners who break the standards, as well as legal action against infringers, may be part of the enforcement of the guidelines.

⁶ Intellectual Property Office of India (IPO India): For information on trademarks in India, visit the IPO India website at www.ipindia.nic.in.



The Trade Marks Rules of 2017 offer comprehensive recommendations covering a range of topics related to trademark registration and management, such as associated costs, documentation, and protocols.

The 2007 Intellectual Property Rights (Imported items) Enforcement Rules give customs officials the authority to stop the import of fake items that violate trademarks.

TRADEMARK REGISTRATION AND PROTECTION

Procedure for Registering a Trademark:

Application Filing: The party wishing to register a trademark must submit an application to the Trademarks Registry, outlining the goods and services they wish to register.

Examination: The Registrar looks into the application to assess its registrability on a number of factors, including uniqueness and resemblance to already-registered marks.

Opposition: If a third party feels that the registration of a trademark infringes upon their rights, they have the right to challenge it within a certain time frame.

Reasons for Denying Registration:

Absolute Grounds: These include marks that are immoral or in opposition to public policy, lack distinctiveness, or are descriptive of the goods or services.

Marks that are the same as or similar to currently registered trademarks or well-known marks in the same or comparable class of products or services are considered relative grounds.

Trademark owners' Available Remedies:

Trademark owners may pursue civil remedies against infringers, including accounts of profits, damages, and injunctions.

Criminal Remedies: According to the Trade Marks Act of 1999, trademark infringement is a crime that carries jail time and penalties.⁷

⁷ Chisum, D. S., Muir, C. A., C Mueller, J. E. (2021). Chisum on Patents (17th ed.). LexisNexis.



TRADEMARK RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT

Overview of Trademark Law Enforcement

Enforcing trademark rights is essential to safeguarding intellectual property and maintaining honest competition in the market. Owners of trademarks devote a lot of time and money to creating and promoting their brands. To protect their investments and preserve the uniqueness and character of their businesses, they must enforce their trademark rights. This section offers a thorough analysis of the several approaches and plans that trademark owners might use to protect their rights.

INJUNCTIONS AS CIVIL REMEDIES FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

Owners of trademarks may ask the court for an injunction to stop infringement so that their marks cannot be used illegally. A strong instrument for preventing continued infringement and preserving the reputation of the brand is an injunction. Interim injunctions are a legal tool that courts can use to stop infringement right away while a case is being tried in its entirety.

Damages

Owners of trademarks may sue for damages for losses they incur as a result of trademark infringement. In addition to lost revenues, reputational harm, and any other losses directly related to the infringement are all examples of damages. To make up for their losses, courts have the authority to grant compensatory damages to trademark owners.

Statements of Profits⁸

Infringers may be required by courts to disclose the earnings they have received from their illegal actions. The purpose of this remedy is to stop trademark infringement perpetrators from making money off of their unauthorized usage of the brand. A sum equal to the profits earned from the infringement may be ordered by the courts to be paid by the trademark infringement party.

PENALTIES FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT: PENALTIES INCLUDE IMPRISONMENT

⁸ World Trademark Review (WTR), www.worldtrademarkreview.com.



In many countries, including India, trademark infringement is illegal. If found guilty of trademark infringement, offenders may be imprisoned for a period of time determined by the applicable laws. Crimes are usually prosecuted when there has been a major or deliberate violation.

Penalties

Fines may be imposed by courts on anyone found guilty of trademark infringement. Usually, the kind and seriousness of the infraction are used to calculate the fine amount. Penalties are imposed to make future trademark infringements less likely and to make up for any damages done to the trademark owner.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR INFRINGEMENTS AGAINST TRADEMARKS⁹

Letters of Cease and Desist

Owners of trademarks have the right to demand that trademark infringers stop using their work illegally by sending cease and desist letters. Cease and desist letters can resolve a dispute without going to court and are frequently the initial step in asserting trademark rights.

