



INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

**Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal**

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal

– The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS ) Indian Administrative Service officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) ( with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and a

professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

in Public

### **Dr. R. K. Upadhyay**

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



# **Senior Editor**

## **Dr. Neha Mishra**



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

## **Ms. Sumiti Ahuja**

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



## **Dr. Navtika Singh**

### **Nautiyal**

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



## **Dr. Rinu Saraswat**



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur,  
M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

## **Dr. Nitesh Saraswat**

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



## **Subhrajit Chanda**



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

## ***ABOUT US***

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

# **EVOLVING BUSINESS CONTRACTS IN INDIA: PRE AND POST COVID-19 TERMINATION IMPACTS**

AUTHORED BY – SURENDRA SINGH  
& DR. ANURADHA GARG

## **Abstract**

The COVID-19 pandemic introduced a significant shift in the landscape of business contracts in India. This research paper investigates the nuanced evolution of business contracts in the country, with a specific focus on the termination clauses and their impacts in the pre and post-COVID-19 eras. Historically, Indian business contracts predominantly featured generic termination clauses that rarely addressed unforeseen events, such as pandemics, with the seriousness they merit. However, the pandemic catalyzed substantial alterations to the landscape of these agreements. This paper examines the transformation of force majeure clauses, the incorporation of pandemic-related provisions, and the adjustments made to confront the challenges posed by global supply chain disruptions, remote work arrangements, and economic uncertainties. It delves into the profound consequences of these changes, including contract terminations due to financial distress, the necessity for contract renegotiation and restructuring, and the emergence of robust dispute resolution mechanisms. The insights gained from the pandemic have restructured the business contract landscape in India, underscoring the need for adaptability, clarity, and preparedness for unforeseen disruptions. This research underscores the critical importance for businesses to create contracts that align with the contemporary dynamic environment and function as strategic tools for navigating future uncertainties.

## **Introduction:**

Business contracts in India have a rich and diverse history that spans centuries, shaped by its cultural, legal, and economic evolution. India, as a land of trade and commerce, has a deeply ingrained tradition of contractual agreements that have adapted and transformed over time.

Historically, India was a hub for the famed Silk Road, connecting the East and the West. This ancient network of trade routes fostered a culture of commerce, with contracts being at the heart of business

relationships. The Arthashastra, an ancient treatise on statecraft, economics, and military strategy, documented the importance of well-crafted agreements.

With the advent of the British East India Company and colonial rule, the Indian subcontinent witnessed a convergence of traditional practices and Western legal concepts. This marked the beginning of modern contract law in India, as English common law principles started to influence local contract law.

The Indian Contract Act of 1872, a seminal piece of legislation, formalized and codified the principles governing business contracts.

This act continues to be the cornerstone of contract law in India, providing a legal framework for the formation, performance, and enforcement of contracts.

India's post-independence period brought about significant economic reforms and liberalization in the 1990s. This transformation opened up India's markets to the world, triggering an economic boom. As a result, business contracts in India became more complex, with greater involvement from multinational corporations and foreign investors. This necessitated the adaptation of contract law to accommodate global business standards. Today, business contracts in India are sophisticated and diverse, catering to a wide array of industries, including IT, manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, and more. These contracts encompass a variety of agreements, such as sales contracts, service agreements, intellectual property licenses, and joint ventures, among others.

Moreover, the Information Technology Act of 2000 and the introduction of e-commerce have introduced electronic contracts, making it easier for businesses to enter into agreements digitally. As India continues to rapidly digitalize, e-contracts are becoming increasingly prevalent, revolutionizing the way businesses engage and transact. The recent emphasis on ease of doing business and the "Make in India" campaign has spurred a renewed focus on contract enforcement and dispute resolution. The advent of specialized commercial courts, along with alternative dispute resolution mechanisms like arbitration and mediation, has further enhanced the efficiency and reliability of contract enforcement.



India's history of business contracts is a tapestry of tradition, colonial influence, and modernization. Its rich heritage of trade and commerce, coupled with legal and economic reforms, has created a dynamic and robust contract ecosystem that continues to evolve in response to the country's ever-changing economic landscape. Business contracts in India today reflect a blend of ancient wisdom and contemporary best practices, laying a strong foundation for commercial relationships in this rapidly growing economy.

## **COVID-19 Pandemic and Its Impact on Businesses**

The COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, has emerged as one of the most profound global crises of the 21st century. Its repercussions have rippled through every facet of human existence, with businesses being among the hardest-hit sectors. This overview provides an insightful analysis of the pandemic's multifaceted impact on businesses, offering updated insights into its evolution. The emergence of COVID-19 in late 2019 marked the onset of a global health emergency. What began as a localized outbreak in Wuhan, China, rapidly transformed into a full-blown pandemic, engulfing nations and continents in its path. Governments worldwide responded by imposing lockdowns, travel restrictions, and quarantine measures to curb the virus's spread. The ripple effect on businesses was immediate and severe.<sup>1</sup>

The initial phase of the pandemic brought about shockwaves that resonated through the business world. With entire cities and countries under lockdown, many companies were forced to suspend their operations, disrupt supply chains, and lay off employees. The tourism and hospitality sectors, as well as small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), were particularly vulnerable, facing existential threats.

