



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal

– The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and a

professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

in Public

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur,
M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

SECTION 61 OF BHARTIYA NYAYA SANHITA 2023, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY & IT'S ELEMENTS

AUTHORED BY - POOJA R. DUBEY,
PRIYA G. SINGHA & PRIYA A. TIWARI

Abstract: -

Section 61 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 likely builds upon the foundation laid by IPC sections 120A and 120B, which deal with criminal conspiracy. Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 expands the definition of criminal conspiracy by encompassing agreements for unlawful conduct or activities executed through unlawful methods.

This amendment aims to address modern complexities and evolving criminal tactics, ensuring that legal provision effectively combat various forms of conspiratorial behavior.

The research raises valid concerns regarding the potential difficulties stemming from differing court interpretations and the subjective evolution of a “common object” under section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita,2023.

These challenges underscore the importance of ongoing review and flexibility in implementing this critical legislation reform. By addressing and acknowledging these issues, policymakers and legal practitioners can ensure the effective and fair application of section 61 in combating criminal conspiracy while upholding principles of justice and legal clarity.

Keywords: Criminal Conspiracy, Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, Section 61, IPC Section 120A and 120B, Legal evolution, Doctrinal analysis, Judicial interpretation, Punishment Framework.

Introduction: -

The implementation of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, represent a significant evolution in criminal law within its jurisdiction. It likely introduces new provisions, procedures, or amendments aimed at enhancing justice delivery and addressing contemporary legal challenges.

Section 61, focusing on criminal conspiracy and its elements, appears to be a pivotal of the Bhatiya Nyaya Sanhita,2023. This provisions likely outlines the definitions, criteria, and penalties associated with conspiracy offenses, playing a key role in ensuring effective enforcement of the law.

It seems that Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita,2023, introduces nuanced perspectives on conspiracy actions, potentially surpassing the corresponding sections of the Indian Penal Code (IPC). This indicates a departure from previous legal framework and a deliberate effort to address modern challenges or ambiguities in conspiracy law.

Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita the concept of criminal conspiracy by delineating the conditions under which an agreement between two or more individuals constitutes criminal behavior. This provision likely outlines the elements necessary to establishing a conspiracy offense, providing clarity and guidance for legal interpretation and enforcement. By encompassing agreements related to both unlawful activities and those achieved through illegal means, Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita broadens the scope of criminal conspiracy. This expansion aims to render such conspiracies illegal unless they involve a specific plan to commit a crime, thereby ensuring a comprehensive approach to combating criminal conspiracies. This nuanced approach likely strengthens the legal framework and enhances the effectiveness of law enforcement in addressing complex criminal activities.

Its exploration of Section 61 should encompass its evolution from the Indian Penal Code, analyzing the components of criminal conspiracy outlined within the provision is crucial, as it illuminates the criteria for establishing culpability, with this research paper endeavor, the aim is to illuminate the criminal conspiracy laws within the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, offering a comprehensive understanding of their significance and impact with the broader landscape of criminal jurisprudence.

By examine these laws in detail, we can gain insights into their implementation, implications ultimately contributing to a deeper comprehension of legal frameworks and their role in fostering justice and accountability. Critical evaluation of potential challenges in the application of Section 61. This evaluation can contribute to ongoing discussions on refining and strengthening the legal framework to ensure its effectiveness and fairness in addressing criminal conspiracy cases.

Research Methodology: -

SECTION 61 IN BHARTIYA NYAYA SANHITA,2023

Section 61 in the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita,2023, appears to have been influenced by Sections 120A and 120B of the Indian Penal Code which deals to criminal conspiracy provided the new provision was established.

Under the section 120A of the Indian Penal Code defined criminal conspiracy, while section 120B delineated the punishment of such offenses. Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita update this provision to address modern legal complexities and challenges.



