



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

THE ROLE OF THE JUDICIARY IN ENSURING JUSTICE FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN INDIA: PROGRESSIVE OR PROBLEMATIC?

AUTHORED BY - ADITI CHAUDHARY

ABSTRACT

Sexual violence in India has long been a deeply entrenched societal issue, reflecting complex intersections of gender, power, caste, class, and culture. While legislative reform in recent decades has sought to strengthen legal protections for victims of sexual offences, the judiciary remains central to interpreting these laws and shaping the broader discourse around justice for survivors. This research paper provides a critical and nuanced examination of the role played by the Indian judiciary in addressing sexual violence, focusing on the question of whether it has emerged as a progressive institution committed to upholding victims' rights, or whether it has, at times, acted as a barrier to justice.

Through an in-depth analysis of landmark Supreme Court and High Court judgments, including *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997)¹, *Sakshi v. Union of India* (2004)², *Mukesh v. State (NCT of Delhi)* (2017)³, and *Independent Thought v. Union of India* (2017)⁴, the paper explores the judiciary's interpretive approach to defining consent, dignity, and victim protection. Recent developments such as the Criminal Law (Amendment) Acts of 2013 and 2018, the implementation of the POCSO Act⁵, and the codification of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023⁶, are examined in light of judicial engagement with new statutory provisions.

The research also delves into judicial innovations such as the establishment of special fast-track courts, one-stop crisis centers, the use of video conferencing in trial proceedings, and victim compensation schemes. These challenges have often diluted the efficacy of progressive legal standards.

¹ AIR 1997 SC 3011.

² AIR2004SC3566.

³ AIR 2017 SC 2161.

⁴ AIR 2017 SC 4904.

⁵ The Protection Of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (No. 32 of 2012).

⁶ The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (No. 45 of 2023).

In conclusion, the paper asserts that while the Indian judiciary has the potential to be a powerful agent of justice for victims of sexual violence, realizing this potential requires sustained institutional reform, gender sensitization, consistency in rulings, and an unflinching commitment to upholding the constitutional values of equality, dignity, and access to justice. The future of judicial response in this area must move beyond mere procedural compliance to embrace a transformative approach that genuinely empowers and protects survivors.

KEYWORDS

Judiciary, Sexual Violence, Victim-Centric Jurisprudence, Fast-Track Courts, Criminal Law (Amendment) Act 2013, POCSO Act, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023, Gender Sensitivity, Judicial Delays, Landmark Judgments, Victim Compensation, Access to Justice, Legal Reforms, Patriarchal Bias, Transformative Justice, Systemic Accountability, Marginalized Victims, Constitutional Rights, Procedural Fairness, India.

INTRODUCTION

Sexual violence in India is not just a crime; it is a pervasive social issue that has haunted the country for decades. This violence, deeply rooted in societal norms, patriarchal structures, and a system that often fails to adequately support victims, continues to devastate the lives of countless individuals. While public awareness has grown in recent years, the problem remains rampant, with new cases constantly emerging, highlighting the systemic failures in both prevention and justice. Every year, thousands of women and men—though women are disproportionately affected—become victims of various forms of sexual violence, including rape, sexual assault, and harassment. Despite the increasing number of reported incidents, victims often face a daunting struggle to find justice.⁷

The legal system, which is supposed to offer protection and fairness, often becomes another hurdle in the path of victims seeking redress. Victims may face long delays before their cases are heard, insensitive questioning by law enforcement and legal professionals, and sometimes outright bias within the system. The pace of justice is painfully slow, and in many cases, by the time a verdict is reached, the victim has experienced years of trauma and emotional distress. Even when justice is finally served, it can feel like an empty victory when the systems in place

⁷ Chloe Haderlie, "Sexual Assault Against Women in India", available at: <https://ballardbrief.byu.edu/issue-briefs/sexual-assault-against-women-in-india> (last visited on April 28, 2025).

are not equipped to truly support survivors. From the very moment an incident occurs, the process of pursuing justice becomes an emotional and psychological battle, with the fear of facing re-traumatization looming large.⁸

Despite recent legislative reforms, the Indian judicial system still grapples with major challenges in addressing sexual violence cases. One of the primary issues is the ongoing delays in trials. Even in high-profile cases that capture public attention or media focus, the legal process often moves very slowly. Trials can drag on for years, with the process itself becoming an additional source of suffering for victims. Those already traumatized by the assault find themselves retraumatized as they endure prolonged and emotionally exhausting proceedings. For many, the delay in securing justice feels like a denial of it entirely.

