

WHITE BLACK LEGAL LAW JOURNAL ISSN: 2581-8503

ANTA + CANY

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

E

E C V

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service



and a professional Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and currently posted Principal is as Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhione in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and а third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru diploma Public in

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor



Dr. Neha Mishra

Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.





Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.





Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

BAN ON ANIMAL TESTING: A STEP TOWARDS EQUALITY FOR ALL

AUTHORED BY - ADITI SINGH

"Life is life - whether in a cat, or dog or man. There is no difference there between a cat or aman. The idea of difference is a human conception for man's own advantage."¹ - Sri Aurobindo

Animals have rights as living beings, and these rights are violated when exploited for experimentation. In 2015, at least 192.1 million animals were exploited for scientific research throughout the world. The above figure includes almost 80 million animal experiments and more animals slaughtered for their tissues to produce genetically modified animal strains.²They are compelled to consume chemicals, which can result in long-term harm or even death. They are being abused, as examinations are carried out without their consent. It is quite unjustsince their fundamental rights are being infringed. These cruel experiments determine the impact of a product, millions of animals are killed or affected in some manner.

An attempt is made in this paper to investigate the history of animal testing and a few countries statuses on outlawed cosmetic experimentation on animals. The purpose of this research paperis to look at a few nations that have started or attempted to prohibit animal testing for cosmetics and look at the alternatives that should be used in place of animal testing. This study will alsolook at the animal rights and statutes that exist in India. The question as to, Why is it vital to be aware of animal experimentation and its long-term consequences? It concerns not just animal life but also the fundamental human matter of rights for all living creatures. Our responsibility is to protect animals from undue suffering and damage since they are living beings and have rights.

Animals have long been exploited in biomedical research as experimental subjects due to their physiological resemblance to humans. Mice, rabbits, snakes, monkeys, hamsters, guinea pigs,

¹ 'Sri Aurobindo Quotes' (*BrainyQuote*) <https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/sri_aurobindo_170701>accessed 22 November 2021.

² 'Facts And Figures On Animal Testing | Cruelty Free International' (*Crueltyfreeinternational.org*)

https://www.crueltyfreeinternational.org/why-we-do-it/facts-and-figures-animal-testing> accessed 22 November 2021.

frogs and other animals are subjected to breathing and swallowing various chemicals and products applied on their skin to check if they are harmless for human consumption. The demand for cosmetic items has increased dramatically. Concealers and highlighters were rarely utilised by individuals a decade ago. New cosmetic items are constantly being produced to meet the demands. Due to the apparent rising demand for cosmetics and the necessity for corporations to create new and better goods, animal testing for these items has intensified. Many studies have demonstrated considerable stress reactions in animals undergoing regular laboratory procedures, in addition to the physical discomfort they face. Imprisoning, confinement, handling, and blood sampling can cause stress reactions in animals. This not onlyjeopardises scientific findings but also visually depicts the stress that animals face in experiments.

Animal welfare groups, researchers, environmental activists, animal enthusiasts, and even the public have become more concerned about animals in recent years. Many nations have established legislation prohibiting animals as research samples to prevent them from undue suffering and injury. In order to protect them from unnecessary pain and injuries, many countries have passed laws.

Animal testing of cosmetics or any of its components is prohibited across the European Union.It was the first to prohibit animals testing for cosmetics. The first prohibition on the sale of final products was enacted in 2014, followed by a ban on items that had been tested on animalsin 2008.³ The European Union banned the sale and export of cosmetics tested on animals in March 2013. This implies that it is illegal to sell or promote a cosmetic product and if the completed item has been tested on animals.⁴ The EU is a vast market for cosmetics firms worldwide. This regulation has prompted corporations to explore alternatives to animal testingmethods in areas like China, Israel, and India.⁵

In the U.K., prohibition on animal testing for manufactured cosmetic products aimed primarilyat "vanity" products was first implemented in 1998.⁶ At the same time, the UK was the first to prohibit animal testing in cosmetics, EU Regulation 1223/2009, which now includes the

³ Sreedhar D. and Manjula N., 'Ban Of Cosmetic Testing On Animals: A Brief Overview' (2020) 12International Journal of Current Research and Review.

⁴ Bushra Tungekar, 'Indian Laws Against Cosmetic Testing On Animals - Ipleaders' (*iPleaders*, 2021)

<https://blog.ipleaders.in/indian-laws-against-cosmetic-testing-on-animals/> accessed 21 November 2021. ⁵ Sreedhar D. and Manjula N., 'Ban Of Cosmetic Testing On Animals: A Brief Overview' (2020) 12 International Journal of Current Research and Review.

⁶ Bushra Tungekar, 'Indian Laws Against Cosmetic Testing On Animals - Ipleaders' (*iPleaders*, 2021)

https://blog.ipleaders.in/indian-laws-against-cosmetic-testing-on-animals/> accessed 21 November 2021.