Disputes Over Domain Names

In addition, trademark holders have the option to settle domain name disputes through administrative procedures, like those provided by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). Domain names that violate trademark rights may be transferred or cancelled as a result of these actions.

ENFORCING TRADEMARK RIGHTS INTERNATIONALLY

Madrid Agreement

The Madrid Protocol, which permits the registration of trademarks in several nations with a single application, gives trademark owners the ability to safeguard their marks globally. This offers a simplified procedure for securing trademark protection across several legal jurisdictions.

Global Collaboration

⁹ Managing Intellectual Property (MIP), www.managingip.com.



In order to protect their trademark rights globally, trademark owners may approach foreign governments and law enforcement organizations for support. This can entail cooperating with international law enforcement organizations to pursue legal action against infringers and coordinating with customs authorities to stop the import of fake goods.

CIVIL LIABILITIES

Courts have the authority to issue injunctions to stop illegal activity and safeguard trademark owners' rights.

Damages: Owners of trademarks may be entitled to compensation for losses incurred due to trademark infringement.

Accounts of Profits: Infringers may be required by courts to provide an accounting of the money they have made from their illegal actions.

Criminal Recourse:

Penalties: Individuals found guilty of trademark infringement may face a maximum penalty of three years in prison.

Fines: Depending on the type and seriousness of the infraction, courts have the authority to impose fines on violators.

OBSTACLES AND PROSPECTIVE PATHS

Piracy and Counterfeiting: The spread of fake goods threatens Indian trademark owners severely, diminishing their brands' value and resulting in financial losses.

To stop piracy and counterfeiting, the government and law enforcement organizations must take stronger measures, such as stepping up monitoring and taking enforcement action.

Online trademark infringement cases have increased as a result of the growth of e-commerce, which has made it simpler for infringers to sell counterfeit items online.

Effective measures are required to combat online infringement, such as the creation of specialist IP tribunals and technological platform monitoring.¹⁰

¹⁰ Intellectual Property Office of India (IPO India), www.ipindia.nic.in.



International Cooperation: The TRIPS Agreement, which lays down minimal requirements for the protection of intellectual property rights, is one treaty and agreement that India's trademark law is closely related to.

India must work more closely with other nations and international organizations to successfully combat cross-border trademark infringement.



CONCLUSION

Trademark regulations and rules are essential in determining how a brand is viewed and safeguarded in the marketplace. They act as a thorough set of guidelines and norms that control how trademarks are used, guaranteeing coherence and consistency in all brand messaging. These rules are crucial for safeguarding a brand against unlawful usage and possible dilution, as well as for preserving its integrity and uniqueness.

An important advantage of having well-defined trademark guidelines is the capacity to effectively convey the brand's identity. Guidelines aid in the preservation of a consistent brand image and messaging by specifying how trademarks should be used in several circumstances, including digital media, packaging, and advertising. Maintaining this level of consistency is essential to increasing consumer loyalty and brand recognition.

Additionally, trademark standards offer partners, staff members, and other parties helpful direction on how to properly use trademarks. This aids in avoiding trademark misunderstandings and misuse, which could lessen the brand's uniqueness or give rise to legal problems. Companies can reduce the risk of trademark infringement and safeguard their intellectual property rights by implementing clear standards.

Upholding trademark regulations is also essential to preserving a brand's integrity. Businesses need to keep a close eye on how their trademarks are being used and take appropriate action when they are being misused or abused. This could entail initiating legal action against infringers, distributing cease and desist letters, or taking other steps to defend the rights of the brand. Companies may protect the value of their brands and avoid any harm to their reputation by upholding their trademark policies.

To sum up, trademark policies and guidelines are crucial instruments for safeguarding and advancing trademarks. They offer a framework for the consistent and logical use of trademarks, guaranteeing that the identity of the brand is properly communicated and shielded against unlawful use. Companies may protect their intellectual property rights and uphold the integrity of their brands in the marketplace by creating and implementing clear guidelines.