

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper and a black leather watch with a silver face are also visible. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular box is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

*Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal*

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

## ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

*White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

## AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

# **IMPACT OF TRADEMARK DISPUTES ON BRAND DEVELOPMENT AND MARKET ENTRY OF START-UPS IN CHENNAI**

AUTHORED BY - D. UDHAYABARATHI<sup>1</sup> & MR. A. MAGESH KUMAR<sup>2</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

In the contemporary entrepreneurial ecosystem, trademarks play a fundamental role in shaping the identity, competitiveness, and sustainability of start-ups. Particularly in emerging innovation hubs such as Chennai, where technological ventures, service enterprises, and digital platforms are rapidly expanding, trademarks function as essential instruments of differentiation and brand recognition. A trademark is not merely a legal sign or symbol; it embodies the goodwill, reputation, and trust associated with a business. The rise of start-ups in India has been accompanied by a parallel surge in intellectual property (IP) filings, including trademarks. Recent data indicates that trademark filings by Indian start-ups have exceeded tens of thousands, reflecting an increasing awareness of brand protection. However, despite this growth, many early-stage entrepreneurs underestimate the importance of trademark registration during the initial phases of business development. This lack of prioritization often results in disputes that can significantly hinder growth.

Trademark disputes arise when there is an alleged infringement or passing off of a mark that is identical or deceptively similar to an existing registered or unregistered trademark. In Chennai's dynamic business landscape, such disputes are particularly common due to intense competition, overlapping market niches, and limited awareness among founders. These disputes not only involve legal complexities but also carry substantial economic and strategic implications.

- 
1. Author **D. UDHAYABARATHI, Reg No. 21142133**  
**B.Com., LL.B., Hons**  
**VISTAS**
  2. Co Author **Mr. A. MAGESH KUMAR, Assistant Professor**  
**Law Department,**  
**VISTAS**

For start-ups, the consequences of trademark disputes are often severe. Unlike established corporations, start-ups typically operate with limited financial resources and legal expertise. Litigation costs, rebranding expenses, and delays in market entry can significantly disrupt their growth trajectory. In some cases, disputes may even lead to the complete shutdown of operations. Moreover, trademark conflicts can adversely affect investor confidence. Investors often view intellectual property as a key indicator of a start-up's long-term viability and defensibility. A weak or disputed trademark position may reduce valuation or deter funding altogether. Thus, trademarks are not merely legal tools but strategic assets that influence investment decisions and market positioning.

In Chennai, the situation is further complicated by procedural delays in trademark registration and enforcement. Start-ups often face challenges in navigating the legal framework, including filing procedures, classification issues, and examination objections. Additionally, the digitalization of business operations has introduced new dimensions to trademark disputes, such as domain name conflicts and social media handle disputes. This research aims to examine the multifaceted impact of trademark disputes on brand development and market entry of start-ups in Chennai. It seeks to analyze the legal, economic, and strategic dimensions of such disputes while exploring their implications for innovation and entrepreneurship.

The study adopts a multidisciplinary approach, integrating legal analysis, economic theory, and business strategy. By examining case studies, legal provisions, and empirical data, it provides insights into how trademark disputes shape the entrepreneurial landscape in Chennai.

Ultimately, this research underscores the importance of proactive trademark management and highlights the need for policy interventions, awareness programs, and institutional support mechanisms. By addressing these challenges, start-ups can better protect their brand identity, enhance market competitiveness, and achieve sustainable growth.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The evolution of trademark law in India reflects the broader development of intellectual property rights within the global legal framework. The origins of trademark protection can be traced back to common law principles of passing off, which aimed to prevent unfair

competition and protect business goodwill.

In India, formal trademark legislation began with the Trade Marks Act, 1940, followed by the Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958. These statutes laid the foundation for modern trademark protection by introducing registration systems and enforcement mechanisms.