Another significant barrier is the insensitive treatment of victims during the legal process. From intrusive cross-examinations to victim-blaming attitudes, the courtroom can become an intimidating space for those seeking justice. Victims are often forced to relive their traumatic experiences multiple times, and sometimes, the process itself can seem like an assault on their dignity. Survivors of sexual violence are frequently asked about their behavior, appearance, or past, which shifts the focus away from the crime and places undue emphasis on the victim's character. These practices not only fail to create a supportive and safe environment for victims but also contribute to further trauma.⁹

Moreover, the lack of sufficient infrastructure is another pressing issue. Courts are often overwhelmed with cases, and there are not enough specialized courts dedicated to sexual violence cases. This results in delays or mishandling of cases due to the overcrowded judicial system. The shortage of trained personnel, such as victim support staff and counselors, only worsens the situation. While the establishment of fast-track courts following the Nirbhaya case was a positive step, these courts remain limited in number and often fail to deliver justice in a timely manner.

Furthermore, gender bias within the system remains deeply ingrained. Whether shaped by societal norms or individual prejudices, the judiciary frequently fails to understand the full

⁸ Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, "Committee on Reforms of Criminal Justice System", Volume 1, 2023.

⁹ UNDOC, "Treatment of Child Victims and Child Witnesses of Crime", 2015.

complexity of sexual violence and the power dynamics at play. This can result in biased rulings, where the victim's credibility is questioned, and the perpetrator often receives more empathy or leniency.

While the judiciary has shown a willingness to act, the pace of progress is slow, and the gap between legal reforms and practical implementation remains wide. There is a need for a systemic overhaul that addresses not only the laws themselves but also the infrastructure, training, and attitudes within the judicial system. Until these issues are addressed, the legal system may continue to fall short in delivering justice for the victims of sexual violence, leaving them to bear the burden of a system that is often more punitive than protective.¹⁰

PROGRESSIVE ASPECTS OF THE JUDICIARY'S ROLE IN SEXUAL VIOLENCE CASES

While the Indian judicial system has its fair share of shortcomings, there are also several progressive developments that have been instrumental in shaping a more victim-centered approach to sexual violence cases. Through landmark judgments and legal reforms, the judiciary has taken significant strides toward protecting victims' rights and ensuring justice. These progressive aspects have brought about changes that are helping to address some of the systemic issues surrounding sexual violence in India, although challenges remain.

Landmark Judgments and Legal Reforms

1. The Nirbhaya Case (2012)¹¹:

The brutal gang rape and murder of a 23-year-old woman in Delhi, known as the Nirbhaya case, was a pivotal moment in the fight against sexual violence in India. The outrage following this crime led to widespread protests and calls for reform, which put immense pressure on the government and judiciary to address the flaws in the legal system. The case ultimately resulted in significant legal reforms, particularly under the **Criminal Law (Amendment) Act of 2013**. This legislation introduced stricter punishments for sexual offenses, including the death penalty for certain cases of brutal gang rape, and broadened the definition of rape to include offenses like acid attacks and stalking. Furthermore, the Act also made provisions for faster trials in cases of sexual

¹⁰ International Monetary Fund, "Reforming the Judiciary: Learning from the Experience of Central, Eastern, and Southeastern Europe", 2017.

¹¹ Mukesh & Anr vs State For Nct Of Delhi & Ors on 5 May, 2017, AIR 2017 SC 2161

violence. This reform was a direct response to public demands for stronger legal measures, and the judiciary played a crucial role in shaping the discourse around the case and the subsequent changes in the law.

2. The Vishakha Guidelines (1997)¹²:

One of the earliest and most significant judicial interventions in India to address sexual harassment at the workplace came through the **Vishakha case**. In 1997, the Supreme Court laid down the **Vishakha Guidelines**, which provided a framework for preventing and addressing sexual harassment at the workplace. The guidelines set clear standards for workplace conduct, mandating the establishment of internal complaints committees and detailed procedures for handling complaints of sexual harassment. This was a landmark ruling, as it marked the first time the judiciary took proactive steps to safeguard women's rights in professional environments. The Vishakha Guidelines were later incorporated into the **Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013**, which made them legally binding. This case highlights the judiciary's role in not only interpreting the law but also taking an activist approach to protect women's rights in spaces previously neglected by existing legislation.

