



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL
ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and

a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & PHD from university of Kota. He has successfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the Ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; PH.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St. Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing PH.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of Law, Forensic Justice and Policy Studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Inter-country adoption laws from Uttarakhand University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, PH.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, PH.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University. More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on CR.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); PH.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provide dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INDIAN LAW – PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES

AUTHORED BY - PUNDREEK KUMAR TIWARI

Prayag Vidhi Mahavidhyalay

Prof. Rajendra Singh (Rajju Bhaiya) University, Prayagraj

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming global societies, economies, and governance frameworks. In India, the adoption of AI technologies across sectors such as healthcare, law enforcement, and finance has raised significant legal and ethical questions regarding privacy, data protection, and human rights. Despite the enactment of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, the Indian legal system lacks a comprehensive AI-specific regulatory framework. This paper critically examines the intersection of AI and Indian law, focusing on privacy concerns, regulatory gaps, and ethical challenges. Comparative analysis of international frameworks such as the European Union's AI Act and the OECD Principles on AI provides insights into best practices for India. The study concludes with policy recommendations aimed at balancing innovation with the protection of constitutional rights, ensuring responsible AI governance in India.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Privacy, Data Protection, Ethics, Indian Law, GDPR, AI Regulation, Human Rights.

I. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI), broadly defined as the capability of machines to perform tasks requiring human intelligence, has permeated various aspects of modern life, including governance, healthcare, and commerce. The Indian government's push towards a "Digital India" has accelerated AI adoption, leveraging its potential to enhance service delivery and decision-making processes. However, the integration of AI raises critical legal challenges—especially concerning privacy, data protection, and ethical governance.

India's constitutional framework guarantees the right to privacy under Article 21, as interpreted by the Supreme Court in *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India* (2017),^[1] yet the rapid deployment of AI systems risks infringing upon these rights without sufficient safeguards. The absence of a unified AI regulatory framework leads to fragmented governance, potentially exacerbating risks such as algorithmic bias, surveillance overreach, and data misuse.

This paper aims to analyze the current legal landscape governing AI in India, juxtapose it with international regulatory developments, and identify ethical dilemmas inherent in AI deployment. It proposes recommendations for a robust AI governance model that respects fundamental rights while promoting innovation.

II. Legal Framework in India

A. Constitutional Foundations

The Constitution of India protects fundamental rights which are pertinent to AI governance, primarily Articles 14, 19, and 21. Article 21's expansive interpretation includes the right to privacy, recognized explicitly in *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017),^[1] which affirms that informational privacy is integral to life and liberty. This jurisprudence forms the basis for regulating AI systems that process personal data or affect individuals' freedoms.

Article 14, ensuring equality before law, is particularly relevant in preventing discriminatory AI outcomes.^[2] AI algorithms, if unchecked, may perpetuate societal biases, contravening equality principles. Article 19 protects freedom of speech and expression, potentially threatened by AI-enabled surveillance or censorship.

B. Statutory Framework

1. Information Technology Act, 2000

India's primary cyber law, the IT Act 2000, regulates electronic governance and cybercrime but lacks specific provisions addressing AI technologies' unique challenges. The IT (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011 impose obligations on data handlers but do not cover algorithmic transparency or AI accountability.^[3]

2. Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023

The recently enacted DPDP Act establishes data fiduciary responsibilities and data

subject rights, including consent and data portability.[4] While it signifies progress in data protection, the Act is silent on AI-specific issues such as explainability, algorithmic impact assessments, and automated decision-making safeguards.

3. Sectoral Guidelines

Sector regulators, such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), have issued AI-related advisories (e.g., on credit scoring and fintech). However, these are fragmented and lack statutory backing, underscoring the need for a comprehensive legal framework.

C. Judicial Responses

Indian courts have yet to adjudicate AI-specific disputes extensively but have articulated principles applicable to AI governance, such as the need for reasoned decisions (*Union of India v. Mohan Lal Capoor*),[5] proportionality (*Modern Dental College v. State of Madhya Pradesh*),[6] and protection of privacy and dignity.