The most significant development occurred with the enactment of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, which aligned Indian law with international standards such as the TRIPS Agreement. This Act introduced comprehensive provisions for registration, infringement, licensing, and assignment of trademarks. It also recognized service marks, collective marks, and well-known trademarks. Judicial decisions have played a crucial role in shaping trademark jurisprudence in India. Landmark cases have clarified principles such as deceptive similarity, prior use, and trans-border reputation. These rulings have strengthened the protection of brand identity and enhanced consumer trust.

With the advent of globalization and digitalization, trademark law has evolved to address new challenges, including domain name disputes and online infringement. The increasing reliance on e-commerce and digital branding has further expanded the scope of trademark protection.

In Chennai, the growth of start-ups has intensified the relevance of trademark law. The city's entrepreneurial ecosystem has witnessed a rise in trademark filings and disputes, highlighting the need for efficient legal mechanisms and greater awareness among founders.

World Trade Organization's (WTO) agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Rights (TRIPS), the increase in the international standards Intellectual property (IP) protection resumed following TRIPS through bilateral means. Developed Countries that export a great deal of Intellectual property in particular the United States and European Union, pursue a policy of negotiating bilateral free trade agreements (FTAs) that require Intellectual property protection far beyond TRIPS mandated standards, termed 'TRIPS-Plus'. Such agreements, which included more extensive Intellectual property obligations than TRIPS (TRIPS-Plus), have an impact on public health and access to medicines, particularly upon developing countries.

Pharmaceutical companies in developing countries are heavily influenced by the Trade-

Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement and economic liberalization rules. To adjust to the new patent regime, pharmaceutical companies had to adopt some strategies. A systematic review was conducted on the experiences of the pharmaceutical industry in developing countries and strategies adopted by local pharmaceutical companies to survive after the TRIPS agreement. Scopus, PubMed, and Pro-Quest databases were searched, and twenty-five papers were reviewed. The pharmaceutical industry experiences have been classified into successful and unsuccessful experiences based on criteria developed by the authors. Firm strategies were also divided into four categories based on external and internal factors: aggressive, conservative, competitive, and defensive strategies. Companies were able to survive and even grow after the TRIPS agreement by rebuilding their structures, improving their competencies, and adopting appropriate strategies in line with the new conditions.

### **1.1 TRIPS Agreement in India**

The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) is an [international legal agreement](#) between all the member nations of the [World Trade Organization](#) (WTO). It establishes minimum standards for the regulation by national governments of different forms of [intellectual property](#) (IP) as applied to nationals of other WTO member nations.<sup>[4]</sup> TRIPS was negotiated at the end of the [Uruguay Round](#) of the [General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade](#) (GATT) between 1989 and 1990<sup>[5]</sup> and is administered by the WTO.

The TRIPS agreement introduced intellectual property law into the multilateral trading system for the first time and remains the most comprehensive multilateral agreement on intellectual property to date. In 2001, developing countries, concerned that developed countries were insisting on an overly narrow reading of TRIPS, initiated a round of talks that resulted in the [Doha Declaration](#). The Doha declaration is a WTO statement that clarifies the scope of TRIPS, stating for example that TRIPS can and should be interpreted in light of the goal "to promote access to medicines for all." Specifically, TRIPS requires WTO members to provide [copyright](#) rights, covering authors and other copyright holders, as well as holders of related rights, namely performers, sound recording producers and broadcasting organizations; [geographical indications](#); [industrial designs](#); [integrated circuit layout-designs](#); [patents](#); [new plant varieties](#); [trademarks](#); [trade names](#) and undisclosed or [confidential information](#). A TRIP also specifies [enforcement](#) procedures, remedies, and [dispute resolution](#) procedures. Protection and enforcement of all intellectual property rights shall meet the objectives to contribute to the promotion of technological innovation and to the transfer and

dissemination of technology, to the mutual advantage of producers and users of technological knowledge and in a manner conducive to social and economic welfare, and to a balance of rights and obligations.