3. Public Interest Litigation (PIL) for Victims' Rights:

The use of **Public Interest Litigation (PIL)** has been another progressive tool through which the judiciary has addressed issues concerning sexual violence. PIL allows citizens or organizations to petition the court on behalf of those who cannot represent themselves, particularly when issues of public importance are involved. This mechanism has been used by the courts to ensure the implementation of victim compensation schemes, address failures in police investigations, and direct reforms within the legal system. Through PILs, the judiciary has been able to intervene in cases where the state or law enforcement agencies have failed to act.¹³ For instance, PILs have led to judicial orders that mandate compensation for victims of sexual violence and direct the police to adopt more victim-friendly procedures. This form of judicial activism has been crucial in expanding access to justice for those who might otherwise

¹² Vishakha and others v. State of Rajasthan (1997), AIR 1997 SC 3011.

¹³ Varun Singh, Shailja Khosla, "Public Interest Litigation and the Protection of Women against Rape: A Comprehensive Study", IJRASET62205, 2024.

be overlooked.

4. Judgments on Victim Compensation and Protection:

In recent years, the judiciary has also become more active in ensuring that victims of sexual violence receive adequate compensation and protection. For example, the **Supreme Court** has issued directions regarding the **compensation for rape victims**, recognizing that financial redress is a crucial aspect of justice for survivors. In some cases, the Court has ordered the state to provide compensation to victims even before the trial has been concluded. Furthermore, the judiciary has emphasized the importance of maintaining **victim anonymity** during trials. The Supreme Court has often intervened to ensure that victims are not forced to reveal their identity, especially in cases involving sexual violence, in order to protect their dignity and privacy. These judicial directions have been instrumental in addressing the emotional and psychological trauma faced by victims, as well as ensuring they are not re-victimized during the judicial process.¹⁴

5. Fast-Track Courts:

In 2013, the Indian government established **fast-track courts** specifically to deal with sexual violence cases. The aim was to reduce the time taken for trials, providing quicker justice for victims. These courts were intended to expedite the judicial process and reduce the backlog of cases involving sexual violence. While these courts have helped in speeding up the process to some extent, their limited numbers and the ongoing challenges within the broader judicial system mean that further reforms are still necessary. Nonetheless, the creation of fast-track courts marked an important step in recognizing the need for timely justice in cases of sexual violence, and their establishment can be seen as a positive move towards addressing systemic delays.¹⁵

6. Gender Sensitivity and Awareness:

Over the years, several high-profile judgments have demonstrated the judiciary's growing awareness of the psychological and emotional trauma experienced by victims

¹⁴ Ms. Sukanya Singha, Ms. Shakshi Goyal, "Compensation For Sexual Assault Victims: Necessity Of A Robust Legal Framework" volume 7, 2024.

¹⁵ Ministry of Law and Justice, "Swift Justice, Safer Society: The Impact of Fast Track Special Courts", available at: <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2113344> (last visited on April 28, 2025).

of sexual violence. In these rulings, courts have called for **trauma-informed legal processes** that prioritize the victim's well-being and ensure a more supportive environment throughout the judicial proceedings. This includes ensuring that courts are equipped with proper victim support systems, such as counseling and legal assistance, to help survivors navigate the often daunting and emotionally taxing process. The judiciary has also increasingly recognized the importance of gender sensitivity in handling such cases, with several judges emphasizing that legal professionals must be trained to understand the unique experiences of victims of sexual violence. This shift towards more empathetic and victim-centered approaches is a significant departure from earlier attitudes, where victims were often treated with suspicion or blame.¹⁶

While India's judicial system still faces many challenges in addressing sexual violence, there are undeniable signs of progress. Landmark judgments like the **Vishakha Guidelines**¹⁷, the **Nirbhaya case reforms**¹⁸, and the implementation of **fast-track courts** show a willingness within the judiciary to adapt and respond to the needs of victims. The growing emphasis on victim compensation, trauma-informed processes, and gender sensitivity represents a shift towards a more progressive and empathetic approach to sexual violence cases.

