

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper is partially shown, and a black leather watch with a silver dial is resting on the desk. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular box is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

*Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal*

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

## ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

*White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

## AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

# **DEEFAKE SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AS DIGITAL VIOLENCE: GENDERED HARM, PUBLIC FIGURES AND LEGAL CHALLENGES**

AUTHORED BY - JOHN RAMEY.J<sup>1</sup>

LL.B(Final year)

CO-AUTHOR - L.KEERTHANA<sup>2</sup>

Assistant Professor and Research Supervisor

*Department of Legal Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies,  
Pallavaram, Chennai, India.*

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper analyses the misuse of deepfake technology in producing non-consensual sexually explicit content which mainly targets public figures. It argues that such practices constitute a form of digital sexual violence, shifting exploitation from physical participation to synthetic identity manipulation. This study examines factors such as data availability, platform virality and perceived reduction in privacy that particularly makes public figures more vulnerable. It further highlights the gendered nature of deepfake abuse, emphasizing its disproportionate impact on women and its reinforcement of patriarchal norms. The paper evaluates the resulting harms, including reputational damage, psychological trauma, and loss of identity control, while also critiquing the role of social media platforms in amplifying such content. It concludes that existing legal frameworks remain inadequate and calls for recognition of deepfake sexual exploitation as a distinct legal harm.

**Keywords:** Deepfakes, Digital Sexual Violence, Non-Consensual Pornography, AI Ethics, Cyber Law,

## **INTRODUCTION:**

While deepfake technology can be used for good purposes, its most pervasive and harmful misuse has been in the creation of non-consensual sexually explicit content. A significant proportion of deepfake media circulating online consists mostly of fabricated pornographic

videos, primarily targeting women. This misuse transforms deepfake technology from a tool of innovation into an instrument of exploitation and misuse. In India, the increasing digital growth and widespread use of social media platforms have amplified the vulnerability of individuals, especially women in public life, to deepfake abuse. While technological advancement is inevitable and growing fast, legal preparedness has lagged. The Indian legal framework does not presently contain a statutory definition of “deepfake,” nor does it specifically criminalize AI-generated sexual impersonation.

In this paper we will examine how deepfakes are used to sexually exploit public figures, the nature of such abuse, its gendered dimensions, and also its psychological, social, and professional consequences. It further conceptualizes such misuse as a form of digital sexual violence.

### **GROWTH OF NON-CONSENSUAL DEEFAKE PORNOGRAPHY:**

The proliferation of deepfake pornography has increased significantly due to:

- Easy accessibility to AI tools
- Availability of various high-resolution images online
- Rapid growth of anonymous online platforms
- Quick reach through social media

Studies in digital media research indicate that a vast majority of deepfake videos available on the internet are pornographic, and nearly all of them are created without the consent of the individuals. Unlike traditional pornography, this deepfake pornography does not require the participation of the victim. The individual’s face is digitally superimposed onto explicit content that creates a fabricated sexual identity. This represents a shift from recorded exploitation to synthetic exploitation, where harm is generated entirely through artificial means.

### **WHY PUBLIC FIGURES ARE TARGETED:**

Public figures are mostly affected by deepfake abuse due to several structural and technological factors:

#### **Availability of Data**

Public figures such as actors, politicians, journalists and influencers have vast digital footprints. Their images, videos, and interviews are readily available online which provides abundant training data for AI systems.

#### **Visibility and Virality**

Contents involving public figures spread rapidly due to:

- Public interest
- Media amplification
- Algorithmic prioritization on social media

The viral nature of such content intensifies the harm.

### **Perceived Reduced Privacy**

There is a false assumption that public figures have diminished privacy rights. While they are subject to public scrutiny and this does not extend to fabricated sexual representations. Deepfake sexual content crosses the boundary that lies between public interest and personal autonomy.

### **Economic and Reputational Incentives**

Creators of such content mostly exploit:

- Celebrity status for views and monetization
- Sensationalism to attract attention
- The commercial aspect further promotes misuse.

### **GENDERED NATURE OF DEEPPFAKE ABUSE:**

Deepfake sexual exploitation is not gender-neutral. It excessively affects women, reflecting broader societal inequalities.

#### **Targeting of Women**

Most research consistently shows that women constitute the overwhelming majority of victims in deepfake pornography. Female public figures are more frequently subjected to sexualized digital manipulation than their males.

#### **Reinforcement of Patriarchal Structures**

Deepfake pornography replicates and magnifies patriarchal norms by:

- Objectifying women's bodies
- Reducing identity to sexual representation
- Exercising control over women's digital presence

#### **Silencing Effect**

Victims often hesitate to speak due to:

- Fear of stigma
- Victim-blaming
- Professional repercussions

This leads to the normalisation of such abuse.

### **Intersection with Image-Based Sexual Abuse**

Deepfake pornography is often compared to revenge pornography but it differs in a crucial aspect: the victim may never have consented to or even participated in any form of original content creation.

