

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper and a black leather watch with a silver face are also visible. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular box is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

THE ROLE OF INTERNET IN COMMERCIALIZATION OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

AUTHORED BY - E. KAMALAKANNAN
Student of III LLB, School of Law, VISTAS

CO-AUTHOR - MS.C.SALINI
Assistant Professor and Research Supervisor School of Law VISTAS

Introduction

The rapid growth of the internet has significantly transformed the way intellectual property (IP) is created, distributed, and commercialized. In earlier times, commercialization of IP was limited by physical boundaries, distribution challenges, and high costs. However, the advent of digital platforms has enabled creators, businesses, and innovators to reach a global audience instantly.

The internet has not only expanded the scope of IP commercialization but has also introduced new complexities in terms of ownership, enforcement, and protection. With the rise of digital media, online streaming, e-commerce platforms, and social media, intellectual property has become more vulnerable to unauthorized use and infringement.

Objectives of the Study

To examine the role of the internet in commercialization of intellectual property rights.

To analyze legal challenges arising from digital platforms.

To study Indian legal provisions governing online IP.

To evaluate enforcement mechanisms and future trends.

Hypothesis Formulated

The internet has enhanced commercialization opportunities for intellectual property but has also increased the risk of infringement and enforcement challenges.

Scope of Study

This study focuses on Indian legal framework including Copyright Act, 1957 and Information Technology Act, 2000 with reference to digital IP commercialization.

Limitations of Study

The study is limited to doctrinal research and does not include empirical or field-based data collection.

Research Methodology

The research is based on secondary sources such as books, journals, statutes, and case laws.

Review of Literature

Various authors have highlighted the importance of digital platforms in expanding IP markets while also stressing the increasing risks of piracy and infringement.

The Role of Internet in Commercialization of Intellectual Property

The internet plays a crucial role in commercialization by providing a platform for global distribution. Digital marketplaces, streaming services, and e-commerce websites have allowed creators to monetize their work efficiently.

Businesses can license their intellectual property across borders without the need for physical presence. The emergence of subscription-based models, digital downloads, and cloud-based services has further enhanced revenue streams.

Moreover, social media platforms act as promotional tools, enabling creators to market their content directly to consumers. This has reduced dependency on intermediaries and increased profit margins.

In addition, online advertising and targeted marketing techniques help businesses reach specific audiences with greater precision. Through data analytics, companies can understand consumer preferences and tailor their products or services accordingly.

The internet also facilitates instant customer feedback, which assists creators in improving their offerings and maintaining market relevance. User reviews, ratings, and online discussions contribute significantly to brand visibility and trust.

Another important aspect is the rise of affiliate marketing and influencer partnerships, which create new opportunities for product promotion and sales generation. These digital collaborations often lead to faster consumer engagement and broader market penetration.

Furthermore, small and medium enterprises benefit greatly from low-cost entry into international markets through online platforms. This democratization of commerce encourages innovation and allows independent creators to compete with larger corporations.

Thus, the internet has transformed commercialization into a faster, more accessible, and highly profitable process in the modern digital economy.

Copyright Issues on Internet

Copyright protection is governed by the Copyright Act, 1957. Section 14 defines copyright, while Section 51 deals with infringement. The digital environment has made copying and distribution easier, leading to widespread piracy.

Common issues include unauthorized streaming, downloading, and sharing of copyrighted content. Peer-to-peer networks and illegal websites contribute significantly to copyright violations.

The challenge lies in balancing accessibility and protection. While the internet promotes dissemination of knowledge, it also increases the risk of misuse.

Digital technologies enable users to reproduce books, music, films, software, and artistic works within seconds, often without the consent of the copyright owner. Such unauthorized duplication causes substantial economic loss to creators and production industries.

Another major concern is the circulation of pirated material through social media platforms, messaging applications, and file-sharing websites, where copyrighted works can be distributed to millions of users instantly. This makes enforcement extremely difficult.

The anonymity provided by the internet further complicates the identification of infringers. Many illegal websites operate from foreign jurisdictions, making it challenging for copyright owners to take effective legal action.

In response to these growing threats, copyright holders increasingly rely on digital rights management systems, watermarking technologies, and online monitoring mechanisms to protect their works from unauthorized use.

Courts have also recognized the seriousness of online piracy and have issued dynamic injunctions against rogue websites to prevent repeated infringement. However, technological advancement often outpaces legal enforcement.

At the same time, excessive restrictions may hinder educational access, research, and fair use of copyrighted materials. Therefore, copyright law must ensure that protection does not become an obstacle to legitimate public interest.

Hence, the internet presents both an opportunity for wider dissemination of creative content and a serious challenge for maintaining effective copyright protection in the digital era.

Impact of Internet on IP Challenges

The internet has created multiple challenges such as jurisdictional issues, anonymity of infringers, and rapid spread of infringing content.

The Information Technology Act, 2000 addresses some of these challenges. Section 79 provides safe harbor protection to intermediaries, provided they follow due diligence requirements and act only as neutral facilitators of third-party content.

However, identifying offenders and enforcing rights across borders remains difficult. The global nature of the internet requires international cooperation and harmonization of laws.

One of the major legal complications arises from the fact that an infringing act may originate in one country, be hosted on a server in another country, and cause damage in several other jurisdictions simultaneously. This creates uncertainty regarding which nation's laws should apply and which court should have authority to adjudicate the dispute.

Additionally, online users often operate under false identities, encrypted accounts, or anonymous virtual networks, making it difficult for copyright owners and law enforcement agencies to trace the actual wrongdoer. The ease of creating temporary websites and anonymous social media accounts further strengthens this problem.

Another concern is the extraordinary speed with which infringing content can be uploaded, copied, mirrored, and redistributed. Even if one illegal source is blocked, the same material often reappears on numerous alternate platforms within a short period of time.

