

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper is partially shown. A black leather watch with a silver dial is also visible on the desk. A large white rectangular overlay is centered on the page, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

PRISON OVERCROWDING IN INDIA: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES AND LEGAL REFORMS

AUTHORED BY - S. DARSHAN & DR. R. RAJESH KANNA

Abstract

The issue of prison overcrowding is one of the greatest challenges facing India's criminal justice system due to structural and procedural inefficiencies within the system. Prison overcrowding occurs when there are more inmates than the correctional institution is capable of housing, placing a tremendous burden on the correctional facilities and management of those facilities. Prisons overcrowded with inmates often provide prisoners with substandard living conditions, lack of adequate medical treatment, lack of adequate sanitation, and increased violence in prisons, undermining the rehabilitative purpose of serving time.

The cause of this overcrowding in prisons can mainly be attributed to the disproportionate number of under-trial prisoners, excessive delays in the judicial process, restrictive bail practices, and a severely limited prison infrastructure. These conditions create significant concerns regarding the protection of prisoners' fundamental rights, particularly the right to life and dignity guaranteed in Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Despite efforts at improving prison conditions through judicial intervention and policy reform, prison overcrowding remains a systemic issue.

The purpose of this research paper is to conduct a thorough analysis of the circumstances leading to the overcrowding in prisons; to explore the effects of prison overcrowding in India; to examine the legal and institutional framework of prison administration; to assess judicial responses and international standards of treatment of prisoners; and to identify the human rights implications associated with the overcrowding of prisons. At the conclusion, the study makes recommendations for reform and also considers various policies which may assist in alleviating conditions of overcrowding and provide humane and effective management of the institutions concerned.

Causes of Prison Overcrowding

Overcrowding in prisons in India has many factors and is a complex problem that cannot be linked to one single cause or an administrative mistake. Overcrowding results from the combination of many different areas in the criminal justice system, including structural flaws, delays in processing, socioeconomic inequality, limitations of policy, and inefficiencies within the system overall. Although prison overcrowding presents a logistical problem regarding the number of inmates able to fit into any given prison, it is also an indication of other deficiencies related to the process of gathering evidence or investigating crime, prosecuting offenders, adjudicating cases, imposing sentences, and managing inmates during their period of incarceration. Overcrowding has been a persistent problem over many years, despite numerous attempts by courts and changes to policy. This shows how complex the issue of prison overcrowding is and how much more effort is needed to understand why the phenomenon exists. The root causes of prison overcrowding include the inefficiencies in the justice delivery system, and the lack of a coordinated response from policymakers dealing with injustices in the system in general.

The disproportionately large number of undertrial prisoners represents one of the most significant and widely recognised reasons for overcrowding in prisons across India. Undertrials make up a substantial portion of those in custody who have been accused of committing a crime; however, these individuals have not yet been convicted by a court. As a result, this reflects systematic delays in both the time taken to investigate and file a charge sheet, as well as the length of time it takes for an accused person to receive his or her day in court. As a consequence, people who have been charged with nonviolent and/or minor offenses are frequently left in a custodial environment until both the prosecutor and defence attorney are able to work through the court system - effectively serving their sentence before ever being found guilty. The attention that was brought to this issue by the Supreme Court of India in *Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar* (1979) was pivotal in defining the right to a speedy trial as an integral part of the 21st Amendment of the Constitution of India; however, despite the establishment being a watershed moment for the speedy trial in India, delays continue to plague the legal system as a result of case backlogs, shortages of judges and support staff, and delays in hearing matters due to the rules of procedure, thus continuing to be one of the most influential factors contributing to prison overcrowding.

The delay in processing cases through the courts of India is a large contributor to problems associated with pre-trial confinement. There are millions of unresolved court cases

at different levels of government. There are not enough judges to handle current workloads and the process to handle a lawsuit is very slow because of things like frequent delays to have a hearing, rule formalities, and delay in collecting evidence. Since cases have gone unresolved for so long, those accused of crimes are left in jail while they are waiting for their case to go to trial. This adds to the number of people in jail. In the case of *Rama Murthy v. State of Karnataka*, the Supreme Court stated that the delay in bringing an individual to trial was a major problem with prison administration and recommended that systemic reforms are necessary to reduce the amount of time an individual spends in custody prior to their trial. Judicial delay is one of the primary causes of overcrowded prisons. Judicial delays are systemic problems that cause people to be incarcerated for extended periods.