Cosmetics Regulation.⁷ Cosmetics supplied in the EU must be considered safe. It is the producer's responsibility to ensure that they are adequately tested to assure that they are not hazardous for humans.

Before the prohibition on animal-tested cosmetics was implemented, safety evaluations incorporating animal research to establish toxicological endpoints were done. The effects of the cosmetic and its constituents on human health were investigated in this study, which largelyutilised rats and rabbits. If a safety evaluation for a component in a new cosmetic product previously existed, animal research for that ingredient would not be needed in the future. Likethe European Union, Israel was also one of the first to take action for this cause. They even went forward and introduced a law in 2010, which banned imported products from countries that practised animal testing on those products.⁸

"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated."⁹ - Mahatma Gandhi

In India, there are various laws passed in relation to cosmetic testing on animals. Some of themare the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 [Section 3 and 11(1)], Wildlife (Protection)Act, 1972 [Section 50 and 51] and the Cosmetics Rules, 2020. People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) have also planned a vital role in protecting animal rights.

In 2013, the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) removed animal testing for cosmetics. The Ministry of Health & Family Welfare published the cosmetic testing ban, as rule "148-C. prohibition of testing of cosmetics on animals – No person shall use any animal for testing of cosmetics" to the existing Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945.¹⁰ The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare released its new Cosmetics Rules, 2020. It provided a separate and updated regulatory framework for testing, manufacturing, stocking, selling, and trying to importcosmetics in India after receiving recommendations from PETA India.¹¹ The rules include provisions to ensure ban on the import and export of such cosmetics are strictly regulated.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ 'A Quote By Mahatma Gandhi' (*Goodreads.com*) <https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/340-the-greatness-of-a-nation-and-its-moral-progress-can> accessed 23 November 2021.

¹⁰ 'Cosmetic Testing Banned On Animals' (*PETA India*, 2014) <https://www.petaindia.com/blog/cosmetics-testing-banned-on-animals/> accessed 22 November 2021.

¹¹ 'PETA India Applauds New Cosmetics Rules For Strengthening Import Ban On Animal-Tested Cosmetics - Blog - PETA India' (*PETA India*, 2020) https://www.petaindia.com/blog/peta-india-applauds-new-cosmetics-rules-for-strengthening-import-ban-on-animal-tested-cosmetics/ accessed 22 November 2021.

Alternatives to animal testing have been developed to address many animal studies' problems, avoiding unethical techniques. A three-R method which means reduction, refinement, and replacement of animal usage in laboratories, is being used.¹² This technique isimplemented using a variety of methodologies and species. The idea of replacing animals wasinitially proposed in 1957 by Hume and Russell.¹³ Russell and Burch proposed three approaches to make animal-related laboratory studies more humane, which became the three Rs.¹⁴ This strategy encouraged using the minimum number of animals, i.e. a "reduction" in the number employed in an experiment.¹⁵ Animals use must be meticulously planned, basically 'refined' so that agony and misery caused by the experiment are minimised.

Cell lines derived from animals and human tissue are frequently employed and grown further in a laboratory. This procedure is known as "in vitro methods" since they are performed outsidethe organism (the test tube).¹⁶ They are essential and frequently utilised, especially to understand cellular processes or the influence of drugs on cell metabolism.¹⁷ Research within vitro systems has seen numerous methodological development in recent decades. They havehelped to limit the usage of animal experiments, particularly in drug testing and the creation of pharmacological products.

Another alternative for eliminating experiments on animals is called "body on a chip," which is derived from regenerative medicine.¹⁸ This technology evolved from tissue engineering or bioprinting, in which human replacement organs are generated from human tissue and printed using a 3D printer.¹⁹ These microorganisms are housed on a microchip and are supported by a computerised maintenance system—sensors on the microchip monitor and record changes in parameters such as organ temperature and oxygen content.²⁰ The "body on a chip" technique assesses biological and chemical substances' toxicity or pharmacological characteristics.

¹² Ranganatha N. and Kuppast I.J., 'A Review On Alternatives To Animal Testing Methods In DrugDevelopment' (2012) 4 International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280765029_A_review_on_alternatives_to_animal_testing_methods_in_drug_development> accessed 22 November 2021.

¹³ Michael Balls, 'Replacement Of Animal Procedures: Alternatives In Research, Education And Testing' (1994)28 Laboratory Animals.

¹⁴ Rex L. Burch, 'The Progress Of Humane Experimental Technique Since 1959: A Personal View' (2009) 37 Alternatives to Laboratory Animals.

¹⁵ Ibid.

 ¹⁶ Cornelia Exner, Animal Experiments In Research (Lemmens Medien GmbH Matthias-Grünewald Str).
 ¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸Cornelia Exner, Animal Experiments In Research (Lemmens Medien GmbH Matthias-Grünewald Str).
¹⁹ Ibid.