The three main features of the Agreement are:

## **1.2 STANDARDS**

In respect of each of the main areas of intellectual property covered by the TRIPS Agreement, the Agreement sets out the minimum standards of protection to be provided by each Member. Each of the main elements of protection is defined, namely the subject-matter to be protected, the rights to be conferred and permissible exceptions to those rights, and the minimum duration of protection. The Agreement sets these standards by requiring, first, that the substantive obligations of the main conventions of the WIPO, the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (Paris Convention) and the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (Berne Convention) in their most recent versions must be complied with. With the exception of the provisions of the Berne Convention on moral rights, all the main substantive provisions of these conventions are incorporated by reference and thus become obligations under the TRIPS Agreement between TRIPS Member countries. The relevant provisions are to be found in Articles 2.1 and 9.1 of the TRIPS Agreement, which relate, respectively, to the Paris Convention and to the Berne Convention. Secondly, the TRIPS Agreement adds a substantial number of additional obligations on matters where the pre-existing conventions are silent or were seen as being inadequate. The TRIPS Agreement is thus sometimes referred to as a Berne and Paris-plus agreement.

## **1.3 Enforcement**

The second main set of provisions deals with domestic procedures and remedies for the enforcement of intellectual property rights. The Agreement lays down certain general principles applicable to all IPR enforcement procedures. In addition, it contains provisions on civil and administrative procedures and remedies, provisional measures, special requirements related to border measures and criminal procedures, which specify, in a certain amount of detail, the procedures and remedies that must be available so that right holders can effectively enforce their rights.

## **1.4 Dispute settlement**

The Agreement makes disputes between WTO Members about the respect of the TRIPS

obligations subject to the WTO's dispute settlement procedures.

In addition the Agreement provides for certain basic principles, such as national and most-favoured-nation treatment, and some general rules to ensure that procedural difficulties in acquiring or maintaining IPRs do not nullify the substantive benefits that should flow from the Agreement. The obligations under the Agreement will apply equally to all Member countries, but developing countries will have a longer period to phase them in. Special transition arrangements operate in the situation where a developing country does not presently provide product patent protection in the area of pharmaceuticals.

The TRIPS Agreement is a minimum standards agreement, which allows Members to provide more extensive protection of intellectual property if they so wish. Members are left free to determine the appropriate method of implementing the provisions of the Agreement within their own legal system and practice.

## **1.5 FOOD SAFETY AS A HUMAN RIGHT**

The inherent right to sustenance is firmly upheld as a natural entitlement. Advocates of natural rights theory contend that such rights are not contingent upon legal frameworks or societal utility but rather find their foundation in the inherent essence of humanity. Natural rights are often construed as those privileges an individual possesses before becoming a member of a structured political society, prevailing within the domain of the individual's existence in the state of nature, prior to the establishment of civilized communal structures. It is crucial to emphasize that these rights are not presumed to be in existence at the moment of an individual's birth or to have persisted throughout the entirety of human history. Instead, they are seen as arising intrinsically from a moral capacity that is an integral part of human nature, a capacity without which one would cease to be truly human. Consequently, it becomes abundantly clear that the right to nourishment unequivocally qualifies as a natural right within the purview of this philosophical perspective. (Bird 1968). The modern era ushered in a plethora of International and regional human right documents. The word human right became a popular usage. Human right is a contemporary idiom for natural right. Authors use it synonymously (Finnis 1980). The UDHR, ratified by the UN General Assembly in 1948 as a benchmark for all humanity, contains the first reference to the "right to victuals" under international law. (UDHR, Art 29(7)). That right is extended even to the family members and this is inclusive of food, clothing and everything for the improvement of living conditions (FAO, 1965). The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1989 also directed the state parties to appropriate action to combat malnutrition (UNCRC, 1989). The