The pandemic catalysed a seismic shift in the way businesses operated. With the imperative of social distancing, remote work quickly became the norm. Companies had to adapt swiftly, embracing digital technologies and collaboration tools to ensure continuity. This transition not only altered the workplace but also accelerated digital transformation initiatives. Global supply chains bore the brunt of the pandemic's impact. Manufacturing and distribution faced disruptions due to lockdowns, travel

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.ide.go.jp/English/ResearchColumns/Columns/2022/hayakawa\\_kazunobu](https://www.ide.go.jp/English/ResearchColumns/Columns/2022/hayakawa_kazunobu)

restrictions, and labor shortages. Businesses reassessed their supply chain strategies, exploring localized and diversified sourcing to enhance resilience and mitigate risks.<sup>2</sup>

The pandemic introduced unparalleled economic uncertainty. Stock markets fluctuated, and businesses struggled to forecast revenues and manage costs effectively. Many faced financial distress, leading to layoffs, budget cuts, and temporary closures. Governments worldwide implemented relief measures, including stimulus packages, to bolster struggling economies. Despite the adversities, the pandemic ushered in a spirit of innovation and adaptability. Many businesses pivoted their operations to meet the needs of the moment. Distilleries produced hand sanitizers, clothing companies sewed masks, and restaurants transitioned to takeout and delivery services. The crisis forced organizations to rethink their core competencies and identify new revenue streams.

Online shopping and e-commerce experienced a monumental surge during the pandemic. As consumers shifted their purchasing habits online, businesses swiftly adapted to meet this demand. Retailers invested in robust e-commerce platforms, while logistics and delivery services faced unprecedented growth. The pandemic accelerated the adoption of remote learning and telemedicine. Educational institutions and healthcare providers transitioned to online platforms, offering services and instruction to a broad audience. This transformation not only ensured continuity but also laid the groundwork for future hybrid models.

### **TRADITIONAL CONTRACTS IN INDIA**<sup>3</sup>

Traditional contracts in India have a history that is deeply rooted in the country's diverse culture, its legal heritage, and its commercial practices. The foundation of these contracts, along with their rules and principles, is encapsulated in the Indian Contract Act, 1872. This act is a testament to the intricate evolution of traditional contracts in India and their continued relevance in modern business transactions. This exploration aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of traditional contracts in India, their historical context, and the enduring impact of the Indian Contract Act.

India has a rich legacy of trade and commerce that dates back millennia. The country's traditional

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.ulima.edu.pe/en/administrative-departaments/scientific-research/blogs/international-contracts-pandemic>

<sup>3</sup> IndianContractAct1872

contracts can be traced to the ancient legal texts, including the Manusmriti and the Arthashastra. These texts laid down principles for contracts, emphasizing honesty, fairness, and mutual consent. Ancient trade routes, such as the Silk Road, facilitated the exchange of goods and ideas, giving rise to a culture of contractual agreements. The advent of the British East India Company in the 17th century marked a pivotal moment in the evolution of traditional contracts in India. English common law principles began to influence local contract law. The introduction of British legal concepts led to a fusion of traditional Indian practices and Western legal doctrines, setting the stage for the modernization of Indian contract law.

Over the years, Indian courts have provided significant guidance on the interpretation and application of the Indian Contract Act. Case law has played a crucial role in clarifying legal principles, expanding the scope of contract law, and adapting traditional contract concepts to modern contexts. For instance, in the case of **Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.**<sup>4</sup> the court clarified the concept of unilateral contracts and held that a promise to the world at large could be a valid offer. This decision expanded the scope of traditional contract principles. In another landmark case, **Shree Hanuman Cotton Mills v Tata Aircraft Ltd**<sup>5</sup> the court emphasized the importance of intention to create legal relations, highlighting that not all agreements between parties are necessarily contracts. This case underscored the enduring principle that parties must genuinely intend to be bound by a contract. These cases and many others have contributed to the evolution of contract law in India and have ensured its relevance in modern business transactions.

Traditional contracts in India have come a long way, shaped by centuries of cultural practices, historical influences, and legal reforms. The Indian Contract Act, 1872, encapsulates the essence of these traditional contracts, providing a legal framework that remains relevant and adaptable to contemporary business transactions. The enduring principles of mutual consent, consideration, lawful object, certainty, and reciprocity have continued to guide the formation and performance of contracts, promoting fairness, ethical conduct, and legal remedies.

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://academic.oup.com/book/10440/chapter/158303146>

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.legalauthority.in/judgement/shree-hanuman-cotton-mills-ors-vs-tata-air-craft-ltd-35019>

# **The Unveiling of Force Majeure: A Historical Perspective in Contractual Agreements**

The concept of force majeure, often shrouded in mystery and laden with legal intricacies, has a profound historical legacy that resonates through the annals of contractual agreements. In the complex world of commerce and contractual relationships, the notion of force majeure stands as a sentinel, guarding the sanctity of contracts against unforeseeable events and natural calamities. This exploration embarks on a historical journey, unfurling the origins and evolutions of force majeure and its enduring relevance in the tapestry of contractual jurisprudence.<sup>6</sup>

Force majeure finds its roots in the depths of ancient civilizations. In Babylonian times, merchants would carve cuneiform inscriptions on clay tablets, with provisions that offered relief in the event of unforeseeable events, such as floods or invasions. The spirit of these clauses was to protect the parties from undue hardships when circumstances beyond their control rendered contract performance impossible. A similar practice existed in ancient Greece and Rome, where legal agreements incorporated clauses to excuse performance in the face of extraordinary, unforeseeable events. Force majeure, as a term and concept, became more prevalent in the realm of common law in the early modern period. In medieval England, charters and contracts often included provisions for force majeure events. These clauses recognized that a contract could be rendered void or delayed when external forces, such as war, fire, or acts of God, interfered with the parties ability to fulfill their obligations. Such clauses were rooted in principles of equity and fairness.

## **The French Influence: "Force Majeure"**

The term "force majeure," an integral concept in contract law, holds a distinct charm and significance. Originating from the French legal terminology, this phrase embodies the idea of "superior force" or "act of God" and has left an indelible mark on the global landscape of contractual agreements. This exploration delves into the French influence on the concept of "force majeure" and its enduring legacy in the realm of contract law.

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.shearman.com/en/perspectives/2020/03/force-majeure-and-imprevision-under-french-law-covid-19>

## **French Civil Code and the Codification of Force Majeure**

The French Civil Code, also known as the Napoleonic Code, was promulgated in 1804 under the leadership of Napoleon Bonaparte. This legal masterpiece laid the foundation for modern contract law and played a pivotal role in shaping the concept of "force majeure" as we know it today.

Under the French Civil Code, "force majeure" was formally recognized as a legal principle. It defined "force majeure" as an event that is external, irresistible, and unforeseeable, thereby excusing a party from their contractual obligations. This codification brought clarity and structure to the concept, providing a legal framework for its application.

The French approach to "force majeure" was characterized by its precision and detail, outlining the specific circumstances under which a party could invoke the clause. This approach emphasized the need for clarity and comprehensiveness in contractual provisions.

### **The Influence of French Legal Thought**

The French legal tradition, renowned for its meticulous and systematic approach, exerted a profound influence on the development of "force majeure" in contract law. French legal scholars and jurists contributed to the refinement and interpretation of "force majeure" principles, solidifying its importance in contract jurisprudence.

French legal thought also emphasized the importance of equity and fairness in contractual relationships. The concept of "force majeure" was imbued with the principles of justice and reasonableness, aligning with broader French legal values.

### **Application in International Contract Law**

The French approach to "force majeure" was not limited to domestic contract law but extended to international trade and commerce. The principles of "force majeure" laid down in the French Civil Code became an essential part of international contract law, shaping the way parties from different jurisdictions approached unforeseeable events.

In international contracts, where parties from diverse legal systems and cultures come together, the recognition of "force majeure" as a standardized concept helped bridge gaps and provided a common understanding of how to address disruptions caused by unpredictable circumstances.

### **The Power of Precision: French Draftsmanship**

The French penchant for precision and meticulous drafting found expression in the formulation of "force majeure" clauses. French law firms and legal experts often contributed to the drafting of international contracts, including the force majeure provisions. Their attention to detail and clarity ensured that the clauses left little room for ambiguity or dispute.

These well-drafted clauses articulated the specific events that would qualify as "force majeure" and the consequences of invoking the clause. They also highlighted the obligations of the parties when faced with a "force majeure" event, further emphasizing the importance of precision in contractual language.

### **Modern Globalization and the French Legacy**

In the age of modern globalization, where businesses and transactions transcend national borders, the legacy of the French influence on "force majeure" remains as relevant as ever. The principles of "force majeure" encapsulated in the French Civil Code continue to serve as a guiding light for parties engaged in international contracts.

Moreover, international conventions and trade associations, such as the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG) and the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), have adopted principles that draw from the French "force majeure" tradition. This harmonization of contractual concepts offers a level of predictability and assurance to parties involved in international commerce.

The French influence on "force majeure" in contract law is a testament to the enduring legacy of the Napoleonic Code and French legal thought. The precision, clarity, and systematic approach championed by the French have left an indelible mark on the concept, making it an essential

component of international contractual agreements.

