



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

DR. AMBEDKAR'S VISION IN ACTION: SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT THROUGH CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

AUTHORED BY - BRAHMANI DIXIT

Final Year LL.M. Student

Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti Language University, Lucknow.

Introduction

The Indian Constitution is not merely a legal document but a transformative charter committed to social and economic justice. Drafted under the able leadership of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, the Constitution lays the foundation for empowering marginalized communities and fostering an egalitarian society. With its incorporation of Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs) and Fundamental Rights, the Constitution seeks to address historical inequities and ensure equality of opportunity for all. Dr. Ambedkar envisioned a society where justice is accessible to every individual, emphasizing that sustainable development could only be achieved by bridging socio-economic disparities¹

Key constitutional provisions such as Articles 14, 15, 16, 19, and 21 exemplify this transformative vision by safeguarding the rights and dignity of individuals. The Directive Principles further mandate the State to actively work towards welfare policies promoting economic security and social inclusion² These provisions not only reflect Ambedkar's intellectual brilliance but also underline his unwavering commitment to justice, equality, and fraternity. His pioneering contributions to framing these constitutional safeguards illustrate his dedication to empowering the weakest sections of society.

In the context of India's aspirations for Viksit Bharat 2047, Dr. Ambedkar's legacy is more relevant than ever³ His ideas resonate in modern policy frameworks aimed at uplifting

¹ Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's emphasis on justice for all highlights his vision for an egalitarian society; see Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. VII, p. 297.

² The Directive Principles of State Policy are enshrined in Part IV of the Indian Constitution; refer to Articles 37-51.

³ "Viksit Bharat 2047" outlines India's vision for achieving developed nation status by the centenary of independence; Government of India, NITI Aayog Vision Document 2023.

marginalized populations and addressing systemic inequities. This paper delves into the constitutional provisions advocating social and economic empowerment, critically analyzing their evolution, judicial interpretations, and contemporary relevance in achieving inclusive growth.

Insight into Dr. Ambedkar's Vision for Creating an Egalitarian Society

Ambedkar's belief in the transformative power of law inspired his design of provisions that directly addressed entrenched caste hierarchies, gender-based discrimination, and socio-economic exploitation. Articles such as 14 (equality before the law), 15 (prohibition of discrimination), and 17 (abolition of untouchability) were pivotal tools in dismantling centuries-old inequities and guaranteeing fundamental rights to all citizens.⁴ These provisions reflected his unwavering commitment to creating a society where justice prevailed and dignity was upheld for every individual, irrespective of their background.

His vision was not limited to addressing immediate socio-political issues; Ambedkar also introduced mechanisms for long-term societal progress. The Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs) were designed to guide the State in realizing the ideals of economic security and social welfare.⁵ Key articles such as 38 (promoting the welfare of the people) and 39 (ensuring equitable distribution of resources) underscored his resolve to build a welfare state that prioritized the needs of the most disadvantaged.

Connection Between Freedom Struggle Values and Constitutional Ideals

The Indian freedom struggle was not merely a political movement to overthrow colonial rule; it was a profound quest for justice, equality, and self-determination. These values, deeply rooted in the ethos of the freedom movement, found their ultimate expression in the Indian Constitution. The long and arduous struggle for independence shaped the moral and ideological foundation of the Constitution, making it not only a legal document but a reflection of the aspirations of a newly liberated nation.

The Fundamental Rights, outlined in Part III of the Constitution, were a direct response to the

⁴ Fundamental Rights and their alignment with Ambedkar's ideals; refer Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. VII, p. 297.

⁵ Directive Principles of State Policy advocating economic justice and social welfare; Articles 38-39, Indian Constitution.

oppression and denial of basic liberties experienced during colonial rule. Articles 14, 19, and 21, which guarantee equality before the law, freedom of speech and expression, and protection of life and personal liberty, respectively, echo the democratic ideals championed during the freedom movement.⁶

The Directive Principles of State Policy further embody the socio-economic goals of the independence struggle, emphasizing welfare, labor rights, and the equitable distribution of resources. These principles are aligned with the freedom fighters' vision of a self-reliant and inclusive India, where economic justice complements political independence.⁷ For instance, Gandhi's emphasis on village self-governance and economic upliftment resonates in Article 40, which promotes the organization of village panchayats. Similarly, the anti-caste ideology prevalent during the freedom movement influenced provisions like Article 17, which abolishes untouchability.