However, despite these positive changes, there is still much work to be done. The continued need for faster trials, better infrastructure, and broader societal awareness of gender equality and justice remains critical. The judiciary's progressive actions are a step in the right direction, but they must be supported by wider reforms and better implementation on the ground to ensure that sexual violence victims receive the justice they deserve.¹⁹

PROBLEMATIC ASPECTS OF THE JUDICIARY'S ROLE IN SEXUAL VIOLENCE CASES

Despite the judiciary's progressive efforts in addressing sexual violence cases in India, there are several problematic aspects that continue to undermine the system's ability to deliver justice

¹⁶ Eva McKinsey, Samantha A. Zottola, "Trauma-Informed Judicial Practice from the Judges' Perspective", Vol. 106 No. 2 (2022).

¹⁷ Supra note 12.

¹⁸ Supra note 11.

¹⁹ United Nations, "Progress towards Gender Equality Under Threat, World Leaders Warn as General Assembly Marks Twenty-Fifth Anniversary of Landmark Women's Rights Conference", available at: <https://press.un.org/en/2020/ga12275.doc.htm> (last visited on April 29, 2025).

effectively and efficiently. These challenges are rooted in systemic flaws, biases, and a lack of proper infrastructure, which prevent victims from experiencing justice in a timely and supportive manner. While the legal reforms and interventions have brought positive changes, the following issues highlight the continuing struggles faced by sexual violence victims in the Indian judicial system.

Delayed Justice

1. Backlog of Cases:

One of the most pressing issues in the Indian judicial system is the persistent backlog of cases, including those involving sexual violence. Despite the establishment of **fast-track courts** to expedite trials, these courts are still few in number and cannot handle the sheer volume of cases. The **backlog** results in prolonged trials, and cases can take years to reach a conclusion. This delay often discourages victims from pursuing legal recourse, as they may feel that the process is too long, emotionally taxing, and ineffective. The prolonged wait for justice further undermines the credibility of the judicial system, leading to a loss of trust in its ability to deliver timely outcomes.²⁰

2. Long Waits for Justice:

Even with reforms aimed at speeding up trials, many cases of sexual violence continue to drag on for years, causing immense distress for the victims. The emotional and psychological toll of enduring a drawn-out legal process can retraumatize survivors, forcing them to relive their harrowing experiences multiple times. In some instances, victims may even withdraw from the legal process altogether, unable to cope with the prolonged trauma and uncertainty. For many survivors, the waiting period for justice becomes a form of punishment in itself, as they continue to suffer in silence while the wheels of justice turn too slowly.²¹

Gender Bias in Courtroom Proceedings

1. Victim Blaming:

One of the most problematic aspects of the judiciary's approach to sexual violence cases

²⁰ Varsha Aithala, Rathan Sudheer, "Justice Delayed: A District-Wise Empirical Study on Indian Judiciary", 08 National Law University 2018

²¹ Kaitlin A Chivers-Wilson, "Sexual assault and posttraumatic stress disorder: A review of the biological, psychological and sociological factors and treatments", 9(2) McGill Journal of Medicine Pg. 111–118, 2006.

is the **victim-blaming** narrative that often pervades courtroom proceedings. In some cases, victims face **hostile cross-examinations**, where defense lawyers focus on the victim's behavior, clothing, or past sexual history, rather than the actions of the accused. Such lines of questioning not only undermine the victim's credibility but also perpetuate harmful stereotypes about sexual violence.²² Instead of focusing on the crime itself, the victim is frequently put on trial, with their character and personal choices being scrutinized. This not only discourages victims from coming forward but also reinforces a culture of blaming survivors for the violence committed against them.

2. Insensitive Remarks from Judges:

Another troubling aspect of sexual violence cases in India is the **insensitive behavior** displayed by some judges during trials. There have been instances where judges have made **regressive statements** about victims or have questioned their credibility based on cultural or moral biases. Such remarks contribute to a hostile environment for survivors and further erode the public's trust in the judiciary. Judges are expected to remain impartial and respectful, but instances of bias—whether conscious or unconscious—undermine the judicial process and compromise the delivery of justice. The failure to take the psychological and emotional trauma of survivors into account further perpetuates the mistreatment of victims within the judicial system.²³

Inconsistent Application of Laws

1. Favoritism Toward Influential Individuals:

There have been several high-profile cases in India where the impartiality of the judiciary has been questioned, particularly in cases involving influential individuals, such as politicians, celebrities, or wealthy businesspeople. These individuals often have access to powerful legal teams, resources, and political influence, which can affect the outcome of the trial. **Delays in justice** and **lighter sentences** in such cases have fueled public perceptions of bias within the judicial system. The perception that the wealthy and powerful are able to manipulate the system for their benefit erodes public trust and

²² Deccan Herald, "Victim-blaming by court", available at: <https://www.deccanherald.com/content/635109/victim-blaming-court.html> (last visited on April 29, 2025).