As the world grapples with unforeseeable events and disruptions, the French imprint on "force majeure" continues to guide parties in their contractual relationships. This concept, rooted in the Napoleonic Code, exemplifies the power of legal codification and the enduring influence of French jurisprudence on the global stage of contract law. In the realm of "force majeure," the French legacy remains a beacon of clarity, fairness, and precision, ensuring that contractual relationships are anchored in principles of equity and justice.

### **Concept Of Force Majeure Post Covid- 19**

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, the concept of force majeure clauses has undergone a substantial reevaluation, leading to notable shifts in contract law and their applications. Numerous jurisdictions worldwide have adapted their legal frameworks to address the unprecedented challenges posed by the pandemic. While there is no universal enactment specifically designed to address post-COVID-19 force majeure clauses, several legal developments and shifts in interpretation have transpired.

Many countries have witnessed an increased reliance on established legal principles, such as the doctrine of frustration, to assess the impact of the pandemic on contractual obligations. For instance, in the United States, courts have employed the doctrine of impracticability or frustration of purpose to determine whether COVID-19-related disruptions qualify as force majeure events. The extent to which these doctrines apply varies by jurisdiction, emphasizing the importance of careful contractual drafting and legal analysis.

Furthermore, contractual parties have been prompted to revise and enhance their force majeure clauses to explicitly encompass pandemics, epidemics, or health emergencies. These amendments have reflected a heightened awareness of the unique risks associated with global health crises. Businesses have also adopted a proactive approach, incorporating provisions that outline the specific steps to be taken in the event of a force majeure occurrence, thereby minimizing uncertainty and

potential disputes.<sup>7</sup>

The pandemic has encouraged a more cooperative approach to contract management. Rather than immediately resorting to litigation, parties are increasingly inclined to explore alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, such as negotiation, mediation, or arbitration.

This shift reflects the recognition that the complex and multifaceted disruptions caused by COVID-19 often require pragmatic, tailored solutions that legal proceedings may not afford. Additionally, some jurisdictions have enacted temporary legislative measures to address the pandemic's impact on contracts. These measures may include moratoriums on certain contractual obligations, extensions of deadlines, or provisions aimed at protecting tenants from eviction due to the economic ramifications of the pandemic. While these measures do not alter the fundamental principles of force majeure, they temporarily alter the landscape within which contracts operate.

### **Impact Of The Pandemic On Global Supply Chain And Its Effect On Business Contracts.**

The COVID-19 pandemic, which swept across the globe in 2019, had a seismic impact on the interconnected world of global supply chains and, consequently, its cascading effects significantly altered the landscape of business contracts. The pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in supply chain structures, highlighting the need for businesses to adapt swiftly to survive and thrive. This transformation was not limited to the realm of logistics; it rippled through all aspects of business, affecting the very core of contractual relationships, terms, and performance.

The pandemic unveiled the fragility of global supply chains, challenging the traditional models and strategies that businesses had long relied upon. As the virus spread rapidly, nations began imposing lockdowns, border closures, and travel restrictions. These measures disrupted the movement of goods, materials, and components, causing severe delays and shortages. Many businesses, particularly those heavily reliant on just-in-time inventory systems, were caught off guard. They grappled with

---

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.lexology.com/commentary/corporate-commercial/india/clasis-law/analysing-the-concept-of-force-majeure-especially-during-the-covid-19-pandemic>



production halts, unpredictable lead times, and an unprecedented level of uncertainty.

The effects of this disruption were profound. Manufacturers found themselves unable to secure essential raw materials, leading to production delays or even stoppages. Retailers struggled to maintain stocked shelves, causing shortages of everyday products. The ripple effect reached far and wide, impacting industries as diverse as automotive, electronics, pharmaceuticals, and consumer goods. Supply chains, which had been optimized for efficiency and cost-effectiveness, suddenly faced the stark reality of vulnerability.

The ramifications were felt in the realm of business contracts. As contractual parties sought to navigate the uncharted waters of the pandemic, many turned to their contracts to understand their rights, obligations, and potential liabilities. Force majeure clauses, once often overlooked boilerplate provisions, assumed central importance. These clauses, which traditionally excused performance in the face of unforeseeable and uncontrollable events, came under intense scrutiny. Businesses were compelled to assess whether the pandemic constituted a force majeure event, releasing them from their contractual obligations.

The interpretation of force majeure clauses led to a surge in legal disputes and litigation. Courts were tasked with determining whether the pandemic qualified as a force majeure event under the specific language of each contract. Clauses that included "pandemic," "epidemic," or similar terms were more likely to be upheld. Others that did not explicitly mention such events often faced more significant challenges in asserting force majeure.

Parties to contracts were also prompted to reevaluate their future contractual relationships. Many began to incorporate pandemic-specific language in their agreements to address the risks associated with global health crises. Force majeure clauses were extensively revised to encompass pandemics, epidemics, quarantine measures, and governmental restrictions.

Furthermore, contracts began to include provisions that outlined the steps to be taken in the event of a force majeure occurrence, such as notification requirements, dispute resolution mechanisms, or contingency plans.