Though Section 79 of the Information Technology Act grants conditional immunity to intermediaries, such immunity is available only when they exercise due diligence and remove unlawful material upon receiving proper notice. This places an important responsibility on digital platforms to cooperate in the protection of intellectual property rights.

Further, existing enforcement agencies often face technological limitations, shortage of cyber expertise, and procedural delays, which weaken the effectiveness of legal remedies against online infringement.

Therefore, there is a pressing need for stronger international treaties, improved cyber investigation mechanisms, and coordinated efforts between governments, internet service providers, and intellectual property owners to effectively combat digital infringement in the internet age.

Enforcement

Indian courts have played a significant role in addressing online intellectual property issues and in shaping the framework for digital enforcement.

In *Shreya Singhal v. Union of India* (2015), the Supreme Court clarified intermediary liability and struck down Section 66A of the Information Technology Act as unconstitutional. More importantly, the Court read down Section 79 and held that intermediaries are required to remove unlawful content only upon receiving a court order or notification from the appropriate government authority, thereby defining the scope of “actual knowledge” in the online environment.

In *Myspace Inc. v. Super Cassettes Industries Ltd.* (2016), the Delhi High Court examined the liability of online platforms in copyright infringement cases. The Court held that intermediaries cannot claim absolute immunity when they have specific knowledge of infringing content and fail to act with due diligence. This judgment strengthened the notice-and-takedown mechanism and emphasized that online platforms must cooperate in protecting copyright owners.

In *UTV Software Communication Ltd. v. 1337X* (2019), the Delhi High Court introduced dynamic injunctions to block piracy websites, which marked a significant step in online enforcement. The Court recognized that rogue websites repeatedly shift domain names, mirror links, and server locations to evade legal action. Therefore, it permitted rights holders to seek extension of injunctions against newly created mirror or redirect websites without filing fresh suits every time.

These cases highlight the evolving judicial approach towards protecting intellectual property in the digital age.

The judiciary has gradually moved from a traditional territorial understanding of infringement to a technologically responsive model that considers the borderless nature of cyberspace. Courts are increasingly acknowledging that ordinary remedies are insufficient when infringing material can be copied and redistributed within minutes.

Another notable development is the willingness of Indian courts to impose greater accountability on internet service providers, website hosts, and digital intermediaries, ensuring that they do not become passive facilitators of unlawful online activity.

Further, courts have emphasized the importance of balancing two competing interests—on one hand, preserving freedom of speech and innovation on digital platforms, and on the other, protecting the economic and moral rights of intellectual property owners.

Judicial precedents have therefore become one of the strongest tools in combating online

piracy, trademark misuse, and unauthorized dissemination of protected works in India.

Despite these advances, enforcement still faces practical obstacles such as delayed compliance, foreign-hosted websites, anonymous operators, and technological circumvention methods. Hence, continuous judicial innovation remains essential.

Thus, Indian case law demonstrates a progressive and adaptive legal response, reflecting the judiciary's increasing commitment to effective intellectual property enforcement in the rapidly changing digital ecosystem.

Future Trends in Internet-based Intellectual Property Rights

The future of IP commercialization lies in emerging technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and NFTs.

Blockchain can ensure secure ownership records, while AI can assist in detecting infringement. NFTs have introduced new ways of monetizing digital assets.

However, these advancements also raise legal and ethical concerns, requiring continuous updates in legal frameworks.

Blockchain technology, with its decentralized and tamper-resistant ledger system, can provide transparent proof of authorship, licensing history, and transfer of ownership, thereby reducing disputes over originality and title.

Artificial intelligence is increasingly being used to monitor online platforms, identify unauthorized reproductions, track trademark misuse, and detect copyright infringement through automated scanning systems.

NFTs, or Non-Fungible Tokens, have opened entirely new commercial avenues by allowing creators to sell authenticated digital art, music, videos, and collectibles directly to consumers in tokenized form, often without relying on traditional intermediaries.

At the same time, these technologies create uncertainty regarding ownership rights, validity of smart contracts, taxation, consumer protection, and cross-border enforcement, as existing intellectual property laws were not originally designed for decentralized digital transactions.

Further, the distinction between ownership of a digital token and ownership of the underlying copyright often remains misunderstood, leading to potential legal disputes between creators, purchasers, and platforms.

Therefore, while emerging technologies promise to revolutionize the commercialization of intellectual property, their successful integration depends upon the development of clear

statutory regulations, judicial interpretation, and internationally coordinated techno-legal standards.

Conclusion

The internet has revolutionized the commercialization of intellectual property rights by expanding reach and increasing revenue opportunities. At the same time, it has created significant challenges in terms of enforcement and protection.

Indian laws such as the Copyright Act, 1957 and the Information Technology Act, 2000 provide a framework for addressing these issues, but continuous evolution is necessary to keep pace with technological advancements.

Judicial interventions, technological tools, and intermediary regulations have together strengthened the protection of creators in the online environment, yet practical enforcement gaps continue to persist.

As digital transactions, artificial intelligence, and decentralized content-sharing platforms continue to grow, intellectual property law must become more adaptive, faster, and internationally coordinated in its response.

A balanced legal system is therefore essential—one that encourages innovation and free flow of information while simultaneously safeguarding the economic and moral rights of creators and owners. Ultimately, effective commercialization of intellectual property on the internet can be sustained only when strong legal protection, technological monitoring, and global enforcement mechanisms function together in harmony.

Bibliography

Copyright Act, 1957

Information Technology Act, 2000

Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)

Myspace Inc. v. Super Cassettes Industries Ltd. (2016)

UTV Software Communication Ltd. v. 1337X (2019)

P. Narayanan – Intellectual Property Law

Various journals and online resources