²³ Alisha Rahaman Sarkar, "Supreme court in India hits out at judge's 'invited trouble' comments on rape", available at: <https://www.independent.co.uk/asia/india/india-rape-cases-judges-comments-b2733587.html> (last visited on April 30, 2025).

perpetuates the idea that justice is not equally accessible to all citizens. When the judiciary fails to treat these cases with the same rigor and fairness as those involving ordinary individuals, it undermines the legitimacy of the entire legal system.²⁴

2. **Inconsistent Convictions:**

Despite the increasing awareness of sexual violence, the **conviction rate** in such cases remains strikingly low in India. According to official statistics, the conviction rate for rape cases has consistently been under 30%, which is alarmingly low given the number of cases reported. The **inconsistent application of laws** in these cases raises serious concerns about how effectively the judiciary handles sexual violence. Some cases result in acquittals due to lack of evidence or perceived contradictions in the victim's testimony, while others may end in conviction but with lenient sentences. This inconsistency creates a sense of injustice and highlights the flaws in how sexual violence cases are prosecuted. The judiciary's inability to deliver consistent and fair outcomes for victims reinforces the feeling that the system is not equipped to effectively tackle such crimes.²⁵

Cultural and Societal Bias

1. **Reflection of Societal Biases:**

The Indian judicial system is not immune to the broader societal biases that exist within the culture. Judges, like any other members of society, are influenced by the norms, attitudes, and values prevalent in their surroundings. This can lead to unconscious biases in the courtroom, particularly in cases involving issues such as **consent**, **marital rape**, and the **credibility of the victim**. For example, in cases where the victim is married, there is often a tendency to dismiss the claim of sexual violence, citing the societal belief that marital relations should be exempt from scrutiny. In cases where the victim's behavior or appearance does not conform to traditional notions of modesty or respectability, judges may question their credibility or even imply that the victim is somehow responsible for the assault. These cultural biases create an environment where

²⁴ Amit Anand Choudhary, 21 former judges write to CJI alleging people with political interest casting aspersions on judiciary, available at: http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/109302326.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=te xt&utm_campaign=cppst (last visited on April 30, 2025).

²⁵ Shivam Patel, "India struggles with high rape cases, low conviction rates", available at: <https://www.reuters.com/world/india/indias-struggles-with-high-rape-cases-low-conviction-rates-2024-08-15/> (last visited on April 30, 2025).

victims are not treated with the respect and dignity they deserve, and their cases are not evaluated purely on the facts but through the lens of cultural prejudices.²⁶

2. Gendered Perspectives on Sexual Violence:

Another aspect of societal bias within the judicial system is the widespread misunderstanding of **sexual violence** as a gender-neutral issue. In reality, the vast majority of victims are women, and the judicial system often fails to fully understand the gendered nature of sexual violence. There may be a reluctance to recognize that consent is a complex and nuanced issue, and many judges fail to grasp the dynamics of power and control that often characterize cases of sexual assault. Additionally, societal prejudices against women—such as the belief that women are somehow responsible for the violence committed against them—can further hinder the ability of the judiciary to provide fair and unbiased judgments. The failure to adequately address the gendered dimensions of sexual violence not only harms victims but also perpetuates the cycle of violence in society.²⁷

While the judiciary in India has made commendable progress in addressing sexual violence cases through legal reforms and progressive rulings, significant challenges remain. The delay in justice, the gender biases in courtroom proceedings, inconsistent application of laws, and cultural and societal prejudices continue to hinder the judicial system's ability to effectively serve victims of sexual violence. These issues reflect the deep-rooted flaws within the system, which require sustained reform efforts to create a truly victim-centered and unbiased approach to sexual violence cases.²⁸

Ultimately, for the judiciary to fulfill its role in ensuring justice for victims, it must not only implement reforms but also adopt a more empathetic, consistent, and gender-sensitive approach to the handling of such cases. This will require comprehensive training for judicial officers, the establishment of more specialized courts, and a broader cultural shift within society to recognize and address the power dynamics at play in cases of sexual violence.

