



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

UCC: A NECESSITY OR A POLITICAL AGENDA? **ITS IMPACT ON PERSONAL LAWS IN INDIA**

AUTHORED BY - TARUN GOWDA D, NAGA YOGESH CHITTURI
& SOHAN SANTOSH MELLIGERI,
School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru

Abstract

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has been a subject of extensive debate in India, representing a complex intersection of law, politics, and societal change. Envisioned under Article 44 of the Indian Constitution as a directive principle of state policy, the UCC aims to unify personal laws across religious communities into a single, secular legal framework. Proponents argue that its implementation is essential to ensure gender justice, promote equality, and foster national integration. However, critics contend that the UCC may undermine India's pluralistic identity, infringe upon religious and cultural freedoms, and serve as a political instrument rather than a genuine effort for legal reform. This paper critically examines the historical evolution of personal laws, the constitutional provisions surrounding the UCC, and its potential socio-legal impact, particularly in the context of the recent enactment of Uttarakhand's Uniform Civil Code Act, 2024. By analyzing landmark judicial pronouncements and exploring diverse perspectives, this study seeks to assess whether the push for a UCC is a progressive step toward social justice or a politically motivated agenda.

Furthermore, the paper delves into the implications of a UCC on various aspects of personal law, including marriage, divorce, inheritance, and adoption. It highlights the challenges posed by the codification of uniform laws, especially concerning minority rights and gender equality. The Uttarakhand experience serves as a case study to evaluate the practical application and consequences of a UCC. Ultimately, the research emphasizes the importance of balancing constitutional ideals with the protection of cultural diversity, advocating for inclusive and consultative legal reforms that address the concerns of all communities. Through this comprehensive analysis, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the feasibility and desirability of a Uniform Civil Code in India.

Keywords: Uniform Civil Code (UCC), Personal Laws, Gender Justice, Religious Freedom, Legal Reform

I. Introduction

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has long been a subject of intense debate in India, situated at the intersection of law, politics, and societal transformation. Envisioned under Article 44 of the Indian Constitution¹ as a directive principle of state policy, the UCC aims to replace the diverse personal laws governing various religious communities with a unified set of secular laws applicable to all citizens. Proponents argue that a UCC is essential for fostering national integration, ensuring gender justice, and upholding the constitutional ethos of equality and secularism. However, critics contend that its implementation risks undermining India's pluralistic fabric, infringing upon the cultural and religious rights of minority communities, and serving as a tool for political mobilization rather than genuine legal reform. Against this backdrop, this paper seeks to explore whether the push for a UCC is a necessity for modernizing India's legal system and achieving social justice or a politically motivated agenda with far-reaching implications for the country's personal laws. By examining the historical, constitutional, and socio-political dimensions of the UCC debate, this research aims to critically analyze its potential impact on India's diverse personal laws and the broader implications for its democratic and secular framework.

The concept of personal laws in India emerged during the British colonial period, when the colonizers, fearing opposition from community leaders, established separate legal frameworks for Hindu and Muslim subjects while refraining from further intervention in domestic spheres. Post-independence, while Hindu code bills codified and reformed personal laws for Hindus, Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs, they notably exempted Christians, Jews, Muslims, and Parsis, thereby perpetuating legal pluralism. Until 2024, Goa—with its distinctive colonial heritage—remained the only Indian state implementing a uniform civil code inherited from Portuguese rule.

The debate surrounding UCC gained prominence following the landmark Shah Bano² case of 1985, which brought into sharp focus questions about gender justice, religious freedom, and state intervention in personal laws. In recent years, the issue has resurfaced with renewed political vigor. In February 2024, Uttarakhand became the first state in post-independence India

to adopt a contentious Uniform Civil Code, which has been characterized by the ruling Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) as a measure to empower women and address gender discrimination. Following this precedent, several other BJP-governed states have initiated similar processes, indicating a potential nationwide expansion of the UCC framework.