The interplay between freedom struggle values and constitutional ideals continues to guide India's journey toward justice and empowerment. The Constitution not only honors the sacrifices of those who fought for independence but also serves as a living document that evolves to meet the aspirations of its people.

Judicial Interpretation and Case Law

The judiciary has played a crucial role in interpreting constitutional provisions to uphold social and economic justice in India. Through landmark judgments, the Supreme Court and High Courts have continuously expanded the scope and meaning of Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs), bridging the gap between abstract ideals and practical enforcement. These interpretations have reinforced Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's vision of an egalitarian society, where justice prevails irrespective of socio-economic barriers.

One of the earliest and most significant cases addressing social justice was **State of Madras v. Champakam Dorairajan (1951)**, where the Supreme Court upheld the validity of reservations under Article 15(4) to promote the educational empowerment of socially disadvantaged

⁶ Fundamental Rights as a response to colonial oppression: Articles 14, 19, 21, Indian Constitution; see Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. III, p. 151.

⁷ Directive Principles of State Policy reflecting socio-economic goals of the freedom movement; Articles 38-40, Indian Constitution.

groups.⁸ This judgment paved the way for affirmative action policies, reflecting the constitutional commitment to uplift marginalized communities.

The concept of economic justice received a powerful interpretation in **Unni Krishnan J.P. v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993)**, wherein the Supreme Court recognized the right to education as integral to the right to life under Article 21.⁹ The Court held that access to education is essential for economic empowerment and human dignity, underscoring the State's responsibility in fostering inclusive development.

Another landmark case was **Minerva Mills Ltd. v. Union of India (1980)**, which emphasized the harmonious relationship between Fundamental Rights and DPSPs.¹⁰ The judgment clarified that the Constitution's directive principles are not subservient but complementary to individual rights, reinforcing the State's obligation to advance policies ensuring social and economic welfare.

The judiciary's progressive interpretation of Article 17, which abolishes untouchability, has also been pivotal in promoting social justice. In **People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India (1982)**, the Supreme Court condemned exploitative labor practices and linked them to the prohibition of untouchability under Article 17 and the Right Against Exploitation under Article 23.¹¹ This judgment highlighted the interdependence of constitutional provisions and strengthened the rights of marginalized laborers.

Economic justice found further reinforcement in **Mohini Jain v. State of Karnataka (1992)** and **T.M.A. Pai Foundation v. State of Karnataka (2002)**, where the judiciary expanded the interpretation of Articles 21 and 41, affirming the right to access affordable education as a cornerstone of economic empowerment.¹²

The judiciary has also addressed gender-based discrimination under Articles 14 and 15. In **Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997)**, the Supreme Court laid down guidelines to prevent

⁸ State of Madras v. Champakam Dorairajan (AIR 1951 SC 226) – Affirmative action and Article 15(4).

⁹ Unni Krishnan J.P. v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993) 1 SCC 645 – Right to education under Article 21.

¹⁰ Minerva Mills Ltd. v. Union of India (AIR 1980 SC 1789) – Harmonization of Fundamental Rights and DPSPs.

¹¹ People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India (AIR 1982 SC 1473) – Labor rights and Article 17.

¹² Mohini Jain v. State of Karnataka (1992) 3 SCC 666; T.M.A. Pai Foundation v. State of Karnataka (2002) 8 SCC 481 – Right to education and economic empowerment.

sexual harassment at the workplace, asserting that gender equality is central to social justice.¹³ Similarly, in **Joseph Shine v. Union of India (2018)**, the Court struck down Section 497 of the IPC, deeming it violative of Articles 14 and 15 for perpetuating gender stereotypes.¹⁴ The interpretation of Article 21 has been transformative in addressing socio-economic rights. In **Olga Tellis v. Bombay Municipal Corporation (1985)**, the Court recognized the right to livelihood as a fundamental aspect of the right to life, ensuring protections for vulnerable sections of society against arbitrary eviction.¹⁵

Judgments such as **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1987)** have also linked environmental justice to socio-economic rights, emphasizing the State's duty under DPSPs to protect natural resources for sustainable development.¹⁶

While these cases illustrate the judiciary's proactive stance, challenges in implementation persist. The judiciary's interpretations often serve as a catalyst for policy reform, encouraging the State to act in alignment with constitutional mandates. However, systemic inefficiencies and socio-economic disparities necessitate continuous vigilance to ensure that the spirit of these judgments translates into tangible empowerment for the most disadvantaged sections of society.

Examination of the Judiciary's Role in Enforcing Empowerment

Provisions

The judiciary has consistently served as the guardian of constitutional values, ensuring the enforcement of empowerment provisions that safeguard social and economic justice. As an integral pillar of democracy, it interprets constitutional mandates to bridge systemic gaps, uphold individual rights, and compel the State to fulfill its obligations toward marginalized groups.

One of the judiciary's most impactful roles is its proactive stance in clarifying the scope and intent of Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs). Although DPSPs are non-justiciable, courts have often emphasized their significance in shaping

¹³ Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (AIR 1997 SC 3011) – Guidelines to prevent sexual harassment.

¹⁴ Joseph Shine v. Union of India (2018) SCC Online SC 1678 – Striking down Section 497 for gender equality.

¹⁵ Olga Tellis v. Bombay Municipal Corporation (AIR 1986 SC 180) – Right to livelihood under Article 21.

¹⁶ M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (AIR 1987 SC 1086) – Environmental justice and DPSPs.

governance. For instance, the Supreme Court, in **State of Kerala v. N.M. Thomas (1976)**, recognized the Directive Principles as foundational to socio-economic justice and upheld affirmative action policies under Article 16.¹⁷ By linking DPSPs to enforceable Fundamental Rights, the judiciary strengthened the constitutional commitment to empowerment.

A key area where the judiciary enforces empowerment provisions is through its expansive interpretation of Article 21, the Right to Life and Personal Liberty. In **Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)**, the Supreme Court broadened the definition of Article 21 to include human dignity, directly influencing socio-economic rights such as health, housing, and education.¹⁸ This interpretation has catalyzed reforms aimed at improving living conditions for vulnerable populations. Similarly, in **Francis Coralie Mullin v. Union Territory of Delhi (1981)**, the Court reiterated that the right to life includes the right to live with dignity, enabling enforcement of policies that promote social security and economic upliftment.¹⁹

The judiciary's interventions under Articles 14 and 15 have been instrumental in combating discrimination and fostering equality. Cases such as **Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (1992)**, which upheld reservations in public employment, highlight its pivotal role in empowering historically disadvantaged groups.²⁰ These judgments reinforce the principles of social inclusion, ensuring that empowerment provisions are not merely aspirational but actively implemented.

Furthermore, the judiciary has often taken a progressive stance in interpreting Article 17, which abolishes untouchability. In **Union of India v. Prabhakaran Vijay Kumar (2008)**, the Court extended protections to manual scavengers, compelling the government to address caste-based exploitation and improve labor conditions.²¹ These rulings demonstrate the judiciary's unwavering commitment to eradicating social injustices and enforcing constitutional safeguards.

Economic empowerment has also been a recurring theme in judicial enforcement. In **Bandra**

¹⁷ State of Kerala v. N.M. Thomas (AIR 1976 SC 490) – Affirmative action and socio-economic justice.

¹⁸ Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (AIR 1978 SC 597) – Expanding the scope of Article 21.

¹⁹ Francis Coralie Mullin v. Union Territory of Delhi (AIR 1981 SC 746) – Right to dignity under Article 21.

²⁰ Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (AIR 1992 SC 477) – Reservations and social inclusion under Article 14.

²¹ Union of India v. Prabhakaran Vijay Kumar (AIR 2008 SC 1790) – Abolition of untouchability and labor protections under Article 17.

East Redevelopment Project v. Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (2016), the judiciary emphasized equitable access to urban infrastructure under Article 39, advocating for housing rights for economically weaker sections.²² Additionally, in **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973)**, the Court upheld the principle that socio-economic welfare is integral to the basic structure of the Constitution, thereby mandating the State to prioritize empowerment provisions in policymaking.²³

The judiciary's role extends beyond interpretation to monitoring and enforcing compliance. Public Interest Litigations (PILs) have emerged as a powerful tool for ensuring the implementation of empowerment provisions. For example, in **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1987)**, the Supreme Court directed the government to take measures for environmental protection, linking it to socio-economic rights under DPSPs.²⁴ Similarly, in **PUDR v. Union of India (1982)**, the Court addressed exploitative labor practices, compelling the State to enact welfare measures for unorganized workers.²⁵

Despite these advances, challenges remain in enforcing empowerment provisions effectively. Systemic inefficiencies, bureaucratic inertia, and socio-economic disparities often impede the realization of judicial mandates. However, the judiciary's proactive approach continues to inspire policy reforms and bolster public confidence in constitutional guarantees.

