

# Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal

— The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the

- The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

### **EDITORIAL TEAM**

#### Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS ) Indian Administrative Service officer



professional diploma Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted Principal as Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has accolades as he hit earned many against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamv holds B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Cyber from Ph. D. in Law Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) with specialization IPR) in well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi-Urban one in Environmental Management and Law, another in Law Environmental and Policy and third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also post-graduate holds diploma IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and a **Public** in

## Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has successfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



# **Senior Editor**



#### Dr. Neha Mishra

Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

#### Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



# Dr. Navtika Singh

## **Nautiyal**

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.







Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

#### Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

#### E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



# TIDRILLIA DE LA CALLACTA DEL CALLACTA DE LA CALLACTA DEL CALLACTA DE LA CALLACTA

#### Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

#### ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

LEGAL

# ROLE OF JUDGES IN INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES

**AUTHORED BY - NS HARSHINI** 

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Judges play a vital role in the entire process of interpreting a statute. They are continuously involved in altering the loop holes present in the very nature, legitimacy and sense of legal codes. There may be many reasons for a decision that was taken by a judge and it is not also a necessity to give proper reasoning for those decisions. Thus interpretation of statutes can become an area for proving the perfection of judges.

The judge interprets a statute by keeping in mind the true intent of legislature and the purpose that the legislature is trying to serve so it is very important that a particular law is crafted to fit into different situations and this will make it possible to apply it in different places and in an easy manner so this can lead to lack of perfection and clarifications.

#### THEORITICAL UNDERPININGS:

The main block for judges was the growth of legal realism school which started gaining popularity. Legal theorists challenged the role of judges in penetrating art of the judiciary in interpreting a statute and wanted judges to give an elaborative view about the reasons for the decisions that they took and looked upon judges to validate the judgements more self consciously. It is more often questioned on "whatever judges have taken a decision, giving preference only to the legislatures intent to serve a true meaning to a statute.

So it had many theoritical underpinings to clear before any interpretaion was made.

# WAYS OF APPROACH BY JUDGES IN INTERPRETING A STATUTE – THEORIES OF JUSTICE

In arriving at a decision judge can be impaired with his conscious as well as subconscious influences. If this is not sufficient he can refer to different theories of justice proposed by various western thinkers. A few examples would include

- 1. Rawl s punishment theory the concept of policy of social extension contract was promoted by justice rawl and in that he points out that justice is the social institution s first goal and nature without a policy being laid down for promoting justice judges will not be able to serve the true purpose of interpreting a statute which prerequisites the actual intent of the legislature
- 2. Utilitarian justice theory- beutham promoted this theory by highlighting the importance of passing a statute. He says the primacy objective of delivering justice to all should be to pass a statute that is atmost clear and significant
- 3. Amartya Sen s theory of justice- Amartya advocated on the principle of social choice and stressed that a statute should be enacted to promote social desires and it should have its main goal as desire for justice
- 4. Gandhian theory of justice the theory lays down its emphasis on equality, justice for all, social values and reality. This theory of justice is creative idea to world jurisprudence and is based on values laid down by mahatma Gandhi

From the above theories of justice we can now draw an extract of 2 critical approaches or 2 opposing views on how judges can determine the significance of statute.

Firstly the literal approach- this concept of interpretation of statutes pushes the judges to look into the language of legislation concept in exempted cases. They should first understand the true meaning of enabling words to interpret a statute. They should clearly analyse the language of the legislation in order to be able to make a clear interpretation. But at the same time they should not go beyond the legislation in exercising its powers to interpret a clear statute to locate its true meaning more than in the Indian context this approach is prevalent in the english legal system.

Secondly the purposive approach it opposes the literal approach in saying that only understanding of

clear language of legislation can locate a true meaning of interpreting a statute it rather lays emphasis on construction of language of the law itself. It highlights that in cares requiring more importance the judges interpretive role should go beyond locating a true meaning of the language of legislature and wherever necessary it should be given the power to look beyond the words of the statute in actually finding the exact reason of its enactment.