The UCC represents a complex intersection of legal, political, social, and cultural considerations. Prime Minister Narendra Modi's BJP has positioned UCC implementation as a core agenda item alongside other significant policy changes such as the abrogation of Article 370³ and the construction of the Ram Temple in Ayodhya. In June 2023, Prime Minister Narendra Modi publicly questioned, "How can the country run on two laws?" while advocating for UCC implementation and suggesting that opposition to it stemmed from "vote bank politics". This political framing has led critics to question whether the push for UCC represents a genuine commitment to legal reform and gender equality or functions primarily as a political tool to mobilize electoral support.

The implementation of UCC has faced significant resistance from various quarters. Members of the Muslim community have expressed concerns that it would erode their religious freedom and cultural identity. Opposition parties and even some BJP allies, particularly from Northeast India, have opposed the UCC on grounds that it might undermine the "idea of India" and eliminate special privileges accorded to tribal communities. Additionally, women's rights groups have raised nuanced concerns about certain provisions, particularly those governing live-in relationships in Uttarakhand's version of the UCC, which require registration with authorities under threat of imprisonment and fines.

In this research paper, we're diving into the heated conversation around the Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India – a topic that touches the lives of millions of Indians across different faiths and communities. We're not just looking at UCC as a legal concept on paper; we're exploring how it affects real people with diverse beliefs, family traditions, and ways of life. At its heart, we're asking a question that matters to every Indian citizen: Is UCC truly about creating fairness and equality for all, or is it primarily a political tool being wielded for electoral gain?

To answer this question honestly, we're listening to the voices that matter – ordinary Muslims concerned about preserving their cultural heritage, Hindu families pondering what changes might mean for their traditions, women's rights advocates fighting for genuine equality, tribal

communities protecting their unique customs, and legal experts interpreting our Constitution's promises. By bringing these human stories and perspectives together, we hope to paint a picture that goes beyond political rhetoric and legal jargon to show what UCC means for India's diverse families and communities.

The conversation around UCC isn't just academic anymore – it's becoming reality in places like Uttarakhand, where people are already adapting to new laws that affect how they marry, divorce, and inherit property. As more states consider following this path, millions of Indians are asking what these changes mean for their families and future generations. Will a daughter in Kerala have the same inheritance rights as one in Bihar? Will interfaith couples face different rules depending on where they live? These questions aren't just constitutional puzzles – they're about real lives, relationships, and the everyday decisions that shape our society. By examining UCC through these human lenses, we hope to contribute meaningfully to one of the most important conversations happening in Indian homes, courts, and legislative chambers today.

II. Historical Context of Personal Laws in India

The evolution of personal laws in India reflects its rich cultural and religious diversity. In ancient times, laws were shaped by the Vedas, local customs, and social practices, varying widely across communities. During the medieval period, Islamic laws gained prominence, but local traditions remained influential, creating a blend of religious and customary practices. British colonial rule formalized this diversity by codifying separate personal laws for Hindus, Muslims, Christians, and Parsis, governing matters like marriage, divorce, and inheritance. This created a complex legal system tied to religious identity⁴.

After independence in 1947, India faced the challenge of reconciling these personal laws with constitutional principles of equality and justice. Article 44 of the Constitution⁵ proposed a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) to unify laws for all citizens, but its implementation has been contentious. Debates over the Hindu Code Bills in the 1950s, which aimed to modernize Hindu personal laws, highlighted the tension between reform and tradition. Even today, the UCC remains a polarizing issue, balancing the ideals of equality with concerns over religious freedom and cultural diversity.

III. Constitutional Provisions and the UCC

Article 44 of the Indian Constitution directs the State to secure a Uniform Civil Code (UCC), aiming to standardize personal laws across religions. This directive, a part of the Directive Principles, is intended to create a common legal framework for matters like marriage, divorce, inheritance, and adoption. However, it's not legally enforceable, unlike fundamental rights.

The pursuit of a UCC faces constitutional complexities due to guaranteed fundamental rights. Article 25⁶ ensures religious freedom, while Article 26⁷ protects religious denominations' rights to manage their affairs. These rights can conflict with a UCC if it's seen as infringing on religious practices, raising concerns about imposing uniformity at the expense of religious freedom.

Articles 14⁸ and 15⁹, guaranteeing equality, support the UCC by arguing it would end discrimination, especially against women, under varied personal laws. Opponents fear it might undermine cultural identities protected by Article 29¹⁰. Balancing the UCC with fundamental rights requires careful navigation, as highlighted by court cases like the Shah Bano case, which underscore the need for a nuanced approach respecting both constitutional principles and India's diverse society.