"In silico procedures" (performed on a computer) are also becoming more popular as an alternative. These computer-controlled analysis and simulation methods, among otherthings, are used to assess danger while exploring drug tolerance or conceptually modelling lifeprocesses.²¹ As a result, experimental data in neurobiology is increasingly being integrated into computer models to predict central nervous system processes. Animal experimentation instructional films are used to educate students to work with real animals and promote an ethical approach.

According to PETA's scientific advisor, Mr. Chaitanya Koduri, many alternative tests are present that can produce considerably more reliable outcomes for different goods than animaltesting.²² Various organisations have recommended alternative methods. The usage of currentalternative testing evolved into invasive animal experiments.

Crude skin allergy tests human responses only 72% and 82% of the time, on guinea pigs and mice predict respectively. A method which is combination of chemical and cell-based alternative methodologies, and has been found to precisely anticipate human reactions more than 90% of the time.²³ The Draize skin irritation test in rabbits accurately predict human skinresponses 60% of the time only. However, regenerated human skin can be up to 86% accurate.²⁴The conventional test on pregnant rats to determine if chemicals are harmful to infants can onlydetect 60% of toxics.²⁵ However, a cell-based test detects very dangerous substances with 100% accuracy.

The expensive and two-year long time-consuming rat research to determine if a drug is carcinogenic to people predicts cancer 42% of the time only.²⁶ A cell-based test can expect 90% of human carcinogens.²⁷ So after looking at the alternative methods present, one can conclude that these alternatives save animal lives and provide more effective outcomes. So allthe countries but protect both humans and animals by using the other options. This will not only protect lives but, in the long run, save the environment as well.

²⁰ Ibid.

²¹ Cornelia Exner, Animal Experiments In Research (Lemmens Medien GmbH Matthias-Grünewald Str).

²² Shailja Singh, 'Cosmetic Testing On Animals In India - Indian Law Portal' (*Indian Law Portal*, 2021) https://indianlawportal.co.in/cosmetic-testing-on-animals-in-india/ accessed 22 November 2021.

²³ 'Alternatives To Animal Testing | Cruelty Free International' (*Crueltyfreeinternational.org*)

https://www.crueltyfreeinternational.org/why-we-do-it/alternatives-animal-testing> accessed 22 November2021. ²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ Ibid.

Bibliography:

'Sri Aurobindo Quotes' (BrainyQuote)
<https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/sri_aurobindo_170701> accessed 22 November 2021.
'Facts And Figures On Animal Testing | Cruelty Free International' (Crueltyfreeinternational.org)
<https://www.crueltyfreeinternational.org/why-we-do-it/facts-and-figures-animal-testing>_accessed
22

November 2021.

Sreedhar D. and Manjula N., 'Ban Of Cosmetic Testing On Animals: A Brief Overview' (2020) 12 International Journal of Current Research and Review.

Bushra Tungekar, 'Indian Laws Against Cosmetic Testing On Animals - Ipleaders' (iPleaders, 2021) https://blog.ipleaders.in/indian-laws-against-cosmetic-testing-on-animals/> accessed 21 November 2021.

'A Quote By Mahatma Gandhi' (Goodreads.com) https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/340-the-greatness-of-a-nation-and-its-moral-progress-can accessed 23 November 2021.

'Cosmetic Testing Banned On Animals' (PETA India, 2014) <https://www.petaindia.com/blog/cosmetics- testing-banned-on-animals/> accessed 22 November 2021.

'PETA India Applauds New Cosmetics Rules For Strengthening Import Ban On Animal-Tested Cosmetics - Blog - PETA India' (PETA India, 2020) https://www.petaindia.com/blog/peta-india-applauds-new-cosmetics- rules-for-strengthening-import-ban-on-animal-tested-cosmetics/> accessed 22 November 2021.

Ranganatha N. and Kuppast I.J., 'A Review On Alternatives To Animal Testing Methods In Drug Development' (2012) 4 International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280765029 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280765029 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280765029 https://www.nesearchgate.net/publication/280765029 https://www.ne

Michael Balls, 'Replacement Of Animal Procedures: Alternatives In Research, Education And Testing' (1994) 28 Laboratory Animals.

Rex L. Burch, 'The Progress Of Humane Experimental Technique Since 1959: A Personal View' (2009) 37 Alternatives to Laboratory Animals.

Cornelia Exner, Animal Experiments In Research (Lemmens Medien GmbH Matthias-Grünewald Str). Shailja Singh, 'Cosmetic Testing On Animals In India - Indian Law Portal' (Indian Law Portal, 2021) <https://indianlawportal.co.in/cosmetic-testing-on-animals-in-india/> accessed 22 November 2021. 'Alternatives To Animal Testing | Cruelty Free International' (Crueltyfreeinternational.org) <https://www.crueltyfreeinternational.org/why-we-do-it/alternatives-animal-testing>__accessed__22 November

<https://www.crueltyfreeinternational.org/why-we-do-it/alternatives-animal-testing> accessed 22 November2021. ²⁷ Ibid.



²⁶ 'Alternatives To Animal Testing | Cruelty Free International' (*Crueltyfreeinternational.org*)