The judiciary's role in enforcing empowerment provisions is central to achieving the vision of Viksit Bharat 2047. By interpreting constitutional mandates with progressive foresight and aligning them with contemporary needs, the judiciary ensures that the promise of social and economic empowerment reaches every citizen. Its interventions exemplify the transformative power of law as a catalyst for inclusive growth and sustainable progress.

²² *Bandra East Redevelopment Project v. Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai* (AIR 2016 SC 236) – Economic empowerment through housing rights.

²³ *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (AIR 1973 SC 1461) – Socio-economic welfare as part of the Constitution's basic structure.

²⁴ *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India* (AIR 1987 SC 1086) – Environmental justice and empowerment under DPSPs.

²⁵ *PUDR v. Union of India* (AIR 1982 SC 1473) – Addressing exploitative labor practices and enforcing welfare measures.

Contemporary Challenges

A. Gaps in Implementation

One of the most pressing challenges is the failure to effectively implement constitutional provisions aimed at empowerment. Policies such as reservations, labor rights, and welfare schemes often fall short due to administrative inefficiencies, lack of coordination among stakeholders, and delays in execution. For instance, although Article 15(4) provides for the advancement of socially and educationally backward classes, many targeted benefits fail to reach their intended beneficiaries due to mismanagement and corruption.²⁶

Judicial rulings, such as those in *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India* (1992) and subsequent cases, have underlined the importance of implementing reservation policies judiciously.²⁷ However, issues such as inadequate outreach, bureaucratic delays, and lack of transparency continue to impede progress. Similarly, schemes like the Minimum Wages Act and Right to Education Act suffer from insufficient funding and enforcement, leaving vulnerable populations underserved.²⁸

B. Systemic Inequities

Caste-based discrimination, gender inequality, and socio-economic disparities remain pervasive challenges despite constitutional safeguards. Article 17 abolishes untouchability, yet practices rooted in caste hierarchies persist, particularly in rural areas, reinforcing cycles of exclusion and poverty.²⁹ Gender-based disparities are also evident in labor force participation, access to education, and representation in governance, despite the guarantees of equality under Articles 14 and 15. For example, women continue to face wage gaps and underrepresentation in leadership roles, undermining their economic empowerment.³⁰

The judicial system has often addressed these inequities. In *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997), the Supreme Court provided guidelines to combat workplace sexual harassment, emphasizing gender equality.³¹ Yet, the implementation of such rulings has been inconsistent, reflecting the broader societal resistance to transformative change.

²⁶ Challenges in implementing Article 15(4); see Granville Austin, "The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation."

²⁷ *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India* (AIR 1992 SC 477) – Affirmative action policies and systemic challenges.

²⁸ Gaps in enforcement of welfare legislations like Minimum Wages Act; NITI Aayog Reports, 2023.

²⁹ Persistent caste-based discrimination despite Article 17 protections; Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. VII, p. 298.

³⁰ Wage gaps and gender inequalities in labor force; ILO Reports on Gender Equality, 2024.

³¹ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (AIR 1997 SC 3011) – Guidelines for gender equality in workplaces.

C. Policy Inefficiencies

The mismatch between policy design and ground realities is another significant barrier. Welfare programs and affirmative action measures are frequently criticized for their top-down approach, which fails to consider the unique challenges faced by marginalized communities. For instance, the reservation system, while essential, has not been accompanied by comprehensive strategies to ensure the socio-economic upliftment of all disadvantaged groups, resulting in uneven progress.³²

Moreover, the lack of accountability in policy implementation often leads to resource misallocation. Inadequate monitoring mechanisms and the absence of regular audits exacerbate these inefficiencies, leaving many constitutional mandates unfulfilled. For example, Article 39(b) and (c), which advocate equitable distribution of resources and prevention of wealth concentration, are rarely reflected in economic policies, contributing to widening income inequalities.³³

D. Urban-Rural Divide

The disparity between urban and rural areas poses another challenge. While urban populations benefit from relatively better access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, rural communities often face neglect. This urban bias in policy focus undermines the constitutional vision of balanced regional development as emphasized in the Directive Principles.³⁴

E. Intersectional Challenges

The intersection of caste, gender, and economic status further complicates the pursuit of social and economic justice. For instance, Dalit women often face triple discrimination, limiting their access to education, healthcare, and political representation.³⁵ The absence of intersectional approaches in policy-making has perpetuated these overlapping vulnerabilities, undermining the constitutional promise of equality and justice.