IV. Arguments in Favor of the UCC: A Necessity?

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) is viewed by proponents as a necessary step towards achieving gender equality by addressing discriminatory practices ingrained in existing personal laws. Many personal laws, particularly those concerning marriage, divorce, and inheritance, contain provisions that disadvantage women, perpetuating social inequalities. By establishing a uniform legal framework, the UCC could eliminate these biases, ensuring equal rights and protections for all women regardless of their religious affiliation.

Furthermore, advocates argue that the UCC would foster national integration by creating a common legal identity for all Indian citizens. Currently, the application of diverse personal laws based on religion can create divisions and complicate legal processes. A unified code would streamline the legal system, reducing inconsistencies and promoting a sense of shared citizenship. This could lead to a more cohesive national identity, transcending religious and cultural differences¹¹.

Simplification of the legal system and reduction of legal complexities are additional benefits cited in favor of the UCC. The coexistence of various personal laws often leads to confusion and overlapping jurisdictions, making it difficult for citizens to navigate legal matters. The implementation of a UCC would consolidate these laws, providing a clear and accessible legal framework for all, thereby easing the burden on the judiciary and promoting efficiency in the administration of justice¹².

V. Arguments Against the UCC: A Political Agenda?

Critics argue that implementing a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) is a political agenda that could infringe upon religious freedom and cultural diversity. India's Constitution guarantees the right of religious communities to manage their own affairs, and opponents fear that a UCC would override this protection by imposing a uniform set of rules, potentially disrupting long-standing traditions and customs. This concern is rooted in the belief that the UCC may not be neutral, but rather reflect the values and norms of the majority community, thus marginalizing minority groups.

Moreover, opponents contend that the UCC poses a threat to minority rights and risks cultural homogenization. India's strength lies in its diversity, and a uniform code could undermine this by overlooking the unique cultural identities and practices of various communities. The imposition of a UCC could lead to a loss of autonomy for minority groups, eroding their sense of identity and potentially leading to social unrest¹³.

The political sensitivities surrounding the UCC and the potential for social unrest are significant concerns. The push for a UCC has often been a contentious political issue, with some viewing it as a tool to consolidate power and promote a particular ideology¹⁴. Given the history of communal tensions in India, critics worry that the implementation of a UCC without broad consensus could exacerbate these divisions, leading to conflict and instability.

VI. Impact on Marriage Laws

A Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has the potential to significantly reshape marriage laws, divorce proceedings, and maintenance rights across all communities in India. Currently, these aspects of personal law are governed by religious customs and codified laws specific to various groups, leading to inconsistencies and disparities.

Regarding marriage laws, a UCC could establish a uniform legal age for marriage, standardize registration processes, and eliminate practices like polygamy and 'halala'¹⁵. This standardization aims to promote gender equality and social justice by removing discriminatory provisions present in some religious laws. By setting a universal marriage age, the UCC seeks to ensure that individuals complete their education before entering matrimony.

In the realm of divorce, a UCC could establish consistent grounds and procedures applicable to all citizens, regardless of their religious affiliation¹⁶. This would address the current situation where divorce laws vary significantly, with some religions having more stringent or biased provisions. A uniform approach aims to simplify the divorce process, making it fairer and more accessible for both parties involved.

Concerning maintenance, a UCC has the potential to ensure that women receive adequate financial support after divorce, regardless of their religious background. The code could standardize the criteria for determining maintenance amounts, taking into account factors like the economic status of both spouses, the duration of the marriage, and the needs of any children. This would address situations where existing personal laws provide inadequate or discriminatory maintenance provisions, empowering women and promoting gender equality¹⁷.

VII. Impact on Inheritance Laws

The UCC seeks to establish an equal distribution of property among heirs, addressing disparities in inheritance laws. Currently, inheritance laws vary significantly across different religions. For Hindus, the UCC could eliminate the distinction between ancestral and self-acquired property, granting every legal heir the same rights to both. The UCC disregards the class of successors mentioned in the codified Hindu laws, Muslim personal laws and the laws applicable to Christians. The code aims to place women at par with men, distancing itself from the norms of mainstream religions. For Muslims, the UCC could remove fixed shares in intestate succession, which often result in unequal distribution favoring male heirs, and grants Muslim women equal property rights to men in case of intestate succession. For Christians, the UCC would categorize a widow as a Class-1 heir, ensuring all Class-1 heirs receive equal shares in property, contrasting with the Indian Succession Act, 1925¹⁸, where widows are entitled to 1/3 of the deceased's property¹⁹.

VIII. Impact on Succession Laws

The UCC aims to bring uniformity in matters of succession and property inheritance. The Succession Act may be changed to bring about uniformity under the UCC. The implementation of the UCC will change the hierarchy of inheritance. The UCC establishes general rules of succession regarding the estate of a Muslim dying intestate and repeals every law, practice, custom or usage in Uttarakhand that are inconsistent with any provisions contained in the code. If one of spouses satisfies the eligibility criteria for Uttarakhand UCC, the intestate succession of the assets will happen as per the UCC provisions²⁰.

IX. Impact on Adoption Laws

The UCC has the potential to significantly impact adoption laws by providing greater clarity about the rights of adopted children and streamlining the process of transferring parental rights²¹. By enacting UCC, it will also allow other religions of India to adopt a child²² legally and it will also improve the social life of a childless couple.²³ This would give adopted children greater certainty about their legal status and their rights to inherit property and other assets.²⁴

The UCC would simplify the process of transferring parental rights and make it easier for adopted children to inherit property and other assets.

X. Impact on Guardianship Laws

The UCC can establish gender-neutral principles in matters of guardianship and custody, prioritizing the well-being of the child.²⁵ If the UCC is introduced, then the guardianship and custody laws for all religions will be common²⁶. A UCC cannot confine itself to merely changing the rule of the father being the natural guardian.²⁷

The Indian judiciary has significantly shaped the discourse on the Uniform Civil Code (UCC) through various landmark case laws and judicial pronouncements. These cases reflect the judiciary's efforts to balance constitutional directives with the protection of religious freedoms and cultural diversity²⁸.

XI. Landmark Cases and the UCC Debate

The debate surrounding the UCC gained considerable momentum following the Shah Bano case in 1985. In *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum*²⁹, the Supreme Court ruled in favor

of Shah Bano, a divorced Muslim woman, granting her the right to maintenance beyond the iddat period under Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC)³⁰. The Court emphasized the need for a UCC to promote national integration by removing conflicting ideologies inherent in disparate personal laws. This ruling sparked controversy, leading to the enactment of the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act in 1986³¹, which diluted the Supreme Court's judgment by restricting maintenance to the iddat period. Despite this legislative setback, the Supreme Court has consistently upheld the right of Muslim women to seek maintenance under Section 125 of the CrPC³², urging the legislature to enact a UCC.

In *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India* (1995)³³, the Supreme Court addressed the issue of bigamy among Hindu men who converted to Islam to circumvent the existing Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, which prohibits polygamy³⁴. The Court held that a Hindu husband who converts to Islam and remarries without dissolving his first marriage is guilty of bigamy under Section 494 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC)³⁵. Justice Kuldeep Singh urged the government to consider Article 44 of the Constitution, emphasizing the necessity of a UCC to prevent the exploitation of religious conversions for polygamy. This case underscored the conflicts arising from differing personal laws and highlighted the need for a uniform legal framework.

The *Shayara Bano* case³⁶ (*Shayara Bano v. Union of India & Others*) in 2017 addressed the controversial practice of triple talaq (talaq-e-biddat) among Muslims. The Supreme Court deemed instant triple talaq unconstitutional, affirming that it violated the fundamental rights of Muslim women. The bench directed the government to enact legislation to govern marriage and divorce in the Muslim community. Subsequently, the Parliament passed the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act in 2019, criminalizing triple talaq. This landmark judgment and subsequent legislation have empowered Muslim women by protecting them from arbitrary divorce, further fueling the debate on the necessity of a UCC.