III. International Perspectives

A. European Union: GDPR and AI Act

The EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (2016) is a landmark privacy framework that imposes strict rules on data processing, including automated decision-making and profiling, mandating transparency and the "right to explanation." [7]

The proposed EU AI Act (2024) introduces a risk-based regulatory model classifying AI applications from prohibited to minimal risk, with strict requirements for high-risk systems involving human oversight, transparency, and conformity assessments.[8]

B. OECD AI Principles

The OECD's non-binding AI Principles emphasize human-centric values, transparency, accountability, and robustness, providing a flexible framework adopted by over 40 countries.[9]

C. UNESCO Recommendation on Ethics of AI

UNESCO's 2021 Recommendation calls for AI to uphold human rights, avoid discrimination, promote sustainability, and ensure transparency and fairness.[10]

IV. Ethical Challenges

A. Algorithmic Bias

Bias in AI arises from training data reflecting social prejudices, potentially perpetuating discrimination against marginalized groups.[11] For example, racial biases in the U.S. COMPAS algorithm demonstrate real harms, a cautionary tale for India's diverse socio-economic fabric.[12]

B. Surveillance and Privacy

AI-powered surveillance tools, including facial recognition, pose threats to privacy and civil liberties. The Delhi Police's facial recognition deployment, criticized for lack of accuracy and legal oversight, illustrates these concerns.[13]

C. Explainability and Accountability

Opaque "black box" AI systems undermine accountability, limiting individuals' ability to challenge adverse decisions. Legal frameworks mandating explainability and human-in-the-loop mechanisms are crucial.

D. Liability Gaps

The ambiguity around liability for AI-caused harm creates ethical and legal uncertainty, necessitating clear regulatory guidance.

V. Comparative Analysis and Policy Gaps

India's AI governance framework is nascent compared to international standards, lacking risk-based classification, mandatory impact assessments, and institutional oversight. Enforcement mechanisms remain weak, and ethical guidelines are largely voluntary.

VI. Recommendations

- **Establish an AI Regulatory Authority of India (AIRA)** with licensing, audit, and grievance redressal powers.
- **Implement a risk-based classification framework** for AI applications inspired by the EU AI Act.
- **Amend existing laws** (IT Act, DPDP Act) to incorporate AI-specific provisions on transparency, explainability, and accountability.

- **Mandate algorithmic impact assessments** and human oversight for high-risk AI systems.
- **Develop ethical AI guidelines** emphasizing bias mitigation, fairness, and sustainability.
- **Enhance judicial and public capacity** through training and awareness programs.
- **Align India's AI laws with international norms** to promote global cooperation.

VII. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence presents both immense opportunities and profound challenges for India. While AI can accelerate development and governance efficiency, unregulated deployment threatens constitutional rights to privacy, equality, and dignity. India's current legal framework offers limited protection, necessitating comprehensive, rights-based AI governance. Learning from international models and ethical frameworks, India must enact a robust regulatory architecture balancing innovation with fundamental rights. Such governance will foster public trust, ensure accountability, and enable AI to serve as a tool for equitable progress.

Bibliography

Statutes and Legal Instruments

- Digital Personal Data Protection Act, No. 22 of 2023, India Code (2023).
- Information Technology Act, 2000, India Code (2000).
- Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation), 2016 O.J. (L 119) 1.
- Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act), COM (2021) 206 final.

Cases

- *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 1.
- *Union of India v. Mohan Lal Capoor*, (1973) 2 SCC 836.
- *Modern Dental College & Research Centre v. State of Madhya Pradesh*, (2016) 7 SCC 353.
- *State v. Loomis*, 881 N.W.2d 749 (Wis. 2016).

Reports and Articles

- NITI Aayog, *Responsible AI for All: Approach Document for India* (2021).
- Julia Angwin et al., “Machine Bias,” *ProPublica* (May 23, 2016).
- Internet Freedom Foundation, *Automated Facial Recognition in India: A Primer* (2022).

Web Sources

- OECD AI Principles, OECD, <https://oecd.ai> (last visited Aug. 10, 2025).
- European Commission, AI Regulation, <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/european-approach-artificial-intelligence> (last visited Aug. 10, 2025).

Footnotes

1. *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 1 (India).
2. INDIA CONST. art. 14.
3. Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011.
4. Digital Personal Data Protection Act, No. 22 of 2023, India Code (2023).
5. *Union of India v. Mohan Lal Capoor*, (1973) 2 SCC 836.
6. *Modern Dental College & Research Centre v. State of Madhya Pradesh*, (2016) 7 SCC 353.
7. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation), 2016 O.J. (L 119) 1.
8. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act), COM (2021) 206 final.
9. OECD, *OECD Principles on Artificial Intelligence* (2019), <https://oecd.ai>.
10. UNESCO, *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence* (2021), <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373434>.
11. Julia Angwin et al., “Machine Bias,” *ProPublica* (May 23, 2016).
12. *State v. Loomis*, 881 N.W.2d 749 (Wis. 2016).
13. Internet Freedom Foundation, *Automated Facial Recognition in India: A Primer* (2022).