³² Uneven impact of reservation policies; Census of India Reports, 2021.

³³ Limited implementation of Article 39(b) and (c); refer Economic Survey of India, 2023.

³⁴ Urban-rural disparity in access to resources; Planning Commission Reports, 2022.

³⁵ Intersectional challenges faced by Dalit women; UNDP Report on Human Development, 2024.

Challenges Faced by Marginalized Communities in Accessing Constitutional Benefits

1. Lack of Awareness

A critical obstacle is the limited awareness among marginalized populations about their constitutional rights and entitlements. Provisions such as Articles 14, 15, and 21, which guarantee equality, non-discrimination, and protection of life and dignity, often remain abstract ideals for individuals who lack access to legal education or advocacy.³⁶ Rural and tribal communities, in particular, struggle with understanding their rights due to language barriers, inadequate outreach, and insufficient dissemination of information about welfare schemes.

2. Bureaucratic Red Tape

The administrative machinery often functions in ways that marginalize rather than empower vulnerable groups. Complex procedures, delays in processing applications, and corruption create hurdles for communities attempting to avail constitutional benefits.³⁷ For instance, schemes under Articles 15(4) and 16(4) that provide reservations in education and employment frequently encounter resistance from local authorities or are mismanaged, resulting in exclusion rather than inclusion.

3. Socio-Cultural Barriers

Caste-based discrimination, gender-based inequities, and stigma continue to prevent marginalized individuals from fully enjoying constitutional protections. Despite the abolition of untouchability under Article 17, caste discrimination remains a pervasive issue, especially in rural areas.³⁸ Dalits often face social ostracism, limiting their access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities. Women, despite constitutional guarantees of equality under Articles 14 and 15, encounter gender biases and violence, further restricting their ability to claim rights and entitlements.³⁹

4. Intersectional Challenges

Marginalized communities often face overlapping vulnerabilities. For example, Dalit women

³⁶ Limited awareness of constitutional rights in rural areas; refer Census of India Reports, 2021.

³⁷ Bureaucratic delays and corruption in welfare schemes; NITI Aayog Reports, 2023.

³⁸ Persistent caste-based discrimination despite Article 17; Human Rights Watch Reports, 2024.

³⁹ Gender biases and violence limiting access to constitutional benefits; ILO Reports on Gender Equality, 2024.

experience discrimination based on caste, gender, and economic status, compounding their difficulties in accessing constitutional benefits.⁴⁰ The lack of intersectional approaches in policy-making further exacerbates these challenges, leaving many individuals excluded from welfare programs and affirmative action measures designed to support them.

5. Geographic Disparities

The urban-rural divide significantly affects the accessibility of constitutional benefits. Rural communities, particularly those in remote and tribal regions, often lack infrastructure, government presence, and access to welfare services.⁴¹ While urban populations may benefit from relatively better access to education, healthcare, and employment schemes, rural and tribal communities continue to face neglect, undermining the principles of balanced regional development enshrined in the Directive Principles.

Recommendations for Addressing Challenges

Community Awareness Programs: Initiatives to educate vulnerable populations about their constitutional rights and entitlements can empower them to claim benefits.

Streamlining Administrative Processes: Simplifying application procedures and increasing transparency in implementation can reduce bureaucratic hurdles.

Intersectional Policy Approaches: Policies must account for the overlapping vulnerabilities faced by groups, such as Dalit women, to ensure equitable access to benefits.

Enhancing Legal Aid: Strengthening legal aid networks and expediting justice delivery mechanisms can enable marginalized groups to assert their rights effectively.

Bridging the Urban-Rural Divide: Increased focus on rural infrastructure and targeted outreach programs can address geographic disparities.

Dr. Ambedkar's vision of egalitarian society can only be realized through sustained efforts to dismantle systemic barriers and ensure that every citizen has access to the rights and opportunities enshrined in the Constitution.

⁴⁰ Challenges faced by Dalit women; refer UNDP Report on Human Development, 2024.

⁴¹ Geographic disparities in accessing welfare schemes; Planning Commission Reports, 2022.

Future Outlook

Digital Inclusion: Bridging the Technology Divide

Ambedkar believed that access to resources and opportunities should be universal, and this ideal resonates strongly with the concept of digital inclusion. In an era where technology shapes access to education, healthcare, and employment, bridging the digital divide is essential to empowering marginalized communities. Initiatives such as Digital India aim to extend internet connectivity to rural areas, providing tools for education and skill development that can lift entire communities out of poverty.⁴²

However, the unequal distribution of digital infrastructure poses challenges to achieving true inclusivity. Women, Dalits, and tribal populations are disproportionately excluded from the digital ecosystem due to economic constraints and social barriers.⁴³ Dr. Ambedkar's commitment to eradicating systemic inequities underscores the importance of targeted policies to ensure that technological advancements benefit all sections of society. Expanding access to digital literacy programs, providing affordable devices, and promoting inclusive online platforms are vital steps toward realizing his vision in the digital age.

Education: A Catalyst for Empowerment

Dr. Ambedkar regarded education as the cornerstone of social and economic transformation, famously asserting that "Education is the milk of a tigress; whoever drinks it will roar."⁴⁴ His advocacy for universal access to education laid the groundwork for several constitutional provisions, including Article 21A, which guarantees the right to education. Modern policies such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 aim to enhance the quality and accessibility of education, particularly for underserved communities.

Despite these efforts, significant gaps remain. Rural schools often suffer from inadequate infrastructure, and dropout rates among girls and marginalized communities are alarmingly high.⁴⁵ To align with Ambedkar's ideals, educational reforms must focus on inclusivity and equity. Investments in infrastructure, teacher training, and digital education tools can bridge these gaps. Additionally, promoting scholarship schemes and vocational training programs for

⁴² Digital India's role in bridging the digital divide; see NITI Aayog Reports, 2023.

⁴³ Challenges in digital inclusion for marginalized groups; Economic Survey of India, 2023.

⁴⁴ Ambedkar's quote on education; Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Speeches and Writings, Vol. I, p. 351.

⁴⁵ Rural education challenges and dropout rates; UNICEF Report on Education, 2024.

disadvantaged groups can ensure that education becomes a true vehicle for empowerment, as envisioned by Ambedkar.

Labor Rights: Ensuring Dignity of Work

Dr. Ambedkar's contributions to labor rights were pioneering, emphasizing the dignity of work and the necessity of fair wages, reasonable working hours, and social security.⁴⁶ His efforts led to the inclusion of Articles 23 and 24, prohibiting forced labor and child labor, and influenced labor laws that continue to shape policy today.

In the modern context, labor markets face new challenges, including informal employment, lack of job security, and insufficient social protections. The gig economy, while offering flexible opportunities, often exploits workers by denying them benefits like health insurance or minimum wages.⁴⁷ Ambedkar's ideals call for stronger regulations to protect workers' rights in this evolving landscape. Policies must focus on formalizing the informal sector, enhancing social security coverage, and ensuring fair working conditions across industries.

The integration of technology in labor markets also presents opportunities for advancing Ambedkar's vision. Initiatives to upskill workers through digital platforms, expand access to remote work, and promote entrepreneurship among marginalized groups can address structural inequities in employment. For instance, programs targeting Dalit and tribal artisans to sell their products on e-commerce platforms have the potential to create sustainable livelihoods while preserving cultural heritage.

Strategies for Achieving the Goals of Viksit Bharat 2047 Through Constitutional Mandates

1. Strengthening Socio-Economic Justice Through Education and Skill Development

Education and skill development serve as catalysts for social and economic empowerment, directly contributing to the goals of Viksit Bharat. Articles 21A (Right to Education) and 41 (Right to work and education) provide constitutional backing for reforms that prioritize universal access to quality education and vocational training.⁴⁸

Policies under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 must be implemented

⁴⁶ Ambedkar's contributions to labor rights; see Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. IX, p. 75.

⁴⁷ Exploitation in the gig economy; ILO Reports on Employment Trends, 2024.

⁴⁸ Constitutional provisions for education and skill development; see Articles 21A and 41, Indian Constitution.

effectively to bridge urban-rural divides and reduce dropout rates among marginalized groups. Special focus should be given to promoting digital education and skill-based training programs that prepare citizens for evolving labor markets.⁴⁹ This aligns with Ambedkar's belief in education as the key to empowerment, enabling citizens to participate meaningfully in economic and governance processes.