This expands the scope of image-based abuse to include fabricated consent.

### **NATURE OF HARM CAUSED:**

Deepfake sexual exploitation causes multi-layered harm such as:

#### **Reputational Harm**

Even when it's proven false, the association with explicit content damages public opinion. In conservative social contexts, reputational damage can be more severe and long-lasting.

#### **Psychological and Emotional Trauma**

Victims often experience:

- Anxiety
- Depression
- Loss of self-worth
- Emotional distress

If one's identity has been digitally manipulated into explicit content it creates a profound sense of violation.

#### **Professional Consequences**

Public figures may face:

- Loss of endorsements
- Damage to professional credibility
- Reduced public trust

#### **Digital Permanence**

Once it's circulated online, deepfake content is extremely difficult to remove completely from the platform. Even after takedown, many copies may continue to exist across platforms.

#### **Loss of Identity Control**

Deepfake abuse involves loss of control over one's own image and representation, which undermines personal autonomy and dignity.

### **DEEPAKES AS DIGITAL SEXUAL VIOLENCE:**

Deepfake pornography is not just a cyber offence rather it is a form of digital sexual violence.

This classification is justified because:

- It involves non-consensual sexual representation
- It violates bodily autonomy in a digital form
- It causes psychological harm similar to physical sexual abuse
- It reinforces power imbalances

Unlike traditional offences, the harm is symbolic yet deeply real, as it affects the victim's dignity and social identity.

### **CASE-BASED ILLUSTRATIONS:**

Several cases have emerged globally and in India where:

- Female actors' faces have been superimposed onto explicit videos
- Journalists have been targeted to discredit their credibility
- Influencers have been subjected to fabricated intimate content

These incidents report that deepfake misuse is not hypothetical but an ongoing and escalating issue. Even when victims publicly deny authenticity, the disgrace often persists, illustrating the inadequacy of reputational remedies.

### **SOCIAL AND CULTURAL IMPLICATIONS:**

The misuse of deepfakes reveals deeper societal concerns such as:

- Normalization of digital harassment
- Commodification of identity
- Erosion of trust in digital media
- Blurring of truth and fabrication

In a society where visual evidence is often treated as the truth, these deepfakes undermine the reliability of digital content itself.

### **PLATFORM RESPONSIBILITY AND AMPLIFICATION:**

Social media platforms play a crucial role in the spread of these deepfake content:

- Algorithmic promotion increases visibility
- Lack of proactive detection delays removal
- Cross-platform sharing accelerates dissemination

Although platforms have community guidelines, their enforcement remains inconsistent and reactive.

### **NEED FOR LEGAL RECOGNITION OF HARM:**

Current legal frameworks often fail to recognize the specific nature of deepfake harm because:

- There is no physical act
- Consent is digitally fabricated
- Identity is misappropriated through AI

Existing legal categories such as obscenity or defamation do not fully capture this complexity. Therefore, legal recognition of synthetic sexual exploitation as a distinct harm is necessary.

### **CONCLUSION:**

Misuse of deepfake technology for creating non-consensual sexual content represents a significant and evolving form of digital abuse. Public figures, particularly women, are widely targeted due to their visibility and the availability of data. This form of exploitation results in reputational damage, psychological trauma and loss of identity control. Most importantly, it challenges existing legal frameworks that are ill-equipped to address the harm arising from fabricated digital representations. Understanding deepfakes as a form of digital sexual violence is essential for developing an effective legal response. The next chapter builds on this analysis by examining the constitutional dimensions of privacy, dignity and autonomy in the Indian context.

### **REFERENCES:**

Leone, M. The Spiral of Digital Falsehood in Deepfakes. *Int J Semiot Law* 36, 385–405 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-023-09970-5>

Rahayu, Anisa & Kuswandi,. (2025). The Deepfake Phenomenon as a New Form of Cybercrime from a Criminological Perspective. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Justice*. 3. 14. 10.47134/ijlj.v3i2.5171.

Tobing, Ruben & Yuli, Yuliana. (2026). Criminal Liability for the Creation and Distribution of Deepfake Pornographic Videos on Social Media. *Eduvest - Journal of Universal Studies*. 6. 1302-1314. 10.59188/eduvest.v6i2.52738

Mahmud, B. U., & Sharmin, A. (2021). Deep insights of deepfake technology.

Westerlund, M. (2019). The Emergence of Deepfake Technology: A Review. *Technology Innovation Management Review*.

Mahmud BU and Sharmin A, 'Deep Insights of Deepfake Technology' (2021) *Journal of Data Science and Artificial Intelligence*.

**Indian Legal Context**

*Justice KS Puttaswamy v Union of India* (2017) 10 SCC 1

Information Technology Act 2000 (India)

Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules 2021

Indian Penal Code 1860 (Sections 292, 354C, 499)