A) Judicial Perspectives on Personal Laws

Indian courts have expressed differing views on personal laws, particularly regarding their compatibility with constitutional principles. Some judges have advocated for a UCC to address gender discrimination and ensure equality, while others have cautioned against hasty interventions that could infringe upon religious freedom. The judiciary has often found itself balancing the need for social reform with the imperative to protect minority rights and maintain social harmony³⁷.

In several cases, the Supreme Court has highlighted the importance of Article 44 of the Constitution, which directs the State to secure a UCC. However, the Court has also acknowledged the complexities involved in implementing a UCC in a diverse country like India. The judiciary has emphasized that the initiative for enacting a UCC must come from the legislature, respecting the separation of powers enshrined in the Constitution.

B) Implications for Marriage, Divorce, and Maintenance

Judicial pronouncements have significantly impacted marriage, divorce, and maintenance laws across various communities. The Shah Bano case, for instance, highlighted the disparities in maintenance rights for divorced Muslim women, leading to a nationwide debate on the need for a uniform approach. Similarly, cases like Sarla Mudgal have exposed the misuse of religious conversions to circumvent marriage laws, emphasizing the need for consistency and clarity in legal provisions³⁸.

The judiciary has also played a crucial role in interpreting and expanding the scope of maintenance laws to ensure that women receive adequate financial support after divorce, irrespective of their religious background. Landmark cases have addressed issues such as the duration of maintenance, the criteria for determining maintenance amounts, and the enforcement of maintenance orders, promoting gender equality and social justice.

C) Impact on Inheritance, Succession, Adoption, and Guardianship

Judicial interpretations have also influenced inheritance, succession, adoption, and guardianship laws, advocating for standardized regulations applicable to all citizens. The courts have emphasized the importance of ensuring equal property rights for women, eliminating discriminatory practices in inheritance, and streamlining the process of transferring parental rights in adoption cases³⁹.

The Supreme Court's intervention in cases related to guardianship has highlighted the need for gender-neutral principles that prioritize the well-being of the child. Judicial pronouncements have also focused on providing greater clarity about the rights of adopted children and simplifying the legal procedures for adoption, promoting social justice and inclusivity⁴⁰.

Case laws and judicial pronouncements have played a pivotal role in shaping the debate on the Uniform Civil Code in India. These rulings reflect the judiciary's commitment

to upholding constitutional principles, promoting gender equality, and ensuring social justice⁴¹. While the implementation of a UCC remains a complex and politically sensitive issue, the judiciary's nuanced approach has underscored the need for a balanced and inclusive legal framework that respects both the spirit of the Constitution and the diverse fabric of Indian society.

XII. Uttarakhand's Uniform Civil Code Act, 2024: A New Direction?

Uttarakhand's UCC Act, 2024, effective January 27, 2025, establishes uniform personal laws for all citizens, regardless of religion. It covers marriage, divorce, inheritance, and live-in relationships⁴². Proponents see it as a progressive step, while critics worry about religious freedoms and cultural diversity.

Key provisions include a uniform marriageable age, mandatory marriage registration, and the prohibition of polygamy and triple talaq. It mandates live-in relationship registration and equal inheritance rights. Objectives include gender equality, simplified legal processes, and social cohesion.

The UCC potentially offers gender equality and legal simplification but faces criticisms regarding religious freedom, insufficient consultation, and implementation challenges. Mandatory live-in relationship registration raises privacy concerns, and the exclusion of LGBTQIA+ rights highlights gaps. The Act's success hinges on addressing these concerns, shaping the future of personal laws in India.

XIII. Conclusion

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India represents a complex interplay of potential benefits and inherent challenges. Proponents view it as a vital step toward gender equality, national integration, and legal simplification, evidenced by landmark cases like Shah Bano that underscore the need for uniformity in personal laws. The recent enactment of Uttarakhand's UCC Act, 2024, signals a move towards this direction by establishing uniform personal laws for all citizens in the state, regardless of religion, covering marriage, divorce, inheritance, and live-in relationships.

However, critics argue that the UCC is a politically motivated agenda that could infringe upon

religious freedom and cultural diversity, potentially threatening minority rights and causing social unrest. Concerns about insufficient consultation, implementation challenges, and the potential for misuse have been raised. The mandatory registration of live-in relationships and the exclusion of LGBTQIA+ rights in Uttarakhand's UCC have also drawn criticism. Therefore, the UCC's success hinges on addressing these concerns and ensuring that the rights and freedoms of all citizens are protected, balancing the goals of equality and social justice with the need to respect India's diverse social fabric.

1 INDIA CONST. art. 44

2 Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, (1985) 2 S.C.C. 556 (India).

3 INDIA CONST. art. 370

4 Subrata K. Mitra & Alexander Fischer, Sacred Laws and the Secular State: An Analytical Narrative of the Controversy Over Personal Laws in India, 1 India Rev. 99, 99-130 (2002).

5 INDIA CONST. art. 44

6 INDIA CONST. art. 25

7 INDIA CONST. art. 26

8 INDIA CONST. art. 14

9 INDIA CONST. art. 15

10 INDIA CONST. art. 29

11 Varun Ranganathan, Uniform Civil Code - Issues and Challenges and How to Circumvent Them?, 3 Jus Corpus L.J. 421-22 (2022).

12 Soumalya Ghosh, Uniform Civil Code: An Ideal Vision of Modern India, 9 Indian J.L. & Just. 214 -15 (2018).

13 Anil Nauriya, Religion, Politics, and the Law: The UCC Dilemma , 45 Econ. & Pol. Wkly. 42 (2010).

14 Werner Menski, Uniform Civil Code Debate in India: New Developments, Old Problems, 8 Asian L. Rev. 75 (2006)

15 Archana Parashar, Marriage Laws and Gender Justice: Evaluating the Impact of a Uniform Civil Code in India , 14 Socio-Legal Rev. 112 (2018).

16 Flavia Agnes, The Uniform Civil Code and Its Effect on Personal Laws Governing Marriage and Divorce , 45 Indian J. Fam. L. 89 (2016).

17 Ratna Kapur, UCC and the Changing Dynamics of Marriage Laws in India: A Feminist Perspective, 50 Econ. & Pol. Wkly. 121 (2015)

18 Indian Succession Act, 1925, No. 39, Acts of Parliament, 1925 (India).

19 Sagar Bagde, A Doctrinal Study of Uniform Civil Code (UCC) and Personal Laws , 4 Indian J.L. & Legal Rsch. 1 (2022).

20 Flavia Agnes, Inheritance and Gender Justice: The Implications of a Uniform Civil Code on Succession Laws in India, 46 J. Indian L. Inst. 213 (2017).

21 Laxmi Kant Pandey v. Union of India, AIR 1984 SC 469

22 Shabnam Hashmi v. Union of India, (2014) 4 SCC 1

23 Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, § 56, No. 2, Acts of Parliament, 2016 (India)

24 Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956, § 12, No. 78, Acts of Parliament, 1956 (India)

25 Githa Hariharan v. Reserve Bank of India, (1999) 2 SCC 228 (India)

26 Mary Roy v. State of Kerala, AIR 1986 SC 1011 (India)

27 Guardians and Wards Act, 1890, § 6, No. 8, Acts of Parliament, 1890 (India)

28 Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, (1995) 3 SCC 635 (India)

29 Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, AIR 1985 SC 945 (India)

30 Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, § 125 (India)

31 Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, No. 25, Acts of Parliament, 1986

32 Id at 11

33 Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, AIR 1995 SC 1531 (India)

34 Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, No. 25, Acts of Parliament, 1955

35 Indian Penal Code, 1860, § 494 (India).

36 Id at 10

37 Flavia Agnes, The Uniform Civil Code and Its Effect on Personal Laws Governing Marriage and Divorce , 45 Indian J. Fam. L. 89 (2016)

38 Ratna Kapur, UCC and the Changing Dynamics of Marriage Laws in India: A Feminist Perspective , 50 Econ. & Pol. Wkly. 121 (2015).

39 Indira Jaising, The Need for a Uniform Civil Code, 30 Econ. & Pol. Wkly. 5 (1995)

40 Id

41 Archana Parashar, Uniform Civil Code and Gender Equality: Evaluating Succession Laws in India , 12 Nat'l L. Sch. India Rev. 178 (2015).

42 Uttarakhand Uniform Civil Code Act, 2024, Uttarakhand Act No. 3 (2024) (India).