World Food Summit plan of Action, 1996 as its objective's states about the right to food. All these international instruments speak about food as an essential right to survival. So, the basic idea behind the safe and standard food is to ensure the safety and wellbeing of the people who consumes food. India joined the UN on 1945 and was involved with the UDHR proclaimed on 1948. Being a signatory to these international instruments involving right to victuals .India has a commitment to venerate, forfend and ascertain the right to victuals for denizens of India. There is no express guarantee of right to pabulum in the constitution of India. The elucidation of this matter can be deciphered by delving into the tenets articulated within the preamble, the fundamental rights, and the directive principles of state policy enshrined within the framework of the Indian Constitution. In the context of food safety, these constitutional provisions proffer an intricate tapestry through which one may discern and analyze the legal underpinnings of the subject matter The state has the obligation to direct its policies to secure adequate designates of livelihood to the people and to raise the caliber of alimentation and standard of living of its citizens. Consequently it is pellucid that the concrete right to pabulum is nowhere mentioned in the constitution. It has to be interpreted from the other articles in the Indian Constitution

## **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

The Supreme Court has recognised the paramountcy of the right to aliment in several decisions and has set an obligation on the regime to ensure that individuals have access to quality food.. Food safety is an area where judiciary should be more accountable to the people as it affects the health and well being of the masses. Instead of relying more towards judicial formalism, judiciary can be more activist in the area of victuals safety. Judiciary has to be more vigilant and dynamic while dealing with issues of prohibition order and quashing of complaints filed against food business operators. The whole process of food safety can be ensured in a border framework, where all key actors, the state, interest groups, stake holders and public have included, judiciary acting as a facilitator. The legislative history shows the flaws in legislations when it comes to its implementation stage. That can be removed by the judiciary by properly safeguarding the provisions in its letter and spirit.

In summary, these cases underscore the pivotal role played by the judiciary when working in tandem with well-informed consumers and proactive government agencies in tackling the intricate issue of food adulteration and related societal concerns. The cultivation of legal awareness among consumers emerges as a potent instrument in the battle against adulteration,

as it serves to advance food safety measures and fortify the overall well-being of the populace. It becomes evident that it is through the concerted and collaborative endeavors of all stakeholders that the foundation for a safer and healthier societal milieu can be firmly established.

The present study examined the impact of trademark disputes on the brand development and market entry of start-ups in Chennai. The analysis reveals that trademarks are not merely legal identifiers but strategic assets that significantly influence business growth, investor confidence, and competitive positioning. Trademark disputes, arising from similarities in brand names, logos, and digital identities, have emerged as a major challenge in the start-up ecosystem.

The findings indicate that such disputes impose financial, operational, and reputational burdens on start-ups. Litigation costs, forced rebranding, and delays in product launches disrupt business continuity and weaken market presence. Additionally, disputes create uncertainty among entrepreneurs and negatively affect investor perception, thereby limiting growth opportunities.

The study further highlights that lack of awareness, procedural delays in registration, and limited access to legal resources increase the vulnerability of start-ups. In a rapidly evolving digital environment, issues such as domain name conflicts and social media identity disputes have added new complexities to trademark protection.

In conclusion, effective trademark management is essential for ensuring sustainable growth of start-ups. Strengthening awareness, simplifying procedures, and improving enforcement mechanisms are crucial to reducing disputes and fostering a supportive entrepreneurial ecosystem.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS & SUGGESTIONS**

### **For Start-ups:**

- Conduct comprehensive trademark searches before finalizing brand names
- Register trademarks at the initial stage of business
- Use professional legal assistance for filing and compliance

- Monitor digital platforms for infringement
- Develop a clear IP strategy aligned with business goals

**For Government & Policymakers:**

- Simplify trademark registration procedures
- Reduce processing time through digital reforms
- Provide financial assistance or subsidies for start-ups
- Conduct awareness programs on intellectual property rights

**For Legal & Institutional Framework:**

- Establish fast-track mechanisms for IP dispute resolution
- Strengthen enforcement against infringement
- Encourage mediation and alternative dispute resolution methods

**For Academia & Future Research:**

- Conduct empirical studies using primary data
- Explore sector-specific trademark issues
- Analyze the role of technology in IP protection



WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL