



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

THE ROLE OF DIGITAL CONTRACTS AND TERMS OF SERVICE IN CONSUMER PROTECTION

AUTHORED BY: PRATHIGADAPA SAI MANVITHA

Abstract

In light of accelerating digitalization, contracts and terms of service have emerged as a vital constituent of online transactions and their relationship with consumers. Digital agreements, especially those initiated by service providers, form the basis for consumer rights and responsibilities. The complexity and length of such contracts and the wide usage of 'clickwrap' agreements- by which consumers impliedly assent without thoroughly reading or even understanding the terms- constitute significant barriers to adequate consumer protection. The paper will discuss how digital contracts and terms of service protect the rights of consumers. This will be done by analyzing the pre-existing legal frameworks, the nature of such agreements, and their real-life performances. It depicts differences in bargaining power between consumers and businesses and identifies critical reforms that must be made to establish consumer protection in the online marketplace.

Keywords: Digital contracts, terms of service, consumer protection, online transactions, legal frameworks

1. Introduction

The digital economy has dramatically transformed the way businesses and consumers interact, mainly through online contracts and terms of service agreements. These digital contracts regulate interactions ranging from all e-commerce transactions to social media engagement, which become part of the consumer's daily experiences. These books and the elaborateness of these contracts raise many questions about their competence to protect consumers, who do not and cannot comprehend the terms to which they agree. This paper investigates the role of digital contracts and terms of service towards consumer protection. It will examine the existing legal system and the issues concerning rights in consumer affairs within the digital universe. In addition, it will present a case for reform to be undertaken regarding the evolving nature of consumer rights in digital transactions. It will also contend that a balanced approach to

consumer protection is desperately needed in an increasingly digital market.

2. Concept of Digital Contracts and Terms of Use

2.1 Definition and Nature of Digital Contracts

Electronically finalized agreements, sometimes even without putting the contract in paper form or using traditional signatures, comprise digital contracts. There are several types of digital agreements for the online realm¹. Some popular ones include:

Users need to agree to something by clicking on an "I agree" option to gain access to a service. This is very popular in e-commerce and in installing applications.

The terms are discovered using a hypertext link, and acceptance is imputed from continued website use. This model has been criticized because users would not know the terms unless they were specifically searching for them.

These contracts are agreed to in software licenses when a consumer opens or starts using the product- for example, in downloading or installing software.

2.2 Nature of Terms of Service (ToS)

Terms of service indicate the terms and conditions under which a consumer may use a product or service. Usually, service providers compile papers on crucial issues, such as data use, dispute resolution mechanisms, liabilities, and rights and obligations to which the two are entitled. Again, however, these agreements generally are "take it or leave it," so consumers often have limited negotiating power and become obligated under terms and conditions that are not necessarily in their best interests.

Terms of Service (ToS) agreements are essential legal documents that specify the conditions under which a consumer can utilize a product or service. Service providers draft these agreements to set clear guidelines for the consumer-business relationship, typically addressing data usage policies, dispute resolution mechanisms, liabilities, and the rights and obligations of both parties.

One significant feature of ToS agreements is their "take-it-or-leave-it" format, requiring

¹ Elena Agibalovia et la, Consumer Protection in the Digital Environment, 109 SHS Web Conf. 01002 (2012)

consumers to accept all terms as a precondition for accessing the service. This lack of flexibility limits consumers' bargaining power, binding them to terms that may not align with their best interests.

2.3 Challenges in ToS Agreements

The inherent structure of ToS agreements presents several challenges for consumers. These documents are often lengthy and filled with technical jargon, discouraging thorough reading. Critical provisions may be buried within dense text or linked externally, obscuring essential terms. Additionally, many ToS agreements allow service providers to unilaterally modify terms without adequate notice, leaving consumers vulnerable to unexpected changes.

2.4 Ethical concerns

The "take-it-or-leave-it" nature of ToS agreements raises ethical questions about fairness and accountability in digital transactions. Businesses may exploit consumers' lack of legal expertise and reliance on essential services to impose unfavorable terms. The complexity and opacity of these agreements erode trust between companies and consumers, undermining confidence in digital platforms.

2.5 Proposed Reforms

Addressing these challenges requires several reforms. These include simplifying language and enhancing transparency in ToS agreements, mandating plain language, and highlighting key provisions in concise summaries. Enhanced consumer education is also essential through public awareness campaigns and digital literacy programs. Regulatory oversight should be strengthened to scrutinize ToS agreements for fairness, with penalties for exploitative terms.

3. Consumer Protection in the Digital Age

3.1 Informed Consent

Informed consent is the bedrock of contract law, whereby any party involved must be both capable and willing to understand the agreements they accept. Unfortunately, the same cannot be said in the age of the digital era. The verbosity, length, and lawyerly language used in digital contracts make it practically impossible for the average consumer to understand what he or she has consented to. It is further an issue of "consent without knowledge," in which the consumer

gives consent without fully appreciating the terms².

Besides the legal compliance factor, another force that shapes building trust into consumer confidence in digital channels is the impact of informed consent. A consumer who does not clearly understand what a term of service contains dilutes his/her confidence in a provider. This creates a strain on business relationships between businesses and users³. Ignorance may lead to severe consequences, such as losing rights unknowingly and/or losing privacy.

3.2 Power Imbalance

There is a fundamental imbalance in the power dynamic between service providers and consumers regarding online transactions. Companies, with a whole army of lawyers to help them, draw up biased contracts to favor their needs. A consumer-facing pressure to partake in services they would otherwise love to have access to is likely to consider accepting the offered terms. This imbalance is further compounded by the fact that most users never read the ToS agreements because they are usually long, complex documents that make the agreement process seem more of a technical procedure than a proper consent process.

This power balance is a very ethical concern. A firm exploits the unawareness of consumers by creating unfavorable provisions within its terms of service. This might extend to liability caps, mandatory arbitration clauses, or limits even to the redress available to consumers. It again goes beyond what would make it more challenging to defend consumers and raises moral questions about the legitimacy of the digital business practices themselves.

4. Legal Infrastructure for Consumer Protection

4.1 Indian Legal Infrastructure

In the digital sphere, India's consumer protection law is mainly governed by the Consumer Protection Act of 2019 and the Information Technology Act of 2000.

- The 2019 Act protects consumers against unfair trade practices, misleading advertisements, and inferior goods. The proper redressal and dispute resolution mechanisms are also erected in the process. Another peculiar feature of this Act is its focus on e-commerce it has insisted that these online platforms communicate

² Anja Rosher, Justus Haucap & Ulrich Heimeshroff, The Impact of Consumer Protection in the Digital Age: Evidence from the European Union, 73 Int'l J. Indus Org. 102585 (2020).

³ Marco B. M. Loos et al., Digital Content Contracts for Consumers, 36 J. Consumer Pol'y 37 (2013).

information about their products and services.

- The Information Technology Act of 2000 legally recognizes electronic contracts and digital signatures to make online transactions hassle-free. It does have a framework of validation regarding electronic records data protection guidelines. However, the current problems need improvement.

Despite these provisions, the current legal framework may not fully address the issues raised by digital contracts and ToS. The digital platform also found vulnerable loopholes through some cross-border transactions, unclear data privacy issues, and the ambiguous nature of many digital agreements that open the door to adversely affecting consumer protection. In most cases, the measures taken for enforcement are often too weak, thereby limiting consumer remedies.

4.2 International Legal Framework

Around the globe, various jurisdictions have established rules to oversee electronic contracts and take better care of consumers.

The General Data Protection Regulation in the European Union is a practical legal tool that requires a company to provide consumers with transparent terms and transparency on how their data will be used. This directly touches on data privacy and consent issues and makes businesses more responsible for ensuring consumers know about their data-related rights.

Consumer protection in the United States is governed by a mix of federal and state statutes, as well as by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). The FTC enforces consumer protection laws insofar as that power is conferred on it by its authority to regulate unfair and deceptive practices. What the FTC monitors specifically on consumer protection happens when companies violate their promise in the ToS and do not live by the stated terms. One of the problems surrounding the U.S. consumer protection model is fragmentation- the different states have differently regulated consumer protection⁴.