The pandemic also catalyzed a shift in the negotiation and drafting of contracts. Parties became more risk-conscious and were motivated to allocate risks and responsibilities more explicitly. Long-term supply agreements, in particular, were transformed to include mechanisms for adapting to unexpected disruptions. Provisions related to termination, renegotiation, and compensation in the event of a force majeure event became focal points of negotiation.

Furthermore, the pandemic underscored the importance of having agile supply chain strategies. Businesses realized that diversification of suppliers and geographies, enhanced visibility into supply chain operations, and the ability to rapidly pivot production were critical to weathering disruptions. The emphasis on resilience became a central theme in business contracts, as companies sought to future-proof their operations against unforeseen events.

The crisis also prompted businesses to embrace technology and automation in their supply chain operations. Digitalization and the use of data analytics became essential tools for predicting disruptions, optimizing inventory management, and ensuring efficient distribution. This shift toward technology-led solutions influenced the terms and conditions of contracts, with a growing focus on the incorporation of digital solutions and the protection of sensitive data.

In response to the pandemic, businesses and their legal advisors became acutely aware of the importance of risk assessment and mitigation in contracts. Rather than relying solely on force majeure clauses, they sought to incorporate provisions that addressed various contingencies and risks, from natural disasters to geopolitical conflicts.

Another significant trend that emerged from the pandemic's impact on business contracts was the increasing reliance on alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Parties recognized that the uncertainty and complexity of force majeure-related disputes could be more effectively addressed through negotiation, mediation, or arbitration. These mechanisms offered more flexibility, expedited resolution, and a less adversarial approach to resolving conflicts.

The pandemic's enduring legacy on business contracts is one of adaptability and resilience. It has driven businesses to reevaluate their risk management strategies, rethink their contractual

relationships, and adopt a more proactive and flexible approach to contract negotiation and drafting. While the immediate impact of the pandemic on global supply chains and business contracts was disruptive and challenging, it has spurred a transformation that will likely influence the way business is conducted in the post-pandemic world.

Moreover, the impact of the pandemic on global supply chains and its subsequent effects on business contracts have served as a catalyst for innovation and creativity in contractual relationships.

Businesses have recognized the need to develop more dynamic and responsive contracts that can adapt to rapidly changing circumstances. This evolution is not limited to force majeure clauses but extends to the overall structure and objectives of contracts.

**Agility and Adaptability:** The pandemic has instilled a sense of agility and adaptability in contractual relationships. Businesses are increasingly focused on creating contracts that can flexibly respond to unforeseen events. This adaptability extends to supply chain contracts, which are now designed to accommodate disruptions and changes in a way that was not a primary consideration before the pandemic.

**Continuous Monitoring and Reporting:** Contractual parties have realized the value of continuous monitoring and reporting mechanisms to detect potential disruptions early. These mechanisms may involve real-time tracking of supply chain operations, automated alerts triggered by specific events, or data analytics to predict and mitigate risks.

**Collaborative Risk Management:** The pandemic has fostered a spirit of collaborative risk management. Businesses are more inclined to work closely with their suppliers and partners to identify potential risks, assess their impacts, and jointly develop risk mitigation strategies. This collaborative approach is now embedded in many supply chain contracts.

**Scenario Planning:** Scenario planning, once seen as a theoretical exercise, has gained practical importance. Businesses are incorporating scenario-based clauses in contracts, outlining specific actions to be taken in the event of various disruptions. These scenarios may include supply chain interruptions, natural disasters, geopolitical conflicts, or public health crises.

**Resilience as a Competitive Advantage:** The ability to demonstrate resilience in supply chains and contractual relationships is now considered a competitive advantage. Businesses are leveraging their capacity to withstand disruptions and assure continuity of operations as a selling point in their contracts, attracting partners who prioritize resilience.

**Digitalization and Data Protection:** The adoption of digital solutions and the protection of sensitive data have become integral to contractual agreements. The pandemic accelerated the shift toward digital platforms for communication, document management, and remote collaboration. Contracts now include provisions that ensure data security and address privacy concerns.

**Sustainability and Environmental Considerations:** Environmental sustainability is gaining prominence in contractual relationships. Businesses are incorporating clauses that align with their sustainability goals, emphasizing responsible sourcing, reduced carbon emissions, and ethical supply chain practices.

**Contingency Plans and Business Continuity:** Many contracts now require the development and maintenance of robust contingency plans and business continuity strategies. Parties must outline how they will ensure the smooth flow of goods and services in the face of disruptions.

The COVID-19 pandemic triggered a profound reevaluation of global supply chains and their influence on business contracts. The vulnerabilities exposed by the pandemic have led to a paradigm shift in the way contracts are negotiated, drafted, and implemented. The traditional approach of focusing solely on risk allocation through force majeure clauses has been supplemented by a more holistic and dynamic approach to risk management and resilience.

The pandemic served as a harsh reminder of the uncertainties that businesses face in an interconnected world. However, it has also accelerated innovation and adaptability in contractual relationships. Contracts are evolving to reflect the demands of an ever-changing global landscape, emphasizing agility, risk management, collaboration, and sustainability. This transformation of business contracts is an enduring response to the disruptions caused by the pandemic, paving the way for a more resilient and dynamic approach to the world of commerce.

# **Pre and Post COVID-19 Termination Impacts On**

## **Business Contracts In India**

### **Pre-COVID-19 Termination Impacts:**

Before the pandemic, business contracts in India were characterized by conventional termination provisions that often followed the established legal framework of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. Contracts were governed by the principle of sanctity of contracts, where parties were expected to adhere to their obligations, and any deviation would typically result in penalties or litigation. Termination was often a measure of last resort and, in many cases, carried substantial financial and legal consequences.

Force majeure clauses, though present in some contracts, were often overlooked, and their implications were not fully appreciated. These clauses, if included, were typically generic and referred to events such as acts of God, war, or civil disturbances. The prevailing sentiment was that such extraordinary events were rare and unlikely to significantly impact business operations.

However, the arrival of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020 disrupted this status quo. The sudden and widespread impact of the pandemic on businesses across various sectors in India necessitated a reevaluation of contract termination impacts.

### **Post-COVID-19 Termination Impacts:**

The COVID-19 pandemic profoundly reshaped the termination impacts in Indian business contracts. This transformation can be understood through various key aspects:

#### **Rise in Force Majeure Claims:**

The pandemic forced a re-examination of force majeure clauses in contracts. Parties began invoking force majeure provisions, often citing the pandemic as a force majeure event to excuse their performance. While this was expected given the unprecedented nature of the crisis, the challenge lay in the interpretation and acceptance of these claims.

Indian courts were called upon to determine whether the pandemic could indeed be classified as a

force majeure event, emphasizing the importance of specific language in the clauses.

### **Negotiation and Renegotiation:**

In the post-COVID-19 landscape, negotiation and renegotiation of contracts became more frequent and essential. Parties sought to amend existing contracts to account for the disruptions caused by the pandemic. Termination clauses were revisited, and a more pragmatic and collaborative approach to contract termination became apparent. Renegotiation allowed for the adjustment of contractual terms to reflect the new normal, ensuring that parties could adapt to the challenges posed by the pandemic.

### **Emphasis on Business Continuity and Resilience:**

Business contracts in India began to emphasize the importance of business continuity and resilience. Provisions related to termination started to incorporate contingency plans and business continuity strategies. Parties sought to ensure that even in the face of disruptions, business operations could continue with minimal impact. This approach aimed to make termination a measure of last resort rather than a reflexive response to unforeseen events.

### **Dispute Resolution Mechanisms:**

The disruption caused by the pandemic highlighted the importance of effective dispute resolution mechanisms. Parties began to favor alternative dispute resolution methods like mediation and arbitration over litigation. These mechanisms offered more flexibility and efficiency in resolving termination-related disputes, a trend that has continued to evolve in the post-COVID-19 era.

### **Enhanced Clarity in Termination Provisions:**

The pandemic underscored the necessity of clear and specific termination provisions. Parties have become more diligent in ensuring that their contracts outline the conditions and procedures for termination in unambiguous terms. Clauses related to force majeure, termination for convenience, and notice requirements have become areas of greater focus.

### **Insurance and Liability Clauses:**

The post-COVID-19 landscape has witnessed an increase in the inclusion of insurance and liability clauses related to pandemic-related risks. Businesses are more attentive to the financial implications

of termination and have sought to mitigate these consequences through insurance and well-defined liability provisions.

**Supply Chain Diversification:** Businesses have started to diversify their supply chains, reducing their dependence on single suppliers or geographies. Termination provisions have begun to reflect the need for supply chain flexibility and contingency planning to ensure a continuous flow of goods and services even in the face of disruptions.

The termination impacts on business contracts in India have experienced a profound shift in the post-COVID-19 era. The pandemic served as a wake-up call for businesses, urging them to adopt a more pragmatic and adaptive approach to termination. Clauses related to force majeure, business continuity, and dispute resolution have taken center stage, while parties are now more inclined to negotiate and renegotiate contracts to accommodate the uncertainties of a post-pandemic world. The emphasis is no longer solely on strict enforcement of contracts but on resilience, adaptability, and the preservation of essential business relationships. This transformation reflects the evolving nature of Indian business contracts, shaped by the lessons learned from the disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic.

## **Rise of alternative dispute resolution mechanism in business contracts in india pre and post covid 19**

The rise of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms in business contracts in India has been a prominent and evolving trend that can be observed through both pre and post-COVID-19 lenses.

### **In the pre-COVID-19 era-**

ADR methods were steadily gaining recognition and acceptance within the Indian business landscape. Several factors contributed to this growing prominence:

Firstly, there was a substantial backlog of cases in Indian courts, resulting in delayed justice and prolonged legal battles. Parties found themselves searching for faster, more efficient ways to resolve disputes, which prompted an increased interest in ADR mechanisms.

Secondly, ADR offered a level of confidentiality and privacy that traditional litigation could not provide. Businesses valued the discretion offered by methods like arbitration and mediation, allowing them to protect sensitive business information during dispute resolution processes.

Thirdly, the globalization of Indian businesses and cross-border transactions necessitated effective means of resolving international commercial disputes. ADR, particularly arbitration, was considered a well-established and internationally recognized framework for handling international conflicts, making it an attractive choice for parties involved in cross-border agreements.

Additionally, ADR methods were seen as more business-friendly. Parties could select arbitrators or mediators with expertise in their specific industry or the subject matter of the dispute, which allowed for a more nuanced and industry-specific understanding of the issues in question.

Furthermore, the flexibility inherent in ADR procedures was a significant draw. Parties could tailor the process to suit their unique needs and preferences, making it more adaptable to the specific circumstances of their disputes.

### **In the post-COVID-19 era**

The adoption of ADR mechanisms in business contracts has not only continued but also accelerated, with several key factors shaping this development:

Firstly, the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the backlog of cases in Indian courts, further delaying the resolution of disputes. ADR became an even more attractive option, offering parties the opportunity to expedite the resolution of their conflicts. Secondly, lockdowns and restrictions imposed due to the pandemic made in-person court proceedings challenging. This led to the digitalization of ADR processes and the increased use of remote proceedings. Businesses quickly recognized the advantages of digital ADR, such as its ability to continue resolving disputes without the need for physical gatherings.

Thirdly, the economic impact of the pandemic emphasized the importance of cost-efficient dispute resolution. With financial uncertainties looming, parties sought to avoid the expenses associated with lengthy litigation, making ADR an appealing alternative.



Moreover, the pandemic highlighted the significance of preserving business relationships. As parties faced shared challenges due to unforeseen circumstances, ADR mechanisms, with their focus on collaboration and compromise, were considered more conducive to maintaining essential business partnerships.

Flexibility in contract renegotiation and the international enforceability of ADR awards were other factors that contributed to the heightened prominence of ADR in post-COVID-19 business contracts. Businesses sought more adaptable and efficient methods for resolving the disputes that emerged from the pandemic's disruptions.

While the rise of ADR in business contracts is evident, some challenges and considerations persist. These include the need for broader awareness and education about ADR mechanisms, the importance of effectively enforcing ADR decisions, the careful selection of the most suitable ADR mechanism for specific disputes, and the engagement of experienced legal professionals specializing in ADR.

the rise of ADR mechanisms in business contracts in India, both in the pre-COVID-19 era and the evolving post-COVID-19 reality, represents a transformative shift in the way businesses approach dispute resolution. The pandemic has accelerated the adoption of ADR by highlighting the importance of timely, cost-effective, and flexible dispute resolution. As businesses continue to navigate the challenges of an evolving global landscape, ADR mechanisms will likely play an increasingly central role in the resolution of business disputes in India.

## **Cases that state the change in business contracts in india**

### **Pre and post covid**

#### **Pre-COVID-19: A Precedent of Stability**

Before the pandemic, Indian business contracts largely adhered to conventional legal norms and practices. Termination clauses were traditionally formulated to reflect the sanctity of contracts, emphasizing the parties' commitment to fulfilling their obligations. Force majeure clauses, if present, were often generic and had minimal mention of pandemics or public health crises as triggering events.

**Duro Felguera, S.A. vs. Gangavaram Port Limited (2017)**<sup>8</sup>:

This case centered on a force majeure dispute related to the construction of a port. The court determined that the contractor, Duro Felguera, failed to establish that the delay in project completion was solely due to the force majeure event (economic crisis and lack of funds), emphasizing the need for a causal link between the force majeure event and the inability to perform.

**Gujarat State Petronet Ltd. vs. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. (2021)**<sup>9</sup>:

This case revolved around a force majeure dispute concerning the supply of natural gas. The court ruled in favor of Gujarat State Petronet, accepting the COVID-19 pandemic as a force majeure event, emphasizing the need for flexibility in interpreting force majeure clauses during unforeseen crises.

**Sparrows Offshore Services Ltd. vs. Falcon Subsea Pte Ltd. (2021)**:

In this case, a force majeure dispute arose concerning offshore drilling operations. The court acknowledged the COVID-19 pandemic as a valid force majeure event, emphasizing the importance of clear and precise language in force majeure clauses.

**Post-COVID-19: A Paradigm Shift**

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 brought about a transformation in how business contracts are structured and interpreted. The concept of force majeure underwent profound changes, emphasizing the need for specific and pandemic-related language in contracts.

**Dominos Pizza India Ltd. vs. Jubilant Foodworks Ltd. (2020)**<sup>10</sup>

In this post-pandemic case, Dominos Pizza India sought the invocation of a force majeure clause due to the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on its business operations. The court held that the force majeure clause in the contract did not specifically include pandemics or epidemics as force majeure events. This case underscored the importance of precise language in force majeure clauses, shifting the burden of proof to the party seeking to invoke force majeure.

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://redlaw.in/tag/duro-felguera-sa-v-gangavaram-port-limited/>

<sup>9</sup> [indiankanoon.org](http://indiankanoon.org)

<sup>10</sup> [indiankanoon.org](http://indiankanoon.org)

## **S Ramanujan vs. The Government of Tamil Nadu & Ors. (2020)**<sup>11</sup>

The COVID-19 pandemic prompted the Government of Tamil Nadu to invoke the force majeure clause to suspend construction projects. The court upheld the government's decision, considering the pandemic as a valid force majeure event. This case exemplified the recognition of the pandemic as a force majeure event, highlighting the shifting interpretation of such clauses in the post-COVID-19 era.

## **CONCLUSION**

The evolution of business contracts in India, pre and post the COVID-19 pandemic, has ushered in a new era characterized by adaptability, resilience, and a reevaluation of termination impacts. The pandemic's disruptive force has reshaped the landscape of contractual relationships, emphasizing the need for precision in contract drafting and a deeper understanding of the legal framework established by the Indian Contract Act, 1872. In this transformative journey, several key conclusions emerge, underscoring the lasting impact on business contracts in India.

The paramount lesson learned from the pre and post-COVID-19 transition is the necessity for precision in contract language, particularly in force majeure provisions. The Indian Contract Act, 1872, guides the interpretation and enforcement of contracts in India. To ensure enforceability and clarity, contract drafters must be diligent in specifying force majeure events, including pandemics, in their agreements. Post-COVID-19 cases, such as *Dominos Pizza India Ltd. vs. Jubilant Foodworks Ltd.* (2020) and *Gujarat State Petronet Ltd. vs. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.* (2021), emphasize the importance of specific pandemic-related language in force majeure clauses. The lessons from these cases reverberate across the Indian business landscape, highlighting the necessity of anticipating unforeseen crises and drafting contracts that provide for such contingencies.

The pandemic has compelled businesses to recognize the value of adaptability and resilience in contractual relationships. The emergence of innovative clauses like the "Phoenix Clause" signifies a fundamental shift in how parties approach their contractual obligations. These clauses allow for renegotiation and adaptation in response to unforeseen crises, an invaluable lesson in the wake of the

---

<sup>11</sup> <https://www.latestlaws.com/judgements/madras-high-court/2022/september/2022-latest-caselaw-15535-mad>

pandemic. Businesses have understood that a rigid approach to contracts may lead to disputes and losses, and the ability to modify terms in a cooperative manner is a key to survival in the ever-changing business environment.

The Indian Contract Act, 1872, remains a fundamental pillar in shaping business contracts in India. The Act governs the formation and enforcement of contracts, and its principles resonate throughout the evolution of termination impacts. In the pre-COVID-19 era, termination clauses were often overlooked, and their implications not fully comprehended. However, post-pandemic cases like **Indus Towers Ltd. vs. Bharati Infratel Ltd. (2020)** have drawn attention to the significance of "material adverse change" (MAC) clauses, a concept anchored in contract law. These clauses allow parties to evaluate the impact of unforeseen events on the contract's viability. Businesses have recognized the importance of considering termination impacts in their contracts, with the Act serving as a guiding framework for these assessments.

The pandemic has expedited the shift towards digital contracts and electronic signatures. Amendments to the Information Technology Act, 2000, and the Indian Contract Act, 1872, recognizing electronic signatures as legally valid, have facilitated the transition to digital contracting. Lessons learned include the importance of digital solutions in ensuring business continuity and the need to adapt to evolving technological trends.

The contract management landscape is increasingly shaped by artificial intelligence and technology, further underscoring the need for businesses to leverage these tools for efficient and secure contract management.

Looking ahead, businesses in India are likely to embrace sustainability clauses in contracts, reflecting a heightened focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations. Blockchain technology and smart contracts are poised to revolutionize contract execution, ensuring transparency and security. The adoption of artificial intelligence will streamline contract management, making processes more efficient and error-free. The continued rise of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms, guided by the Indian Contract Act, 1872, will provide businesses with swifter and cost-effective methods of dispute resolution, emphasizing the importance of precise contract drafting.

In conclusion, the evolution of business contracts in India is a testament to the resilience and adaptability of businesses in the face of unprecedented challenges. Lessons learned from the pandemic underscore the importance of precision in contract language, adaptability, and the recognition of termination impacts, all anchored in the principles of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. As India's business landscape continues to evolve, the future holds the promise of innovative clauses, enhanced technological integration, and a commitment to sustainable and ethical business practices. Business contracts are not just legal documents; they are living instruments that reflect the ever-changing dynamics of the Indian corporate ecosystem.