It seems that Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita retains the core principles of Sections 120A and 120B from the Indian Penal Code but incorporates changes to adapt to the changing legal environment. Section 61 appears to expand the scope of criminal conspiracy by including agreements involving acts accomplished through illegal means, surpassing the limitations of its IPC predecessors. This legislative adjustment suggests an intention to approach to addressing conspiratorial activities within the evolving socio-legal landscape. The background underscores the legislative progression from the Indian Penal Code to the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, emphasizing and the adapt the legal framework to criminal conspiracy.

OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

- 1) To understand the components of Criminal Conspiracy.
- 2) Examine and investigate the legislative development.
- 3) Observation of the Courts Decisions.

Criminal conspiracy

Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, builds upon the foundational concepts of criminal conspiracy established by its predecessors, IPC Section 120A and 120B. Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, appears to



offer a nuanced perspective on agreements involving two or more individuals, delineating the various components essential to constituting a criminal conspiracy.

- ❖ 2.1 Definition and Elements: According to Section 61(1), a criminal conspiracy is formed when two or more individuals unite with the intent of committing an illegal act or an act achieved through illegal means. IPC Section 120A narrows the scope to activities that are intrinsically criminal, while section 61(1) broadens it to include acts achieved through illegal methods.

- Section 120A. Definition of criminal conspiracy.

When two or more persons agrees to do, or cause to be done, -

- 1) an illegal act, or
- 2) an act which is not illegal means, such an agreement is designated a criminal conspiracy:
Provided that no agreement except an agreement to commit an offence shall amount to the

legal concept of criminal conspiracy, which typically involves an agreement between two or more people to commit a crime. In many legal system an criminal conspiracy requires a both an agreement to commit a crime and some over act taken in furtherance of that agreement. The act demonstrate that the conspirators are actively working towards the commission of the crime.

Example: - If an illegal act is the main goal of an agreement or if it's just a side effect or part of the agreement. In either case, if the agreement involves illegal actions, it is still considered illegal and subject to legal consequences.

The elements of criminal conspiracy under Section 61:

- ❖ Agreement with Common Object: The fundamental aspect of criminal conspiracy, requiring to come individuals together with a common goal, whether it involves breaking the law or achieving something through illegal means.
- ❖ Types of acts covered: The type of act covers a criminal conspiracy include both inherently unlawful acts and those achieved through illegal method recognize the dynamic nature of criminal activity.
- ❖ Unlawful means and Incidental acts: Section 61 provide the important significance of the agreement by punishing individuals who involved in unlawful acts, irrespective of whether those acts are the primary objectives or merely incidental outcomes of the conspiracy.
- ❖ 2.2 Clause of Explanation: Immateriality of the Illegal act: The explanation provision in section 61(1) clarifies that it's an irrelevant whether the unlawful act is the main objective or just a consequence of the agreement. This underscores the seriousness of criminal conspiracy regardless of the specific role of the unlawful act within the conspiracy. The interpretive flexibility provided by section 61(1) for a better understanding of the essence of criminal conspiracy and it is acknowledging that agreement is the critical factor itself, and irrespective of the specific role or nature of the unlawful act involved.

Section 61 establishes the foundational elements of a comprehensive legal framework balances individual rights with legislative intent. Defining the components and circumstances of criminal

conspiracy, it enables the legal system to address modern problems effectively while upholding the principles of justice and fairness.

PARTIES TO CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY

Section 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, provides further details on the parties involved in criminal conspiracy. The provision specifies the responsibilities and their roles within the conspiracy, as well as the degree of their capability. It may provide different penalties depends on the factors such as extent of planning, the harm caused, or the involvement of certain individuals. Individuals involved in a conspiracy are held accountable in accordance with the law and that appropriate measures are taken to address the degrees of criminal behavior within conspiratorial activities.

- ❖ **3.1 Individuals Involved:** Section 61(2) identifies the outline the individuals who are considered parties to a criminal conspiracy. These can include those who actively participate in planning or executing the conspiracy, as well as those who aid or abet in its commission. It's crucial to consult the specific legal statutes and case law in the jurisdiction for a understanding. Individuals who agree with the common objective of committing an illegal act or an act accomplished through illegal means can be considered parties to a criminal conspiracy. Section 61(2) categorizes individual involved in a criminal conspiracy based on the severity of the offense they conspire to commit. The severity of the offense and the level of involvement can impact the legal consequences for each individual implicated in the conspiracy.
- ❖ **3.2 Conditions for punishment:** The severity of punishment under Section 61(2) is contingent upon the nature of the offense agreed upon in the conspiracy. It means that the penalties imposed will depending on the seriousness of the intended illegal act or acts achieved through illegal means as outlined the agreement.
- **3.2.1 Offenses punishable with life Imprisonment, Death, or Rigorous Imprisonment:** Conspiracy to commit a serious offense is often treated as a serious crime itself, and those who are involved in a conspiracy to commit a serious offense can be punished as if they had actually carried out the offense themselves. This principle holds them accountable for their action and aims to others from engaging in similar criminal activities. This is to individuals from planning and coordinating illegal activities, even if the crime is not ultimately carried

out. This principle ensures that those who conspire to commit serious crimes are held accountable to the fullest extent of the law.

- 3.2.2 Offenses punishable with Imprisonment up to Two years:

Conspiracies involving offenses punishable with imprisonment for up to two years may lead to imprisonment for a term not exceeding six months, a fine, or both, as per the legal provision. In case involving conspiracies related to offenses punishable with imprisonment for up to two years, the parties may face imprisonment of either description for a term not exceeding six months, a fine, or both, depending on the circumstances of the case.\

FRAMEWORK FOR PUNISHMENT

Section 61(2) provides a clear framework for the punishment of individuals involved in criminal conspiracies based on the seriousness of the offense being conspired. This ensures that appropriate punishment is meted out in accordance with the severity of the planned crime.



APPLICATION FOR SECTION 61

Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, gives a specific aspect of law procedure. Its application would require careful interpretation and enforcement to ensure justice is administered effectively. The legal practitioners and law enforcement agencies must have an understanding of section 61 to ensure its proper implementation. They would need to interpret its language, consider

relevant caselaw, and apply it appropriately to the situations they encounter. This ensure that justice is served fairly and consistently within the framework of law. Section 61(1) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, appears to focus on analyzing agreements between individuals to determine if they share a common intention to commit illegal acts or to achieve ends through illegal means. The examination of the terms of the agreements and the intensions of the parties involved to ensure that justice is upheld and illegal activities are appropriately addressed. The broad scope of section 61(1), covers both inherently illegal acts and those achieved through illegal means, a comprehensive approach during interpretation. This requires various factors such as the intent of the parties, the nature of the acts or means involved, and relevant legal precedents to ensures a nuanced understanding and consistent application of the provision.

The provision to section 61(1) introduces a critical condition by requiring that some act beyond the mere agreement must be done by one or more parties involved. The necessity for tangible steps towards the realization of the conspiracy, ensuring that there is concrete evidence of intent and action towards committing the illegal acts and achieving ends through illegal means. This condition adds clarity and strengthens the requirement for active participation in the conspiracy. This condition serves as a safeguard against arbitrary criminalization based solely on agreements without corresponding actions. By requiring tangible steps beyond the agreements, the provision ensures that individuals are not unfairly prosecuted for mere discussion or plans without actual implementation. This also helps maintain a balance between protecting against criminal activities and safeguarding individual rights and liberties.

Section 61(2) highlights the importance for legal practitioners to consider both the severity of the planned offenses and the nature of the criminal conspiracy when enforcing law. This dual consideration helps ensure that appropriate measures are taken to address the potential harm and criminal intent involved in the conspiracy. This entails assessing both the gravity of the potential crime and the extent of criminal conspiracy involved, which could vary in complexity and impact. By carefully weighing these factors, practitioners can determine appropriate enforcement measures that uphold the principles of justice and ensure proportional responses to criminal conspiracies. The punishment framework covers the Section 61 reflects a tailored response to the gravity of the conspiracy. By differentiating offenses punishable with death, life imprisonment, or rigorous

imprisonment from those attracting shorter sentences, the law provides proportional punishment that correspond to the seriousness of the conspiracy and its potential harm. Law enforcement agencies must align their effort with the legislative intent behind section 61, ensuring a judicious application that upholds both the rule of law and individual rights. This involves conducting thorough investigations, respecting due process and adhering to legal standard while pursuing cases related to conspiracies. Judicial interpretation and precedents are essential in clarifying and applying the nuances of legal provisions like Section 61. They offer valuable guidance and establish precedents that influence how laws are enforced in practice.

RELATED CASE LAWS:

1. Praveen v. State of Haryana (2021) SC:

In the case of Praveen v. State of Haryana (2021), the Supreme court decide that concrete evidence of a conspiracy arrangement for an unlawful act is indispensable to convict someone under Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code (IPC). In the aftermath of Praveen's acquittal, the court stressed the unreliability of solely relying on purported confessions of co-accused individuals without corroborating evidence.

2. State of Kerala v. P. Sugathan & Ors.:

The case of State of Kerala v. P. Sugathan & Ors. Highlights that testimony from co-accused parties alone is deemed insufficient to substantiate allegations of criminal conspiracy. The Kerala High Court emphasized the necessity for independent evidence to verify claims conspiracy.

3. Ram Sharan Chaturvedi v. State of Madhya Pradesh:

In the case of Ram Sharan Chaturvedi v. State of Madhya Pradesh, the Supreme Court underscored the necessity of a "physical manifestation of agreement" to establish a crime under section 120B. The court emphasized that mere speculation or supposition is inadequate evidence to prove the existence of a criminal conspiracy.

4. Sachin Jana and Another vs State of West Bengal:

In the case of Sachin Jana and Another vs State of West Bengal, The Supreme Court recognized that concrete evidence of a shared purpose in criminal conspiracy cases is often rare. The court clarified

that established facts or circumstances can be utilized to infer such a shared intention, thereby permitting circumstantial evidence to establish guilt.

5. Essar Tele holdings Ltd. v. Central Bureau of Investigation:

In the case of Essar Tele holdings Ltd. v. Central Bureau of Investigation, the Supreme Court clarified that demonstrating the accused party's consent to commit the alleged act is essential to prove criminal conspiracy. The court emphasized that circumstantial evidence alone is insufficient to establish a criminal conspiracy.

6. State (NCT of Delhi) v. Navjot Singh Sidhu & Anr.:

State (NCT of Delhi) v. Navjot Singh Sidhu & Anr., the Supreme Court dismissed the criminal conspiracy allegations against Navjot Singh Sidhu because the prosecution failed to establish that the accused parties had agreed to commit the alleged offense. Insufficient evidence was presented to support the accusations of criminal conspiracy.

Conclusion: -

In Section 61 of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, broadens the scope of criminal conspiracy by including actions conducted through illegal methods, marking a significant departure from its predecessors, IPC Sections 120A and 120B. The evolving definitions, requirements, and penalty systems outlined in this clause reflect the legislative intent behind it, highlighting a comprehensive approach towards addressing criminal conspiracy within the legal framework. Section 61's applicability is not without present challenges, particularly in interpreting the "common object" and determining the legitimacy of specific agreements due to its broad scope.

Reliance on judicial interpretation could result in varied applicability of Section 61 in different circumstances. Consistency in interpretation becomes crucial to ensure fair and uniform application across the cases.

Absolutely, achieving a balance in the penalty structure is essential to ensure that it remains proportionate to the seriousness of the infractions. This balance helps us to uphold the fairness and the justice in the legal system. Meanwhile the collaborations between courts, law enforcement, and

legal professionals is crucial in effectively addressing the complexities surrounding section 61 applicability and penalty structure. Their combined efforts help to uphold the integrity of the legal system and ensure just outcomes. It's become crucial to maintain flexibility with the constant observation and committed to refining interpretations will be essential for changing environments. Section 61 is represent a significant stride in modernizing criminal conspiracy laws, underscoring the necessity for a dynamic legal framework capable of contemporary criminal activities.

