

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper and a black leather watch with a silver face are also visible. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular box is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

LIFE INSURANCE AND ITS PROTECTION OF POLICY HOLDERS – A LEGAL ANALYSIS ON MISS- SELLING OF INSURANCE

AUTHORED BY - HARIPRIYA.B

Law Student Final Year B.com., L.L.B.,(hons)

Vels University Pallavaram, Chennai

CO-AUTHOR - MRS.R.SRIVINITHRA

(Assistant professor)

School of law (VISTAS)

ABSTRACT

Life insurance plays a vital role in providing financial security and stability to individuals and their families by offering protection against life-related uncertainties. In India, where financial literacy is uneven and dependence on intermediaries is high, life insurance serves not only as a contractual arrangement but also as a significant social welfare mechanism. However, the effectiveness of this protective function is often undermined by the growing problem of miss-selling, which involves the sale of insurance products through misleading representations, incomplete disclosure, or unsuitable advice.

This study examines the issue of miss-selling in the life insurance sector and its impact on policyholder's protection. It explores how the imbalance of information between insurers and consumers creates vulnerability, leading to situations where policyholders purchase products that do not align with their needs or expectations. The research highlights how such practices affect informed consent and weaken the principle of utmost good faith, which forms the foundation of insurance contracts.

The study further analyses the legal and regulatory framework governing life insurance in India, including the role of the **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India** in ensuring transparency, fairness, and accountability. It also evaluates the effectiveness of existing safeguards such as disclosure norms, policyholder protection regulations, and grievance redressal mechanisms in addressing miss-selling practices.

In addition, the research considers the broader implications of miss-selling on consumer trust and the overall credibility of the insurance sector. It emphasizes that while regulatory measures

exist, gaps remain in enforcement, awareness, and practical implementation. The study also takes into account emerging challenges such as digital insurance distribution, which introduces new forms of miss-selling and requires updated regulatory responses.

Overall, the research aims to provide a critical understanding of the relationship between insurance practices and policyholder protection, highlighting the need for stronger legal safeguards, improved transparency, and greater consumer awareness. It underscores the importance of ensuring that life insurance fulfills its intended purpose of providing genuine financial security, rather than becoming a source of confusion or financial loss for policyholders.

INTRODUCTION

Life insurance is one of the most important tools of financial security, as it provides protection to a family in case of death or unforeseen events affecting the insured. It is not just a commercial agreement but a social safety measure that helps families manage financial risks, debts, and future uncertainties. In a country like India, where financial awareness is still developing, life insurance plays a crucial role in ensuring economic stability and long-term security for households.

However, life insurance involves a clear imbalance of information between insurers and policyholders. Insurance companies and agents understand the product in detail, while customers often depend on verbal explanations and marketing assurances. This creates a situation where consumers may not fully understand the terms of the policy they are purchasing, making them vulnerable to confusion or unfair practices.

One of the major concerns in this area is miss-selling of insurance policies, where products are sold through misleading information, incomplete disclosure, or unsuitable recommendations. In many cases, policies are presented in a way that does not reflect their actual benefits, costs, or limitations, leading policyholders to make decisions that may not suit their financial needs. Such practices directly affect the principle of informed consent, which is essential in insurance contracts based on utmost good faith. When important details are hidden or misrepresented, the trust between insurer and policyholder is weakened, often resulting in financial loss and dissatisfaction for the customer.

This research therefore focuses on understanding how life insurance is regulated, how miss-selling occurs, and how effectively the legal system protects policyholders. It also examines the role of regulators, insurers, and grievance redressal mechanisms in ensuring fair treatment

of consumers.

Overall, the topic is important not only from a legal perspective but also from a social viewpoint, as insurance is meant to provide security and confidence to ordinary individual. Ensuring transparency and fairness in its sale and its essential to maintain trust in the insurance system and to protect policyholders from exploitation.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This study is about the life insurance in India and how well the policyholders are protected when they buy insurance policies. It mainly focuses on the problem of miss-selling-where insurance policies are sold with wrong or unclear information, or when customers are not properly guided.

This study looks at the laws and rules that control insurance in India, such as The Insurance Act, 1938¹ and The Consumer Protection Act, 2019². It constitutes the role of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India³ (IRDAI) in protecting customers and regulating the insurance companies.

This further includes how complaints are handled through systems like the Insurance Ombudsman and consumer courts. The study also looks at how insurance is sold through agents, banks, and online platforms, and where mistakes or miss-selling can happen.