International agreements and conventions, such as the United Nations Guidelines for Consumer Protection, focus on protecting consumers in the digital marketplace but hold that countries must harmonize their consumer protection laws.

⁴ Robert A. Hillman, Consumer Internet Standard Form Contracts in India: A Proposal, OSF.io (2018).

4.2 International Legal Framework

Globally, various jurisdictions have implemented regulations to oversee electronic contracts and enhance consumer protection. The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a practical legal tool, mandating that companies provide consumers with transparent terms and clear information on how their data will be used. This directly addresses data privacy and consent issues, making businesses more responsible for ensuring consumers know their data-related rights.

The GDPR ensures that companies operating within the EU or processing the data of EU residents must adhere to strict data collection, storage, and usage guidelines. These guidelines emphasize transparency, requiring companies to inform consumers about the types of data collected, the purposes for which it is used, and how it is shared with third parties. Consumers also have the right to access, rectify, and erase their data, empowering them with greater control over their information. This regulation sets a high standard for data protection and has influenced similar laws in other countries.

In the United States, consumer protection is governed by a mix of federal and state statutes and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). The FTC enforces consumer protection laws by regulating unfair and deceptive practices. Specifically, the FTC monitors whether companies violate the promises in their ToS agreements and fail to adhere to the stated terms. If a company claims to protect consumer data in a certain way but fails, the FTC can take action against them for deceptive practices.

However, a significant challenge in the U.S. consumer protection model is fragmentation, as different states have varying regulations on consumer protection. This can create inconsistencies and complexities for both businesses and consumers. For example, California's Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) provides residents more extensive data privacy rights than many other states. This patchwork approach means that the level of protection can vary depending on where a consumer resides.

International agreements and conventions, such as the United Nations Guidelines for Consumer Protection, also play a role in safeguarding consumers in the digital marketplace. These guidelines emphasize the importance of countries harmonizing consumer protection laws to ensure consistent standards and protections across borders. The UN guidelines advocate for

clear and transparent consumer rights, fair business practices, and effective dispute-resolution mechanisms. By promoting harmonization, these guidelines aim to create a more level playing field for consumers and businesses in the global digital economy.

5. Consumer Protection Issues

5.1 Clickwrap and Browwrap Agreements

The dependence of digital transactions on clickwrap and browwrap agreements is a massive challenge to consumer protection.

Even though consumers have to consent to these contracts explicitly, consumers consent without reading through the terms, a phenomenon known as "consent by default." Complexity and use of legalese in such contracts also discourage close examination of the terms. Sometimes, companies are said to draft such agreements with the specific motive of hiding some unfavorable contract clauses so that consumers ignore vital information on their rights.

Agreements: These are even worse because frequently, the consumer won't know about her obligations until some dispute arises. The terms are made inaccessible all too often through embedding in links that a user might not access; it is common for a consumer to discover that terms she has never assented to nevertheless bind her. The courts have split on browwrap agreements, and consumers sometimes were not given adequate notice about terms.

5.2 Data Privacy Issue

Consumer data protection will be the most formidable challenge for any digital contract. Provisions in service terms of service agreements provide service providers with the right to collect, store, and even distribute consumer data without recourse to the direct consumer himself. For this reason, there is a debate that protecting consumer privacy rights is impossible, especially in jurisdictions with lackluster data protection policies.

Growing cases of data breaches and misuse of personal data have made consumers more anxious about data privacy. However, assurances made in agreements that accompany Terms of Service do not silence the paranoia resulting from cases of unauthorized data sharing and data breaches. Consumers now call for greater control over their data. The case has already demanded much more stringent regulation and regulation of data handling practices, resulting in considerable amounts of transparency.

5.3 Arbitration Clauses and Waiver of Rights

Many electronic contracts include arbitration clauses requiring that consumers take disputes into arbitration rather than to court. Although arbitration proves cheaper and quicker than a court procedure in most cases, it often favors business more than consumer. In such a tendency by such clauses, there might be a risk of consumer rights in accessing judicial recourse or pursuing class actions becoming severely curtailed.

It would mute consumer complaints, especially when they are not worth filing an individual lawsuit against due to frivolity. This would also introduce ethical considerations regarding who may or may not gain access to the courts, burdening disadvantaged consumers with more significant challenges in enforcing their rights.

6. Proposed Reforms to Beef up Consumer Protection

6.1 Simplification of Terms

Making the language used in digital contracts and ToS agreements more transparent for consumers effectively builds their protection. Concepts should be well-defined with clear, concise, easy-to-understand language so consumers can understand their rights and obligations. Governments or regulatory bodies may also facilitate plain language in a digital agreement to ensure consumers can make an informed decision.

This practice should also be promoted so that businesses can give clear summaries of the main points of their agreements. Implementing simpler interfaces that lead consumers through terms and conditions may ensure a more robust understanding and consent.

6.2 Reinforcing Oversight Functions

There is an urgent need to become much more stringent regulatory authorities concerning digital contracts. Terms must be fair, non-exploitative, and not against consumers. The Government of India needs to strengthen the Consumer Protection Authority further to scrutinize digital contracts falling within its ambit and the necessary penalties that can be inflicted in case of unfair terms.

International cooperation in oversight of the regulations must be implemented to deal with problems that emerge from cross-border transactions. Thus, it requires harmonization between the countries so that uniform standards are featured in digital contracts and consumer welfare

to safeguard the consumers irrespective of the service provider's address⁵.

6.3 Enhancement of Consumer Information and Education

Increasing consumer education is vital for ensuring individuals know their rights and the implications of digital contracts. Public awareness campaigns and various educational initiatives can effectively inform consumers about their rights and the complexities of digital transactions. These efforts empower consumers to demand transparency and fairness in digital contracts, shifting power and authority into their hands.

By educating consumers, they become better equipped to navigate the often complex landscape of digital agreements, enabling them to make informed decisions. This includes understanding the key provisions within these contracts, such as data usage policies, dispute resolution mechanisms, and liability limitations. Empowered consumers are more likely to recognize and challenge unfair or exploitative terms, fostering a more equitable digital marketplace.

Enhancing consumer information and education is a crucial step towards creating a balanced digital environment where consumers can confidently engage with businesses, fully aware of their rights and expectations. This approach promotes transparency and trust, benefiting consumers and businesses in the long run.

6.4 Data Privacy

Strict data protection law protects the digital age of consumer privacy. The regulated allowance of bodies should not be left without well-defined rules on collecting, storing, and distributing data. It would give consumers better control over their private information. Companies must obtain explicit consent to collect sensitive data, and consumers must be aware of their data usage.

Hence, contracts and terms of service are of the utmost importance in building consumer experience here. Though equally critical, the complex nature and inbuilt bias may prove against consumer protection. The legal frameworks in which these agreements are couched must, therefore, change to overcome the adversities thrown at the barriers of the old forms of

⁵ Alnoor Peermohamed and Karam Choudhury, India Lacks Law to Protect Customers of Digital Transactions: Experts, Bengaluru/New Delhi, 2016. Business Standard.

commerce by the challenges of digital transactions, giving way to information, empowerment, and protection of consumers.

That balance in the digital marketplace will be figured out by promoting reforms clarifying the contract, reinforcing oversight, raising consumer consciousness, and improving data protection. Such would encourage a fair and open digital environment because consumers would treat businesses fairly and equally, and both would be equally aware of their rights and expectations.

7. Conclusion

Two factors lead to the experience of consumers in the online marketplace: contracts and terms of service in digital. Contracts and terms of service offer essential functions, but the abstractions themselves and the very prejudices in which those constructions exist can form a shield to that consumer experience. The legal background of digital transactions has to be changed to counter the problems these transactions create. Through such changes, consumers can be fully aware of their rights, and they are also protected.

One of the main steps that need to be taken to reach a balanced digital marketplace is acquiring the reformation of the contracts, promoting a better way of supervision, raising consumer awareness, and securing data privacy in the electronic world. It will thus help the configuration of a more just and transparent digital environment where customers can deal with businesses confidently and be aware of their rights.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL