

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper is partially shown. A black leather watch with a silver dial is also visible on the desk. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular area is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTHCARE **LEGAL, ETHICAL AND REGULATORY** **CHALLENGES IN THE ERA OF DIGITAL MEDICINE**

AUTHORED BY - A. PRATHAM SURANA
5TH YEAR, BBA LLB (HONS), School of Law,
Vels Institute of Science, Technology And Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai

CO-AUTHOR - PASUPATHI GK
Assistant Professor, School of Law,
Vels Institute of Science, Technology And Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai

Abstract:

Healthcare providers are adjusting their methods of patient care, diagnosis, and treatment in response to the growing prevalence of artificial intelligence (AI). Machine learning, NLP, and predictive analytics are just a few examples of the cutting-edge technologies that have revolutionized healthcare by making it more accessible, accurate, and efficient. Using AI in conjunction with early illness identification, individualized treatment regimens, or robotic surgery presents substantial prospects to enhance patient outcomes while reducing healthcare expenditures.

Additional regulatory, ethical, and legal hurdles arise with the introduction of AI into healthcare. Legislators and healthcare providers face numerous obstacles when dealing with patient permission, algorithmic bias, accountability for medical mistakes, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and the confidentiality and privacy of personal data. The proper application of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare will be further complicated by the absence of thorough regulatory frameworks in most jurisdictions.

This research document aims to examine the ethical and legal considerations surrounding the application of artificial intelligence in healthcare, as well as its potential effects on healthcare delivery. With a focus on safeguarding patient data and rights, this research will also investigate existing legislative frameworks, judicial viewpoints, and policy efforts controlling AI

utilization in healthcare. The purpose of this research is to outline the potential problems and hazards of using AI in healthcare and to offer solutions that will lead to the responsible, secure, and equitable use of AI in healthcare.

Ethical Issues and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

The potential benefits of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare are enormous, but the complex ethical questions raised by these advancements must be carefully considered before AI is integrated into healthcare systems. Ethics in healthcare revolve around four pillars: justice, beneficence, non-maleficence, and respect for autonomy. Concerns with the application of traditional ethical principles to medical decision-making and management arise in the context of sensitive healthcare settings where automated means, algorithmic decision-making, or projections involving multi-source structured data are present. Maintaining respect, equity, and dignity in healthcare requires that AI technologies conform to ethical standards.

Concerns about patient autonomy and informed consent are the healthcare industry's top ethical concerns when it comes to AI. It is extremely unlikely that the patient will be able to comprehend the reasoning behind an AI tool's actions due to the complexity of the algorithms that control and influence the tool's behavior. Since the patient does not fully grasp the nature, anticipated outcomes, and risks of AI use in healthcare provision, it will be extremely challenging for the patient to exercise informed consent when it comes to medical treatment decisions that were impacted or created by AI. A life-altering medical decision based on AI is ethically incorrect if AI is a "black box" when it comes to explaining how a choice was arrived at and has no explanatory capabilities.

Another significant ethical dilemma is the prevalence of algorithmic prejudice and discrimination. Many big datasets used to train AI systems may include gender, location, and socioeconomic status biases, among other things. As a result, AI systems may reinforce existing biases or introduce new ones. Some examples of biased AI behavior include giving patients unduly biased reassessment recommendations or giving them incorrect diagnoses. Inequitable access to essential medical services and care for marginalized populations could result from AI's inherent bias against those populations. When one considers that health care is founded on the core values of equity and justice, prejudice can manifest in catastrophic ways. In order to prevent AI systems from producing biased results, it is crucial to devise a plan that guarantees variety and representation in training data.

According to the concept of beneficence, a healthcare provider has an ethical obligation to prioritize their patients' well-being above all else. There is a concern that healthcare providers may rely too much on decision-support systems, which could lead to the replacement of human judgment by AI. Being a doctor is more than just fixing someone's broken body; it's frequently a very personal, emotionally charged encounter requiring empathy and comprehension. Relying too heavily on AI has the potential to separate patients and providers, which could weaken the therapeutic interaction at its core. Maintaining ethical norms in health care requires a balance between technical support and human participation. Consistent with the non-maleficence concept is the beneficence principle, which states that one must "do no harm." Inadequately tested or badly built artificial intelligence (AI) systems pose a threat to human safety due to their potentially harmful predictions. Misdiagnoses and/or improper treatment recommendations can occur as a result of AI system failures, inadequate datasets, or algorithmic errors. Artificial intelligence systems lack the moral sense and ability to weigh the ethical consequences of an action, in contrast to human practitioners. Consequently, protecting AI systems against harm will rely heavily on their thorough validation, testing, and monitoring. Additional significant obstacles arise from ethical concerns pertaining to explainability and openness. A black-box model is used by many complex algorithms that are based on advanced machine-learning (ML) models. This paradigm is notoriously hard to understand. This intricacy necessitates that healthcare personnel possess a solid grasp of the logic behind the recommendations generated by AI tools. Only then can they effectively communicate, justify, and back up these recommendations to their patients. It will be very difficult for the physician to establish accountability without being able to clearly explain the reasoning behind each advice; consequently, patients may lose faith in the system. That is why systems must be put in place to guarantee that algorithmic judgments are interpretable and explicable if AI is to be used ethically in therapeutic settings.

Topics such as privacy and secrecy fall within the broad category of ethics. The training and successful functioning of artificial intelligence depend on massive volumes of data about patients, and this data is extremely sensitive. There are major moral concerns when it comes to the possibility of profiting from the use of patient data, the unauthorised access to or exploitation of patient data, or both. An ongoing worry is the possibility of re-identification of patients who were previously shielded from identification by means of sophisticated analytical methods or other analytical instruments. To guarantee ethical integrity in AI-enabled healthcare, it is vital to protect patient confidentiality and implement responsible data

governance.

Concerns about the dehumanization of healthcare due to the rise of digital interfaces and automated systems raise further ethical concerns about AI in healthcare. These developments have the potential to diminish the amount of face-to-face contact that patients and healthcare providers have. While virtual consultations and chatbots powered by artificial intelligence do improve patients' capacity to communicate with their doctors, they also run the risk of making patients feel cold and uncaring about their treatment. To guarantee the continued existence of healthcare's ethical basis, it is crucial to preserve empathy, compassion, and human connection within healthcare practice.

When medical judgments are aided by Artificial Intelligence (AI) or other types of machine learning, determining responsibility for errors becomes more complicated, leading to ethical concerns around professional accountability. Computer programs lack the capacity for moral reasoning and decency. Healthcare professionals will still need to apply their own discretion when making medical judgments, even when aided by AI. AI is meant to supplement human judgment, not take its place. Healthcare providers run the danger of making morally ambiguous decisions in the absence of established standards.

Addressing the digital divide is an ethical concern when it comes to fair access to AI-based healthcare services, which is why policies that support the use of AI in healthcare must do just that. It is more likely that rural or historically disadvantaged populations will remain without the infrastructure to leverage technological advances, even while wealthier institutions and bigger cities may reap more benefits from better healthcare and access to technology. Because of this, healthcare disparities will probably remain or perhaps worsen due to discrepancies in the distribution of AI technology, instead of becoming better. Policies and methods to overcome structural imbalances and provide equitable access to these new technologies are necessary for the ethical application of AI in healthcare.

Ultimately, there are a lot of moving parts when it comes to the complex ethical questions raised by AI in healthcare. The use of AI has enormous promise for better medical outcomes; however, this promise can only be realized if ethical norms are upheld and patients are treated with the respect and dignity they deserve when using AI. It is critical to strike a balance between technology advancement and human values if the healthcare system is to continue to earn

people's trust. To make sure that AI is utilized to improve healthcare instead of make it worse, we need ethical governance frameworks, cross-disciplinary oversight, and continuous monitoring of AI systems.