Overall, this research is limited to understanding life insurance in India, the problems faced by policyholders, and whether the current laws and systems are strong enough to protect the policy holders are not.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To analyze how IRDAI regulations like the Insurance Act 1938, Section 45, and IRDAI (protection of policyholder's interests) Regulations, 2017 safeguard policyholders from miss-selling practices.
2. To evaluate common miss-selling practices, such as false promises and unsuitable products, through real-world cases in India's life insurance sector.
3. To assess the effectiveness of remedies like cooling-off periods, Ombudsman redressal, and claim protections in addressing miss-selling harms.
4. To recommend reforms, including 2024 IRDAI updates and digital transparency, for

¹ The Insurance Act, 1938

² The Consumer Protection Act, 2019

³ Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India

stronger deterrents and equitable policyholder protection.

RESEARCH GAPS

Although there is an extensive literature and regulatory frameworks on insurance regulation in India, an apparent research gap still remains regarding the effectiveness of the above-mentioned issues to address the problem of life insurance miss-selling

Most of the available literature deals with the description of legal provisions of the Insurance Act of 1938, the Consumer Protection Act of 2019, and Regulations from the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India. At the same time, the analysis of the effectiveness of such laws are insufficient for tackling miss-selling on the practical level.

Moreover, although a great number of sources deal with the topic of consumer protection. In general, the literature does not provide enough information regarding life insurance miss-selling and how it is related to agents' behavior, online insurance sales, and miss leading practices. In addition, intermediary impact on customers is not discussed.

It should also be noted that although various measures used to regulate the market, including the free-look period, complaint redressal system, and Insurance Ombudsman scheme, are quite extensively described, their actual success rate is poorly analyzed in scholarly works.

Moreover, updated empirical studies based on the statistics provided by IRDAI in 2018-2025 are lacking.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing literature on life insurance miss-selling and policyholder protection in India is drawn from a combination of statutory frameworks, judicial decisions, regulatory materials, and scholarly writings. The primary legal foundation is provided by the Insurance Act 1938, the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India Act 1999, and the Consumer Protection Act 2019, which collectively establish the regulatory and remedial structure governing insurance practices in India. The IRDAI (Protection of Policyholders' Interests) Regulations 2017 further strengthen consumer safeguards by mandating transparency, fair disclosure, and ethical conduct in insurance transactions.

Judicial decisions such as LIC of India v Consumer Education and Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482⁴ and Modern Insulators Ltd v Oriental Insurance Co Ltd (2000) 2 SCC 734⁵ have

⁴ LIC of India v Consumer Education and Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482

⁵ Modern Insulators Ltd v Oriental Insurance Co Ltd (2000) 2 SCC 734

played a crucial role in expanding the scope of consumer protection in insurance contracts. Similarly, *National Insurance Co Ltd v Harsolia Motors* (2007) 3 CPJ 27⁶ (NCDRC) clarified the applicability of consumer protection principles to insurance services, reinforcing the rights of policyholders.

Scholarly works, particularly Avtar Singh's *Law of Insurance*⁷ and M N Srinivasan's *Principles of Insurance Law*⁸, provide detailed insights into the principles governing insurance contracts, duties of disclosure, and regulatory mechanisms. These works critically analyse the challenges within the insurance sector, including miss-selling practices and gaps in enforcement.

Further, IRDAI's annual reports, discussion papers, and circulars highlight practical issues such as increasing policyholder grievances, miss-selling complaints, and regulatory responses. Secondary materials such as journal articles, legal databases (SCC Online⁹, Manupatra¹⁰, Indian Kanoon¹¹), and newspapers like *The Economic Times*, *Business Standard*, and *The Financial Express* provide contemporary perspectives and real-world instances of miss-selling. Overall, the literature indicates that while India has a comprehensive legal and regulatory framework, miss-selling persists due to enforcement gaps, incentive-driven sales practices, and lack of consumer awareness, thereby necessitating stronger policyholder protection measures.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING LIFE INSURANCE IN INDIA

1. The Insurance Act of 1938

The Insurance Act, 1938¹² is the primary legislation governing the regulation and functioning of the insurance business in India. It was enacted to consolidate and amend the law relating to insurance, ensuring proper control over insurers and protection of policyholders' interests.

The Act lays down provisions relating to registration of insurance companies, regulation of premiums, investment of funds, solvency requirements, and powers of regulatory authorities. It also defines key concepts such as insurance business and insurance policy under Sections 2(11) and 2(13) of The Insurance Act of 1938.¹³

Over time, the Act has been amended to strengthen consumer protection and improve regulatory oversight. A significant amendment was made in 2015, which enhanced

⁶ *Insurance Co Ltd v Harsolia Motors* (2007) 3 CPJ 27

⁷ Avtar Singh's *Law of Insurance*

⁸ M N Srinivasan's *Principles of Insurance Law*

⁹ SCC Online

¹⁰ Manupatra

¹¹ Kanoon

¹² The Insurance Act, 1938

¹³ Sections 2(11) and 2(13) of The Insurance Act of 1938.

transparency and introduced stricter norms for insurers. Section 45¹⁴ of the Act, in particular, provides that life insurance policies cannot be questioned after three years on grounds of misstatement, thereby offering greater security to policyholders.