Bail practices are restrictive, exacerbating overcrowding in jails. Although "bail, not jail" is a guiding principle of the judiciary, it has not been applied consistently. As a result, many people do not get bailed out of jail because they do not have the ability to pay for a bail bond or find someone to agree to act as their surety. In addition, people often do not know their rights in the law and cannot afford to hire an attorney to help them understand what their rights are or get bail. For example, the Supreme Court in *Moti Ram v. State of Madhya Pradesh* (1978), ruled that conditions for bail should not exceed the financial ability of the individual seeking bail. Despite these judicial directions, consistent with socio-economic disparities, poor people continue to be detained for longer periods of time, leading to overcrowding problem.

The issue of overcriminalization and the use of custodial sentences as a primary form of punishment for low-level offences have led to an increase in the number of individuals incarcerated in correctional facilities. Typically, the criminal justice system has a tendency to impose custodial sentences on offenders regardless of the appropriateness of imposing alternative forms of punishment such as fines, probation supervision, community service or restorative justice as it considers these to be less effective than incarceration when punishing criminal behaviour. In addition, the minimal application of non-custodial forms of punishment is indicative of a punitive philosophy within the criminal justice system defaulting to the use of prison as a consequence for criminal behaviour thus placing more pressure on prisons. There are no systematic guidelines for determining appropriate sentences in the criminal justice system that lead to inconsistency across various jurisdictions when sentencing offenders, ultimately leading to excess incarceration. Facilitation of the use of alternative sentencing options would significantly reduce the number of individuals who are incarcerated and reduce the problems of overcrowding as a result.

Poorly constructed prison facilities continue to be a major contributing factor to

overcrowding in correctional facilities throughout India, and the expansion of existing facilities has not kept pace with the increase in the overall number of inmates who are incarcerated. Many prisons located throughout India are operating with outdated infrastructure that was built decades ago and do not provide enough space to accommodate the increase in the number of inmates. Budget constraints, delays in the construction of new facility and the lack of upgrading (modernization) these facilities continues to worsen this situation. Overcrowded prisons and other facilities, like shelters, contribute to increasing pressure on housing, sanitation, health care, etc., thus leading to a lack of adequate resources for maintaining basic living conditions in prisons. This lack of infrastructure is a key obstacle to effectively addressing prison overcrowding.

The absence of effective systems of legal aid compounds the issue of excessive length of time spent in prison and overcrowding, particularly for marginalized groups within the community. Article 39A of India's Constitution mandates that free legal aid services be provided; however, the implementation of such services is inconsistent across states. In many cases, prisoners do not have access to the legal representation that would meet a competent level of quality and are unaware of their right to legal representation and how to seek it. This delays the filing of bail and appeal applications, prolonging the period of time a person is detained. In 1983, the Supreme Court of India, in the case of *Sheela Barse v. State of Maharashtra*, recognized the critical role that free legal aid plays in the process of ensuring proper legal representation for prisoners and directed the state to take steps to ensure that effective legal aid is provided to those in prison. As a result, providing greater access to effective legal aid is critical to reducing unnecessary periods of detention and, therefore, overcrowding in prisons.

Prison overcrowding is also greatly influenced by the socio-economic disparity of the individuals in prison. The statistics show a much greater proportion of prisoners who come from the disadvantaged sector of society compared to other groups. Socio-economic challenges such as poverty, being illiterate, not having a job, or not having any social support networks increase the chances of someone going into prison and remaining there. Also, people in marginalized communities often encounter barriers to accessing legal assistance which means they will end up staying in prison for longer periods. This demonstrates the relationship between social inequities and the criminal justice system because of how the system contributes to the overcrowding issue and the unequal treatment of people who experience overcrowded conditions within the system.

Prison overcrowding is also caused by the administrative inefficiencies of the prison

system, which leads to delays in processing prisoner release orders, transferring prisoners, and keeping records of prisoners. Furthermore, due to lack of coordination between law enforcement and the courts, as well as prisons, these delays can also prolong a person's detention. The failure of the prison system to incorporate modern technology into its record keeping has resulted in inefficiencies for the prison system with respect to maintaining accurate records of prisoners, thereby making it very difficult for the prison to know when to release someone. Therefore, if the prison system improved its process of administration through better coordination and implemented electronic record-keeping systems, then the prison system could reduce the overcrowding problem by improving the efficiency of the administration process.

The limited use of parole, probation, and open prisons contribute to overcrowding by limiting the options available to incarcerated persons who may be able to get released early before serving their full sentence or be rehabilitated into society through community-based programs. While it has been established that these forms of release are a viable option for reducing incarceration, they have not been fully utilized because of administrative challenges and the fact that many people do not know they exist. If the use of these alternatives was expanded, then the prison population would be greatly reduced, and rehabilitation would be promoted.

In addition to the limitation of parole, probation, and open prisons due to a lack of resources, additional barriers exist because of the fragmentation of policy and differences in how states administer their prisons. Prison administration falls under the jurisdiction of each state's laws and regulations, resulting in different types of policies, infrastructures, and management practices from one state to another. As a result, the ability to develop and implement a nationwide strategy to resolve prison overcrowding is difficult. Therefore, coordination is necessary between the central government and each of the individual state governments to create a framework for developing and implementing an effective plan to eliminate prison overcrowding.

To sum up, there are several different reasons that lead to prison overcrowding in India such as: Pre-Trial, Delays in the Judiciary, Restrictions around Bail, Over Criminalisation, Insufficient Infrastructure, Economic Disparity, Lack of Legal Aids, Administrative Failures and Fragmented Policies. To rectify this situation requires a coordinated approach, which entails Legal Reforms, Creating More Infrastructure, Alternatives to Imprisonment, Expansion of Legal Aids & Collaboration between the different stakeholders involved in this issue. If the focus is placed on these things, as well as sustained efforts in the future, Prison Overcrowding can be addressed successfully resulting in a Prison System that promotes Human Dignity and

Rehabilitation.

Current Scenario of Prison Overcrowding in India

Prison overcrowding is presently one of the most serious concerns facing India's criminal justice system. The situation has persisted in spite of a variety of reforms and policy initiatives, numerous interventions from the judiciary, and overwhelming evidence of the failure of prisons in general across the country to function within their approved capacity, and has been an indication of the systemic and structural inefficiencies that are a part of the larger problem of prison overcrowding. Current data shows that overcrowding in prisons is literally more than just an administrative problem; it is also a systemic issue that continues to hinder the operation of correctional facilities that house inmates in India on a daily basis. In addition, the growth of the prison population, coupled with limited infrastructure, has contributed to a level of overcrowding that is of grave concern with regard to human rights, the management of prisons, and the overall efficacy of correctional systems throughout India.

According to National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) statistics for prisons in India, occupancy rates have consistently exceeded the official capacity of prisons. As of 2022, the Prison Statistics India Report indicates the overall prison population was approximately 5.7 lakh inmates, while the sanctioned capacity for all prisons was approximately 4.3 lakh. In multiple states of India, occupancy rates exceed 130%. Together these numbers demonstrate the very large scale of overcrowding and the enormous pressures facing prisons as they are trying to operate below their intended capacities. Data indicates there are also disparities in occupancy rates between states and regions (due to differing available infrastructure, types of crimes committed, and administrative actions).

Some of the more troubling issues associated with the current conditions in Indian prisons is the extremely disproportionate number of those incarcerated who are undertrial prisoners. NCRB data consistently shows that three-fourths of the total prison population consists of undertrial prisoners. This indicates that the majority of people being held in custody are not currently serving a sentence for a conviction, and points to problems with the timeliness of investigations and the trial process. Not only does the high proportion of undertrial prisoners contribute to severe overcrowding, but creates very serious issues regarding fairness, access to justice, and presumption of innocence. The continued growth of this problem indicates the importance of reforming the criminal justice system to reduce the length of the trial process and to prevent unnecessary pre-trial detention.

Overcrowding is one of the most serious problems facing district or central prisons, which make up most of the prison population. District prisons are meant mainly to hold undertrial prisoners (those awaiting trial). As these institutions are not built to house the numbers of inmates being added through daily intakes and have limited physical capacity, they face extreme levels of congestion. The same is true for central prisons, which house convicted inmates who have long sentences to serve. In contrast to district prisons, the established prison facilities that were built within central prisons do not have the option of expanding their infrastructure to alleviate overcrowding. When these institutions become overcrowded and are unable to effectively provide adequate living conditions, healthcare services or rehabilitation, they are unable to accomplish the goals of imprisonment.

Many problems are associated with the difficulty in maintaining the most basic standards of living for inmates in an overcrowded prison. Most overcrowded prisons have inadequate sleeping areas, poor ventilation, inadequate sanitary facilities, and inadequate access to clean drinking water. These problems not only cause physical harm to inmates, they also contribute to mental and psychological problems. The national shortage of medical personnel and medical facilities, together with the increasing number of inmates, compounds the problems associated with the health care of inmates in prisons. The risk of the transmission of communicable diseases between inmates in crowded prisons will be increased; this is a risk for both the inmates and all people working within the prison complex.

The current prison system is experiencing increased pressure on personnel due to overcrowding. As the numbers of inmates exceed the capacity of the facility, this creates an increased burden as correctional staff are forced to manage more inmates with fewer interfaces and resources. The workload, stress level, and difficult management of discipline/security associated with imprisonment grow significantly in an overcrowded prison. The potential for conflicts, rioting, and other disturbances within the prison would also be enhanced in an overcrowded prison, which could complicate overall management of the prison environment and the safety of all involved. The added complication of not having a sufficient number of trained personnel compounds the deficiencies in supervision and the provision of rehabilitative services to inmates.

The potential for rehabilitation is more limited due to overcrowded conditions, which limit the ability to implement educational, vocational, or counseling programs successfully. While rehabilitation is a primary goal in today's penology (study of punishment and correction of criminal behavior), imprisonment in an overcrowded environment minimizes inmates' ability to take advantage of rehabilitative programming. Consequently, this limits an inmate's

ability to reform out of a life of crime and increases the likelihood that they will re-offend, thereby negatively impacting the long-term quality of life for society as a whole. The inability of the prison system to provide rehabilitative services to inmates supports the creation of comprehensive reforms to address the issue of prison population reduction and infrastructure improvement.

One of the dimensions of the current prison scenario is the gender-specific challenge of the prison population, as there are a lower percentage of women than men in prisons. In addition, the overcrowding in women's prisons presents specific challenges such as a lack of healthcare and privacy, as well as limited support for women who have children. The fact that children are being housed with their mothers who are incarcerated brings up the issue of children being cared for in a safe and supportive environment. Gender-sensitive approaches and targeted reforms are therefore needed to decrease the overcrowding of women's prisons.

Recent policy changes such as open prisons, the use of parole and probation, and expediting the release of undertrial prisoners are beginning to have a positive effect in some states; however, due to inconsistent implementation of these initiatives and administrative challenges, the overall impact has been limited. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed the overcrowding issue because temporary measures to decongest prisons, including providing interim bail and parole, were used. These temporary measures, however, did not solve the long-term problem of overcrowding.

To summarise, the existing overcrowding of prisons in India indicates a continuing and complicated issue which also affects how well the criminal justice system can perform. These issues are caused by overcrowding due to high occupancy rates, many awaiting trial prisoners, poor jail facilities and limited resources. In order to remedy this problem, it is essential that there are concerted efforts put forth to enhance jail facilities; speed up legal processes; create stronger systems for providing legal assistance; and provide for other ways to punish offenders rather than putting them in jail. The current situation must be understood in order for us to accurately evaluate whether or not the various existing laws are being implemented effectively, and what changes may be necessary in relation to existing prisons in order to create a more humane and efficient system of running our corrections facilities.