²⁶ Elliott Ash, Sam Asher, Aditi Bhowmick, "In-group bias in the Indian judiciary: Evidence from 5 million criminal cases", available at: <https://www.devdata.org/judicial-bias> (last visited on April 30, 2025).

²⁷ Philip N.S. Rumney, "In Defence of Gender Neutrality Within Rape", Volume 6 Issue 1, 2007.

²⁸ Centre For Law & Policy Research, "Gendering Equality: Court Decisions on Women's Rights in India", 2024.

CONCLUSION

The judiciary in India has made significant strides in recent years towards ensuring justice for victims of sexual violence. Landmark rulings, such as the **Vishakha Guidelines**, the legal reforms triggered by the **Nirbhaya case**, and the establishment of **fast-track courts**, have all contributed to a more progressive and victim-centered approach to sexual violence. These reforms have expanded the scope of the law, introduced stricter penalties for offenders, and paved the way for more supportive legal processes for survivors. Additionally, the use of **Public Interest Litigations (PILs)** to advocate for victim rights and the introduction of **compensation schemes** further reflect the judiciary's proactive role in responding to sexual violence.

Despite these positive developments, significant challenges remain. **Delays in trials** continue to plague the judicial system, with cases often dragging on for years, re-traumatizing victims and discouraging many from pursuing legal recourse. The **inconsistent application of laws** and **low conviction rates** reflect deep-rooted problems in the way sexual violence cases are handled. The lack of uniformity in judicial outcomes, particularly when it comes to powerful or influential individuals, exacerbates concerns about the system's fairness and impartiality. Furthermore, **gender bias**—manifested in victim-blaming, insensitive remarks, and the questioning of the victim's credibility—remains a pervasive issue that undermines the delivery of justice. The **cultural and societal biases** that influence judicial thinking often result in decisions that do not fully recognize the complexities of sexual violence or the power dynamics at play.

In essence, while the judiciary has made notable progress in addressing sexual violence, these issues continue to hinder the effectiveness of the judicial process and undermine the confidence of the public and victims in the legal system.

To ensure that the judiciary can fulfill its critical role in delivering justice to victims of sexual violence, it must continue to evolve and address the shortcomings that persist within the system. Several key areas must be focused on to bring about meaningful change:

1. Expediting Trials and Reducing Delays:

The judiciary must prioritize efforts to reduce the delays in sexual violence cases. While fast-track courts have been a step in the right direction, there is a need to **expand the**

number of such courts and ensure that they are equipped with the necessary resources to handle cases efficiently. Establishing specialized courts with a focus on sexual violence could help reduce the backlog and speed up the trial process, ensuring that victims do not have to endure prolonged legal battles.

2. Ensuring Consistency in Legal Applications:

The inconsistent application of laws must be addressed through **comprehensive training** for judges and law enforcement personnel. This will ensure that **laws are applied uniformly**, regardless of the social status or influence of the accused. Judicial accountability mechanisms should also be strengthened to ensure that biased judgments, whether intentional or unconscious, are minimized. Moreover, clearer guidelines and more robust procedural frameworks will help mitigate the discrepancies in judicial outcomes, making the system more transparent and fair.

3. Enhancing Gender Sensitivity:

The judiciary must continue to develop a **gender-sensitive approach** to sexual violence cases. This includes providing training for judges on issues like **trauma-informed legal processes**, **victim protection**, and **gender dynamics in sexual violence cases**. It is essential that courts are equipped to handle such cases with the utmost respect and sensitivity, recognizing the psychological and emotional toll on victims. Judges should be encouraged to **create a safe and supportive courtroom environment** that minimizes further harm to the survivor while ensuring the accused's right to a fair trial.

4. Promoting Victim-Centered Legal Processes:

Legal and judicial reforms should focus on creating a **more victim-centered legal process** that respects the dignity and rights of survivors. This includes measures such as **maintaining victim anonymity**, implementing **victim support systems**, and reducing the trauma of repeated cross-examinations. Survivors should feel supported throughout the legal process, with access to counselors and legal advisors who can guide them through each stage. Furthermore, efforts should be made to **prioritize victim compensation**, ensuring that survivors are not further burdened by financial or procedural obstacles.

5. Fostering a Progressive Mindset:

Beyond legal reforms, there is a need for a broader cultural shift within the judiciary. Judges, as key actors within the system, must recognize the broader societal biases that often shape their perceptions of sexual violence. A **progressive mindset**—one that acknowledges the gendered nature of sexual violence and recognizes the power dynamics involved—should be central to judicial training and practice. Furthermore, the legal system must encourage the **de-stigmatization of victims** and move away from **victim-blaming narratives**. This cultural shift must be supported by reforms that promote social awareness, ensuring that the judiciary's approach is aligned with the evolving norms and values of society.

In conclusion, the judiciary in India plays a crucial role in ensuring justice for victims of sexual violence. While significant progress has been made, particularly in terms of legal reforms and landmark judgments, many obstacles remain in the pursuit of justice. The judicial system must continue to evolve by addressing delays, inconsistencies, and gender bias in its handling of sexual violence cases. By focusing on victim protection, gender sensitivity, expeditious trials, and promoting a more progressive judicial mindset, the Indian judiciary can help ensure that sexual violence victims receive the justice they deserve in a timely, respectful, and supportive manner. Through sustained legal and judicial reforms, it is possible to move closer to a system where victims' rights are upheld, and the delivery of justice is both swift and fair.

REFERENCES

1. Nayar M. India: The rape kingdom [Internet]. [place unknown]: Meri news, power to people; 2009 Aug 28 [cited 2010 Mar 15]. Available from: <http://www.merineews.com/article/india-the-rape-kingdom/15782707.shtml>
2. Supreme Court of India. Judgments, the judgment information system of India. Tukaram and Another v/s State of Maharashtra Cr L J 1864 of 1978.
3. Commonwealth legal information institute, free access to commonwealth and common law [Internet]. [place unknown]: Common LII. Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 1983 (43 of 1983); 1983 Dec 26 [cited 2010 Mar 15]; [about 3 screens]. Available from: <http://www.498a.org/contents/amendments/Act%2046%20of%201983.pdf>
4. Supreme Court of India. Judgments, the judgment information system of India. State of Karnataka v. Manjanna (2000 SC (CrI)1031)/CriLJ 3471/ 2000(6) SCC 188.

5. Indian Evidence (Amendment) Act, 2002 (4 of 2003) [Internet]. 2002 Dec 31[cited 2010 Mar 15]. Available from: http://www.commonlii.org/in/legis/num_act/iea2002205/
6. Commonwealth legal information institute, free access to commonwealth and common law [Internet]. [place unknown]: Common LII. Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005; 2005 Jun 23[cited 2010 Mar 15];[about 5 screens]. Available from: http://www.commonlii.org/in/legis/num_act/cocpa2005310/
7. Commonwealth legal information institute, free access to commonwealth and common law [Internet]. [place unknown]: Common LII. Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2008 (5 of 2009); 2009 Jan 7 [cited 2010 Mar 15]; [about 5 screens]. Available from: http://www.commonlii.org/in/legis/num_act/cocpa2008310/
8. High Court of Delhi. Delhi Commission for Women v. Delhi Police, W.P (CRL)696/2008 [Internet]. 2009 Apr 23 [cited 2010 Mar 15]. Available from: http://www.ncw.nic.in/PDFFILES/Delhi_High_Court_judgement_on_guidelines_for_dealing_rape_cases_by_various_authorities.pdf
9. Supreme Court of India. Judgments, the judgment information system of India. Delhi Domestic Working Women's Forum V Union of India (1995)1 SCC 14.
10. Law Commission of India. 172nd report on review of rape laws. 2000 Mar 25. [cited 2010 Mar 14]. Available from: <http://www.lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/rapelaws.htm>
11. Banerjee C. Gun minus the fire: the law on rape is still an impotent being. Outlook India. 2010 Feb 15[cited 2010 Mar 14]. Available from: <http://www.outlookindia.com/printarticle.aspx?264115>
12. High Court of Judicature at Bombay [Internet]. Dr Ranjana Pardhi and Another v/s Union of India in WPST/46/2010 (Nagpur bench). [cited 2010 Mar 15]. Available from: http://bombayhighcourt.nic.in/casequery_action.php?auth=bV9oYz0wMyZtX3NyPVMm b