The Act now operates alongside the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) Act, 1999¹⁵, under which the IRDAI regulates and supervises the insurance sector.

2. The IRDAI Act of 1999

The Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India Act, 1999 was enacted to establish the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) as the statutory body to regulate and develop the insurance industry in India.

The Act aims to ensure the orderly growth of the insurance sector, protect the interests of policyholders, and promote fair practices in insurance business. It empowers IRDAI to supervise insurers, issue licenses, regulate intermediaries (agents, brokers), and ensure compliance with legal and ethical standards.

IRDAI is also responsible for framing regulations, monitoring solvency margins, controlling unfair trade practices such as miss-selling, and ensuring transparency in insurance contracts.

Overall, the Act plays a crucial role in strengthening consumer protection and maintaining stability in the insurance sector in India.

3. The Consumer Protection Act of 2019

The Consumer Protection Act of 2019¹⁶ gives people who buy insurance a way to legally fight against trade practices and bad service. Insurance is considered a "service" under this law so buyers can go to consumer courts if they have problems with miss-selling, false advertising or if their claims are rejected unfairly. The law makes sure that buyers can get help quickly easily and without spending much money through local, state and national consumer courts. This strengthens the rights of consumers in the insurance business.

4. The Insurance Ombudsman Scheme

The Insurance Ombudsman Scheme¹⁷ is a way to resolve insurance disputes quickly and informally. It lets people who buy insurance file complaints about claims that were rejected, delayed or about miss-selling without having to spend a lot of money or go through legal

¹⁴ Section 45

¹⁵ alongside the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) Act, 1999

¹⁶ The Consumer Protection Act of 2019

¹⁷ The Insurance Ombudsman Scheme

processes. The Ombudsman is independent. Makes fair decisions sometimes giving compensation when it is deserved. This system makes it easier for people to get justice and helps build trust, between insurance companies and their customers the people who buy life insurance.

CASE STUDY

The development of insurance law in India especially when it comes to protecting policyholders and dealing with miss-selling has been greatly influenced by what the courts have decided.

The courts have always stressed the importance of being completely honest making sure all information is clearly disclosed and protecting consumers, which has made insurance companies more accountable as per the regulating Laws.

Some important court decisions that are made important precedents are:

1. LIC of India v. Consumer Education & Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482¹⁸

The Supreme Court said that insurance is not about buying and selling, it is also about helping people. They told Life Insurance Corporation of India to be fair and honest with policyholders and protect them from things. This made things better for people who buy insurance.

2. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. V. Harchand Rai Chandan Lal (2004) 8 SCC 644¹⁹

The Court said that insurance companies have to follow the rules of the contract. They must tell people everything that's important about the insurance. If they do not tell the truth it can cause problems when someone makes a claim.

3. National Insurance Co. Ltd. V. Seema Malhotra (2001) 3 SCC 151²⁰

The Supreme Court reminded everyone that insurance companies and people who buy insurance must always tell the truth. This is very important when it comes to miss-selling of insurance.

4. LIC of India v. Asha Goel (2001) 2 SCC 160²¹

The Court said that the insurance companies should not reject claims because of small technical mistakes if the person who bought the insurance was honest. Insurance companies should protect the policyholders.

¹⁸ LIC of India v. Consumer Education & Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482

¹⁹ United India Insurance Co. Ltd. V. Harchand Rai Chandan Lal (2004) 8 SCC 644

²⁰ National Insurance Co. Ltd. V. Seema Malhotra (2001) 3 SCC 151

²¹ LIC of India v. Asha Goel (2001) 2 SCC 160

5. Modern Insulators Ltd. V. Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. (2000) 2 SCC 734²²

The Court decided that if the insurance terms are not clearly explained, they should be explained in a way that helps the person who bought the insurance. This makes insurance more fair for the consumers.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses a doctrinal and analytical research design. It looks at existing laws, court decisions and regulations about life insurance miss-selling and policyholder protection in India. The research is qualitative focusing on principles and their practical effectiveness.

The study uses sources like statutes, regulations, case laws, reports, books and journals. These include the Insurance Act, 1938²³, IRDAI Act, 1999²⁴ and Consumer Protection Act, 2019²⁵. Data is collected through legal research methods.