2. Inclusive Economic Policies and Equitable Resource Distribution

Achieving economic justice requires robust policies rooted in Articles 39(b) and (c), which advocate equitable distribution of resources and prevention of wealth concentration.⁵⁰ The focus should be on formalizing informal sectors, promoting entrepreneurship, and expanding financial inclusion among marginalized groups. Initiatives like priority lending for small-scale enterprises and targeted subsidies for tribal and Dalit communities can foster economic resilience. To ensure transparency, digital platforms for monitoring resource allocation can be utilized, making public spending more accountable.⁵¹

3. Bridging Gender Gaps in Development

To achieve true inclusivity, gender equality must be prioritized as an essential goal. Articles 14 and 15 provide constitutional safeguards against gender discrimination, and these must be reinforced through policies that promote women's participation in education, employment, and governance.⁵² Strategies to reduce wage gaps, enhance maternity benefits, and provide targeted financial incentives for women entrepreneurs can empower women economically. Programs like Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao should be scaled further, addressing structural barriers and ensuring gender-sensitive implementation.⁵³

4. Promoting Rural Development and Bridging Regional Disparities

The Directive Principles emphasize balanced regional development, urging the State to actively work toward bridging urban-rural divides.⁵⁴ Strategies under Article 40, focusing on the organization of village panchayats, must be revitalized to empower rural communities. Investments in infrastructure, access to healthcare, and rural employment schemes such as MGNREGA (Mahatma Gandhi National Rural

⁴⁹ Implementation of NEP 2020; refer UNICEF Report on Education, 2024.

⁵⁰ Economic justice through equitable resource distribution; refer Articles 39(b) and (c), Granville Austin, "The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation."

⁵¹ Digital tools for monitoring public spending; see NITI Aayog Vision Document, 2023.

⁵² Gender equality under Articles 14 and 15; ILO Reports on Gender Parity, 2024.

⁵³ Expanding Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao; Government of India Reports, 2024.

⁵⁴ Balanced regional development under Articles 40 and 48; refer Planning Commission Reports, 2023.

Employment Guarantee Act) can drive progress. Promoting agricultural sustainability through Article 48 and integrating modern technologies in farming can enhance productivity while supporting rural livelihoods.⁵⁵

5. Advancing Labor Rights and Dignity of Work

Dr. Ambedkar's emphasis on fair labor practices and dignity of work is highly relevant to achieving developmental goals. Articles 23 and 24 provide constitutional protection against forced labor and child labor, while Articles 38 and 41 advocate for fair working conditions and adequate wages.⁵⁶ Formalizing informal sectors and enforcing minimum wage laws can ensure economic stability for workers. Programs targeting gig and platform workers, who often lack social security, should prioritize benefits such as health insurance, retirement schemes, and skills enhancement. Initiatives must also focus on integrating marginalized workers, such as Dalits and tribal populations, into mainstream labor markets.⁵⁷

Conclusion: A Path Toward Viksit Bharat 2047

As India approaches the milestone of its centenary of independence, the ideals of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar remain a timeless beacon guiding the nation toward progress and justice. His vision of an egalitarian society, rooted in the principles of constitutional empowerment, continues to inspire efforts to bridge historic inequalities and embrace transformative reforms. By aligning his principles with modern strategies—digital inclusion, universal education, labor protections, and sustainable development—India holds the potential to unlock a future where every citizen shares in the promise of growth and dignity.

Upholding the Constitution's ideals is more than a duty—it is a transformative journey toward a future where progress is shared and sustainable. As India embraces technology and innovation while remaining rooted in its rich constitutional heritage, it can rise as a model of inclusivity and equity. Dr. Ambedkar's legacy reminds us that true progress lies in lifting every voice, lighting every path, and ensuring that no individual is left behind in the march toward Viksit Bharat.

⁵⁵ Sustainable agriculture under Article 48; see FAO Reports on India, 2023.

⁵⁶ Labor protections under Articles 23 and 24; ILO Reports on Employment Trends, 2024.

⁵⁷ Integrating marginalized workers into labor markets; refer Economic Survey of India, 2023.

This journey is not just about development but about humanity—it is about building a nation that thrives because of its diversity, a nation where every citizen feels the power of belonging, and a nation that celebrates its commitment to justice as the foundation of its future. Together, India can fulfill the constitutional promise and honor Dr. Ambedkar's unparalleled vision, creating a society that is inclusive, equitable, and unwavering in its pursuit of dignity for all.