The analysis uses an approach to evaluate the effectiveness of laws. It identifies gaps in regulation and enforcement related to miss-selling practices. The study only uses data and does not involve field research or interviews.

RECOMENDATIONS

1. Strengthening Regulatory Enforcement

Regulatory authorities should ensure stricter implementation of laws and impose stronger penalties on insurers and intermediaries involved in miss-selling practices.

2. Improved Disclosure Norms

Insurance companies must provide clear, simple, and transparent information regarding policy terms, risks, charges, and benefits to avoid misleading consumers.

3. Regulation of Sales Incentives

Commission-based incentive structures should be monitored and reformed to reduce pressure on agents to sell unsuitable products.

4. Enhancing Consumer Awareness

Awareness programs should be conducted to educate policyholders about their rights, policy features, and grievance redressal mechanisms.

²² Modern Insulators Ltd. V. Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. (2000) 2 SCC 734

²³ Insurance Act, 1938

²⁴ IRDAI Act, 1999

²⁵ Consumer Protection Act, 2019

5. Strengthening Grievance Redressal Mechanism

The complaint resolution process should be made faster, more accessible, and efficient to ensure timely justice for policyholders.

6. Use of Technology and Digital Monitoring

Regulators should adopt digital tools to track sales practices, monitor complaints, and detect patterns of miss-selling.

7. Training and Accountability of Intermediaries

Insurance agents and brokers should be given proper training, and strict accountability measures should be enforced for unethical conduct.

CONCLUSION

The research concludes that life insurance miss-selling continues to be a significant challenge in India, adversely affecting policyholder interests and trust in the insurance sector. Miss-selling practices, driven by inadequate disclosure, aggressive sales techniques, and commission-based incentives, often result in consumers purchasing unsuitable insurance products.

Although the legal framework comprising the Insurance Act, 1938²⁶, the IRDAI Act, 1999²⁷, the IRDAI (Protection of Policyholders' Interests) Regulations, 2017, and the Consumer Protection Act, 2019²⁸ provides substantial safeguards, its effectiveness is limited by gaps in enforcement, lack of awareness among policyholders, and weak monitoring mechanisms.

Therefore, there is a need for stricter regulatory enforcement, improved transparency in sales practices, and enhanced consumer awareness to ensure meaningful policyholder protection. Strengthening these aspects will help in reducing miss-selling and promoting fairness, accountability, and trust in the insurance industry.

REFERENCES

A. Primary Sources

Legislation

- Insurance Act 1938
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India Act 1999²⁹

²⁶ Insurance Act, 1938

²⁷ the IRDAI Act, 1999

²⁸ Consumer Protection Act, 2019

²⁹ Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India Act 1999

- Consumer Protection Act 2019

Regulations

- IRDAI (Protection of Policyholders' Interests) Regulations 2017

Cases

- LIC of India v Consumer Education and Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482³⁰
- Modern Insulators Ltd v Oriental Insurance Co Ltd (2000) 2 SCC 734³¹
- National Insurance Co Ltd v Harsolia Motors (2007) 3 CPJ 27 (NCDRC)³²

Official Publications

- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Annual Report (latest available)
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Circulars and Guidelines on Policyholder Protection

B. Secondary Sources

Books

- Avtar Singh, Law of Insurance³³ (Eastern Book Company, latest edn)
- M N Srinivasan, Principles of Insurance Law³⁴ (LexisNexis, latest edn)

Journal Articles

Articles on insurance miss-selling and consumer protection in Indian law journals³⁵

Reports

- Law Commission of India, Reports on Insurance Law and Consumer Protection
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Discussion Papers on Policyholder Protection

Online Database

- SCC Online <https://www.scconline.com>³⁶
- Manupatra <https://www.manupatra.com>
- Indian Kanoon <https://indiankanoon.org>³⁷

³⁰ LIC of India v Consumer Education and Research Centre (1995) 5 SCC 482

³¹ Modern Insulators Ltd v Oriental Insurance Co Ltd (2000) 2 SCC 734

³² National Insurance Co Ltd v Harsolia Motors (2007) 3 CPJ 27 (NCDRC)

³³ Avtar Singh, Law of Insurance

³⁴ M N Srinivasan, Principles of Insurance Law

³⁵ Articles on insurance miss-selling and consumer protection in Indian law journals

³⁶ SCC Online <https://www.scconline.com>

³⁷ Indian Kanoon <https://indiankanoon.org>

Newspapers

- The Economic Times³⁸
- Business Standard
- The Financial Express³⁹



³⁸ The Economic Times

³⁹ The Financial Express